[beginning of letter seems to be missing]

[91r] (unless the limit for  $\frac{v^n - w^n}{v - w}$ is dispensed with in the demonstration for the Binomial Theorem, which it is not in your Algebra, nor am I aware that it c<u>an</u> be dispensed with in any of the elementary proofs of that Theorem). \_\_ It had not struck me that, calling  $(x + \theta) = v$ , the form  $\frac{(x+\theta)^n - x^n}{\theta}$  becomes  $\frac{v^n - x^n}{v - x}$ . And by the bye, I may

here remark that the curious transformations many formulae can undergo, the unexpected & to a beginner apparently [91v] impossible identity of forms exceedingly dissimilar at first sight, is I think one of the chief difficulties in the early part of mathematical studies. I am often reminded of certain sprites & fairies one reads of, who are at one's elbow in one shape now, & the next minute in a form the most dissimilar, and uncommonly deceptive, troublesome & tantalizing are the mathematical sprites & fairies sometimes; like the types I have found for them in the world of Fiction. [92r] I will now go to the question I delayed asking before : In the development of the Exponential Series  $a^{x} = 1 + (\log a)x + \frac{(\log a)^{2}x^{2}}{2} + \&c,$ and the Logarithmic Series  $\log a = (a-1) - \frac{1}{2}(a-1)^2 + \&c$ 

deduced from it; I object to the necessity involved of supposing x to be diminished without limit, \_ a supposition ['obviously' inserted] quite necessary to the completion of the Demonstration. It has struck me that though this supposition leaves the Demonstration & Conclusions perfect for the cases in which x is supposed to diminish without limit, yet [92v] it makes it valueless for the many in which x may be anything else which does not diminish. \_ No \_ by the bye, I think I begin to see it now ; I am sure I do. It is as follows : \_ the supposition of x diminishing without limit is merely a parenthetical one, by means of which a limit for a certain expression  $\frac{a^x-1}{x}$  is deduced under those circumstances; & then the argument proceeds, that having already obtained in another place, a ['different' inserted] limit for this same expression under the same [93r] circumstances, we at once deduce the equality of these two limits, from whence follows &c, &c. Thus this supposition of x diminishing without limit, is not a portion of the main argument, but only a totally independent & parenthetical hypothesis introduced in order to prove something else which is a part of the main argument. \_ Yes \_ this is it, I am sure. I had had the same objection to

the Demonstration in Bourdon, to which I have had the curiosity to refer. I am [93v] sometimes very much interested in seeing how the same conclusions are arrived at in different ways by different people; and I happen to have been inclined to compare you & Bourdon in this case of developing Exponential & Logarithmic Series; and very amusing has it been to me to see him begin exactly where you end,  $\overline{\&c.}$  Your demonstration is  $\underline{\mathrm{much}}$  the best for practical purposes. His is exceedingly general, & the vast number of substitutions [94r] of one thing for another make it lengthy, & by no means very simple to follow. \_\_\_ But it is very well occasionally to make these comparisons. We are going to Town on Monday the  $25^{\text{th}}$ . for two or three nights, & I will ask M<sup>rs</sup> De Morgan's & your permission to spend Monday Evening with you, going towards 8 o'clock,

going towards 8 o'clock, as I did before. It would give me great pleasure, & may perhaps be not only agreeable to me, but of use [94v] too, as there are one or two points [something crossed out] relating to my future plans which I rather think of speaking to you upon. \_\_\_\_\_\_ By the bye, Lord Lovelace & I are both of us

much vexed, at our own

negligence in letting the Xmas Vacation go by [sic], without proposing to you & your lady & children to visit us here, which you might perhaps have been able to do during Holiday-time. I fear you may <u>now</u> be unable to think of it; but pray consider [95r] the question with her ; if not for any immediate use, at any rate for the  $\underline{next}$  occasion. The fact is, that we have so much the habit of thinking of you only in connexion with Town & engagements there, that it only suddenly occurred to us whether you might not be able to breathe country air like other people. You would come by Railway, & we would send the carriage to the Station for you. Yours most truly

A. A. L