[37r] My dear Lady Lovelace
If you look back to
page 48, you will there
see that
$\frac{a+a^{\prime}+a^{\prime \prime}+\cdots}{b+b^{\prime}+b^{\prime \prime}+\cdots}$ always lies between the greatest \& least of $\frac{a}{b}[,] \frac{a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}} \& c$ whatever the signs of $a[,] a^{\prime} \& c$ may be, provided that $b, b^{\prime}$ $\& c$ are all of one sign. That is the reason why $\varphi x$ need not continually increase or decrease
in the next chapter
The paper you have sent me is correct. In page 70, the
reasons are given for
[37v] avoiding the common proof
of Taylor's Theorem, and 71 \&c
contains the amended proof.
Of $\frac{\varphi(a+h)}{\psi(a+h)}=\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(a+\theta h)}{\psi^{\prime}(a+\theta h}$ [bracket missing in last denominator] it cannot only be said that
it turns out useful. A
beginner can hardly see
why a diffl coeff ${ }^{t}$ itself
should be of any use
Yours truly
ADeMorgan
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