[35r] My dear Lady Lovelace

We shall be happy to see you on Monday Evening, and Lord Lovelace too if he be not afraid of the algebra Your points in your letter are are [should be 'I'] think, clear enough in your own head. A little addition however may be made as follows. You are not [something crossed out] to think that because x must be diminished without limit to prove a conclusion that conclusion is only true for small values of x, or for x = 0. For example suppose I know that $(a+x)(a-x) = P + Qx + Rx^2$ but of P Q and R I only know that they are independent of x. What [35v] therefore they are for any one value of x, they are for any other. I find them thus Since the preceding is by hypothesis true for all values of x, and since altering x does not alter P Q or R, I take x = 0to begin with $a^2 = P$ when x = 0but a and P are independent of x, therefore what relation exists when x = 0 exists always $a^2 = P$ or Let x = a $0 = P + Qa + Ra^2$ Let x = -a $0 = P - Qa + Ra^2$ [something crossed out] subtract 2Qa = 0 or Q = 0Here are two values of x made use [36r] of. Add $2P + 2Ra^2 = 0$ $R = -\frac{P}{a^2} = -1$ whence

$$(a+x)(a-x) = a^2 + 0.x - x^2$$

= $a^2 - x^2$
if it must be of the form
 $P + Qx + Rx^2$
We are much obliged by your
invitation to Ockham, but
I am closely tied up by
lectures & other things. Even
at such times as Xtmas I am
generally very busy
With kind remembrances to
Lord Lovelace I am
Yours very truly

ADeMorgan