Ashley-Combe Sunday. 21<sup>st</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> ['1841' inserted by later reader]

Mr Dear M<sup>r</sup> De Morgan. [something crossed out] I said Wed<sup>dy</sup>. At least I meant to do so. On Tuesday I have already an engagement in the morning. Perhaps you have written Tuesday by mistake. But of you cannot come on Wed<sup>dy</sup>, then I must put off my Tuesday's engagement, that I may see you then. If it is the same to you however, I should much prefer Wed<sup>dy</sup>. Can you kindly give me one line tomorrow to say which it is to be. I shall get ['it' inserted] in the evening in S<sup>t</sup> James' Sq<sup>re</sup>. Now I proceed to business : 1<sup>stly</sup>: You have mistaken my intentions I think about the formulae of pages 155, 156. My enclosures 1 & 2 will explain. 2<sup>ndly</sup>. Enclosure 3 contains the demonstration of "Exercise" page 159 3<sup>dly</sup>. Enclosure 4 "Exercise" page 158  $4^{\text{thly}}$ : About the Constant in page 141 : I still am [142v] unsatisfied. I perfectly understand that "any value" consists with everything in page 141. The principle is I conceive exactly the same as that by which in page 149, y is made =  $a + \sin x$  instead of  $y = \sin x$ . I only mean that this result seems inconsistent with page 116 when it is shown that the Constant must  $\overline{=\frac{w}{2}}$ .  $5^{\text{thly}}$ : page 161, (line 14 from the top):  $\varphi''(x+\theta h, y+k) - \varphi''(x+\theta h, y) = \varphi_1^{('')}(x+\theta h, y+vk).k$ v < 1Why is  $\underline{v}$  introduced at all? I have as follows:  $\frac{\varphi''(x+\theta h,y+k)-\varphi''(x+\theta h,y)}{k} = \varphi_1^{('')}(x+\theta h,y)$ <u>if</u> <u>k</u> diminishes without limit; (k being =  $\Delta y$ ) or  $\varphi''(x+\theta h, y+k) - \varphi''(x+\theta h, y) = \varphi_1^{('')}(x+\theta h, y)k$ But I do not see how  $\underline{v}$  comes in.

 $6^{\text{thly}}$ : I have several remarks to make altogether on the Article Operation. I will only now subjoin two. I believe on the whole that I understand the

[142r]

Article very well.

See page 443, at the top,  $(2^{nd} \text{ Column})$ :  $\varphi^2 + 2\varphi\psi + \psi^2$ , or  $(x^2)^2 + 2(x^3)^2 + (x^3)^3$ should be <u>it appears to me</u>  $\varphi^2 + 2\varphi\psi + \psi^2$ , or  $(x^2)^2 + 2x^3 \cdot x^3 + (x^3)^2$ or  $(x^2)^2 + 2(x^3)^2 + (x^3)^2$  $= (x^2)^2 + 3(x^3)^2$ 

[143r] I only allude to  $(x^3)^3$ , instead of  $(x^3)^2$  as <u>I</u> make it. See page 444, at the bottom,  $(2^{nd} \text{ column})$ : "Where  $B_0$ ,  $B_1$ , &c are the values of fy and its "successive diff-co's [sic] when y = 0, &c, &c" Surely it should be when y = 1. The same as when immediately afterwards, (see page 445, 1<sup>st</sup> column, at the top), in developping [sic]  $(2 + \Delta)^{-1}\varphi x$ ;  $B_0, B_1$  &c are the values of fy & its Co-efficients when y = 2, &c, &c. I have referred to Numbers of Bernoulli & to Differences of Nothing ; in consequence of reading this Article Operation. And find that I must read that on Series also. I left off at page 165 of the Calculus ; & suppose that I may now resume it; (when I return here that is). I will not trouble you further in this letter. But I have a formidable list of small matters

down, against I see you.

Yours most sincerely

A. A. Lovelace