

[121r]

Ockham.

Friday. [something crossed out] 21st Augst

Dear M^r De Morgan. You have received safely I hope my packet of yesterday, & my packet sent on Tuesday. _

I now re-enclose you the paper marked 1. There is another Integral added at the bottom. Also I have altered one or two little minutiae in the development of $\int \frac{dx}{\sqrt{2ax+x^2}}$ above, which you had omitted to correct. _

I quite understand your observations upon it, & see the mistake I had made ; & which related to the Differential dy , and $d(\varphi x.x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } y = \varphi x.x, \text{ then } dy &= d(\varphi x.x) = \frac{d(\varphi x.x)}{dx} dx = \\ &= \left\{ x \cdot \frac{d(\varphi x)}{dx} + \varphi x \cdot \frac{dx}{dx} \right\} dx = x \cdot \left(\frac{d(\varphi x)}{dx} dx \right) + \varphi x \cdot dx = \\ &= x \cdot d\varphi x + \varphi x \cdot dx \end{aligned}$$

Or if $y^2 = \varphi x.x$, then $dy^2 = x \cdot d\varphi x + \varphi x \cdot dx$

$$\text{or } \frac{d(y^2)}{dy} dy = x \cdot d\varphi x + \varphi x \cdot dx$$

$$\text{or } 2y dy = x \cdot d\varphi x + \varphi x \cdot dx, \text{ and } y dy = \frac{1}{2} x \cdot d\varphi x + \frac{1}{2} \varphi x \cdot dx$$

[121v] This is all now right in my head.

In $\int \frac{dx}{\sqrt{2ax+x^2}}$ we arrive then in my corrected paper,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{at } \int \frac{dx}{\sqrt{2ax+x^2}} &= \log(x + a + \sqrt{2ax + x^2}) \\ &= \log\left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{a}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{2ax+x^2}}{2}\right) + \log 2 \end{aligned}$$

which, as you observe “again with the book all but “the log .2, which being a Constant, matters nothing”.

Very true ; but why did you then insist the log 2 in page 116?_ it seems as if put in on purpose to be effaced in the parenthesis (Omit the Constant).

And it might just as well have been log 3,

log 4, log (anything in the world). _____

As to my two papers marked 2 (& which I again return, merely for the convenience of reference),

I see that in order to make them valid, as applying each to two separate & different velocities, they should

be re-written (which is not worth while), & the

terms of the enunications altered as follows :

“If two quantities V, V' be respectively equal to the “Ratios $\frac{S}{T}, \frac{S'}{T'}$, and if $V : V' = T : T'$, then the values “ S, S' must be to each other as the squares of T, T' ”

“are to one another” &c, &c

[122r] At last I believe I have it quite correctly. —

As for $\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{y}{x}$, I see my fallacy about $\frac{y}{x}$ being a fixed quantity. —

About page 113, “The first form becomes impossible

“when x is greater than \sqrt{c} , for &c”, I fancy I

[something crossed out] had a little misunderstood the mathematical meaning of the words impossible quantity. I have loosely interpreted it as being

equivalent to “an absurdity”, or at least to

“an absurdity, unless an extension be made in the

“ordinary meaning of words”. — And in this

instance I perceived that if the Logarithm be

an odd number, there would be no absurdity

even without extension in the meaning of terms ; —

because that it would then merely imply a

negative Base ; which negative Base, would I think

be admitted theoretically (tho’ inconveniently practically)

on the common beginner’s instruction on the Theory of

Logarithms. — Am I right? —

By the bye this subject reminds me that I think

I find a mistake in page 117, line 13 (from the top)

“(n an integer) $\int_{-a}^{+a} x^n dx = 0$ when n is odd, = $\frac{2a^{n+1}}{n+1}$ when n is even”

[122v] It seems to me just the reverse, thus :

= 0 when n is even, = $\frac{2a^{n+1}}{n+1}$ when n is odd

I have it as follows :

$$\int_{-a}^{+a} x^n dx = \frac{a^{n+1}}{n+1} - \frac{(-a)^{n+1}}{n+1} = \frac{a^{n+1} - (-a)^{n+1}}{n+1} =$$
$$= \frac{a^{n+1} - a^{n+1}}{n+1} \text{ or } 0 \text{ if } n + 1 \text{ be even}$$

(I now see it while working ; for if

$n + 1$ be even, n must be odd.)

and vice versa.

So I need not trouble you upon this ; as I have

solved my difficulty whilst stating it. I had only

looked at this Integral in [‘a’ inserted] great hurry, this morning.

I hope on Sunday to send you two

remaining papers I have to make out, on the

Accelerating Force subject ;

upon $f = \frac{dv}{dt}$, and $v = \int f dt$

I think I have been encouraged by your great

kindness, so as to give you really no Sinecure

just at this moment.

Yours most truly
A. A. Lovelace