[119r]

Ockham. Monday Morning 16th ['July' crossed out] Augst ['1841' added by later reader]

Dear M^r De Morgan. I send you today, 1^{stly}: a paper in which I have proved the Theorem $\int fx \frac{dx}{dt} dt = \int fx dx$ (of pages 102, 103), <u>backwards</u>. That is I have <u>assumed</u> $\int fx dx$, & deduced from it that when $x = \psi t$, then $\int fx dx = \int fx \frac{dx}{dt} dt$; whereas in the book $\int fx \frac{dx}{dt} dt$ was assumed, & the process was exactly reversed. I do not send this as having any advantage over the other proof. Merely because it happened accidentally to strike me, & I wrote it down; & I now ['may' crossed out] enclose it for inspection, to see that I have correctly deduced each step. 2^{ndly}: I enclose you my Second Paper on ['the' inserted] Accelerating Force subject. This one is the explanation of $S = \int v.dt$ Tomorrow I hope to send you the one on $t = \int \frac{ds}{v}$. [119v] I hope I am not plaguing you very much. I am anxious to read up to a certain point before moving to Devonshire. Believe me Yours most truly

A. A. Lovelace