

Witten

12/13/83

caricature of particle physics

throw away 99%

$$G \supset SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1) / \mathbb{Z}_6$$

"massless" fermions of spin $\frac{1}{2}$ (1 pt in 10^6)

repr. H of group G . (one particle space of fermions of momentum k)

$$\xrightarrow{G}$$

$$J_z = \begin{cases} k/2 \\ -k/2 \end{cases}$$

$$H = H_L \oplus H_R$$

H_L, H_R are separately reps of G .

An essential further fact - an anti unitary transf
CPT $\Theta: H_L \leftrightarrow H_R$.

H_L is 45 dim
in nature

Better description: A real vector space H with a complex structure

Existence of Θ guarantees that if H_L is a rep Q of G then H_R is the complex conjugate representation.

Experimental Fact.

Expand

$$Q = \oplus Q_i$$

$$\bar{Q} = \oplus \bar{Q}_j$$

$$Q_i \neq \bar{Q}_j$$

15

When does such a thing arise?

Solution of an ~~index~~ equivariant index problem.

This assumption "solve" one classical problem:
 origin of G : If B admits G -action, the effective
 4-dim space will have a gauge group G .

This explanation doesn't have much competition. Other
 possibility is super-gravity which can be combined
 with KK.

Now for fermion quantum nos.
 We assume in $4+n$ dimensions that we have
 fermions behaving a Dirac equation

$$\not{D}\psi = 0$$

$$\not{D} = \gamma^i D_i = \not{D}^{(4)} + \not{D}^{(n)} \quad \not{D}^{(4)}\not{D}^{(n)} + \not{D}^{(n)}\not{D}^{(4)} = 0$$

$$\not{D}^2 = (\not{D}^{(4)})^2 + (\not{D}^{(n)})^2$$

~~the Dirac equation is~~

$$\not{D}^2\psi = 0 \implies \not{D}^{(4)}\psi = 0 \text{ and } \not{D}^{(n)}\psi = 0$$

So a) 4-dim Dirac equation.

b) zero mode for Dirac eqn. on B

$$D^{(n)} : S_L \rightleftharpoons S_R \quad \exists \text{ anti-unitary } \Theta \text{ which}$$

$$\text{In 4 dims. } \text{Ker } \not{D}_-^{(4)} \simeq H_L \text{ left handed massless fermions.}$$

$$\text{Ker } \not{D}_+^{(4)} \simeq H_R$$

We can't solve the problem of fermion quantum
 numbers in this way because of a thm. of
 Atiyah-Hirzebruch which says that the equivariant
 index of Dirac = 0. (M admits an action of a cont. group)

Another thm: Equivariant index of the Signature
 Operator is always equal to zero except for the trivial
 representation (Follows because G acts trivially on $H_{\mathbb{R}}^*$)

Manifold of dim $4k+2$

Spinor $S = S_L \oplus S_R$ related by an anti-unitary transf.

$$\mathcal{D}: \begin{array}{l} S_L \rightarrow S_R \\ S_R \rightarrow S_L \end{array}$$

S_L, S_R any Hilbert spaces

$$\text{Index} = \underbrace{\dim(\text{Ker } \mathcal{D}_+)}_A - \underbrace{\dim(\text{Ker } \mathcal{D}_-)}_B$$

~~Generically~~
Generically A or $B = 0$ if there are no special principles.

A group action G_n ^{can} give a special reason: We can define a character-valued index.

$$\text{Ker } \mathcal{D}_+ = V_+ = \bigoplus \alpha_i Q_i$$

$$\text{Ker } \mathcal{D}_- = V_- = \bigoplus \beta_i Q_i$$

Then $\alpha_i - \beta_i$ is invariant under G -perturbations ~~can~~

The point I want to stress, then generically, with no other principles α_i or $\beta_i = 0$ for each i .

Now the game is to find an equivariant operator such that V_+, V_- can be identified with H_L, H_R

Spinor reps are complex in $4k+2$ dimensions so there is a CPT.

To realize this idea start with the assumption that the real world has dim $d = 4+n$, $n = 4k+2$ some k .

General Relativity in $4+n$ dim

$$\bullet \quad (L = \int d^{4+n} x \times \sqrt{g} R \dots)$$

"Ground State"

$$M^4 \times B$$

4dim Minkowski \cup compact n -dim manifold radius

Third thm. Rarita-Schwinger operator has equivariant index which is an integer (i.e. trivial repr.)
 (partial proof by Witten)

Mathematicians suggest ~~we~~ could get around the index = 0 thm. by considering a twisted Dirac equ. ^{on SE}
 Can get non-zero index. Problem is that ~~where~~ where does the connection on E come from? E should come from the Riemannian geometry. So it comes from a repr. R of $O(d)$

Physical criteria

(i) spin-statistics \Rightarrow R is a rep of $O(n)$ not just its double covering

(ii) for stable quantization only allowed choice is standard repr hence $E = T$.

As far as the index problem is concerned the Rarita-Schwinger operator is a twisted Dirac operator

$$\not{D}: S_L \otimes T \longrightarrow S_R \otimes T$$

Witten's proof: Verify for homogeneous spaces, use spin-cobordism invariant. If spin-cobordism (equivariant) like equivariant unitary cobordism) is gen. by homog. manifolds + fibre bundles, then one is done.

Why R-S equation makes sense in physics.

Quantization

$$\mathcal{L} = i \int d^4x \bar{\psi} \not{D} \psi$$

$$\{\psi_\alpha(x), \psi_\beta(y)\} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta(x-y)$$

ψ_α ← spinor index

Naive RS op. comes from

$$\mathcal{L} = \int d^4x \bar{\psi}_\mu \not{D} \psi_\mu$$

ψ_α ↑ spinor
 μ ↑ vector

$$\{\psi_{\alpha\mu}, \psi_{\beta\nu}\} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} g_{\mu\nu}$$

ψ supposed to be hermitian operators
and $g_{\mu\nu}$ has -1 . So it is inconsistent.

Naive R-S.	Real
$\delta \psi_\mu = 0$	$\gamma^\nu (D_\nu \psi_\mu - D_\mu \psi_\nu) = 0$
$\gamma^\nu D_\nu \psi_\mu = 0$	

We want to find a gauge invariance that will render "unphysical" the components of ψ for which the algebra has the wrong sign.

The R.S. equation is obeyed automatically if $\psi_\mu = D_\mu \epsilon$ for any ϵ . So can use $\psi_\mu \rightarrow \psi_\mu + D_\mu \epsilon$ to fix a gauge such as $\psi_0 = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta (\gamma^\mu D_\nu \psi_\mu - D_\mu \psi_\nu) \\ &= \gamma^\nu (D_\nu D_\mu - D_\mu D_\nu) \epsilon \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \gamma^\nu R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} [\sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\beta] \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Use $R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + R_{\mu\alpha\beta\nu} + R_{\mu\beta\nu\alpha} = 0$
 $R_{\mu\nu} = 0 \leftarrow$ Ricci tensor

Conclusion: The R-S equation has this gauge invariance when $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$ and so can be quantized.

$R_{\mu\nu} = 0$ are Einstein's equations in absence of matter.

$$0 \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{\alpha} S \otimes T \xrightarrow{\beta} S \otimes T \xrightarrow{\gamma} S \rightarrow 0$$

$$\alpha: \psi \rightarrow D_\mu \psi$$

$$\beta: \psi_\mu \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu\lambda} D_\nu \psi_\lambda$$

$$\gamma: \psi_\mu \rightarrow D_\mu \psi_\mu$$

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu\lambda} = \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha \Gamma_{\alpha\lambda} = \frac{1}{6} (\Gamma_\mu \Gamma_\nu \Gamma_\lambda - \Gamma_\nu \Gamma_\mu \Gamma_\lambda \pm \text{perms})$$

Then: $\beta\alpha = \gamma\beta = 0$ iff $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$

Witten feels above should be useful in studying Ricci flat (or Einstein) manifolds