

LECTURES ON DEFORMATIONS OF GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS

MARK KISIN

LECTURE 1: DEFORMATIONS OF REPRESENTATIONS OF PRO-FINITE GROUPS

(1.1) Throughout these notes p will be a rational prime and \mathbb{F} a finite field of characteristic p . We will denote by G be a pro-finite group and $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ a finite dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space equipped with a continuous action of G . We write $n = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} V_{\mathbb{F}}$.

For the first three lectures G will usually be an arbitrary pro-finite group sometimes satisfying a certain finiteness condition. Later we will consider the case where G is the Galois group of a number field or of a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p .

(1.1.1) Let $\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{R}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ denote the category of finite local, Artinian $W(\mathbb{F})$ -algebras with residue field \mathbb{F} . If A is in $\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{R}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ then by a *deformation* of $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ to A we mean a finite free A -module V_A equipped with a continuous action of G , and a G -equivariant isomorphism $V_A \otimes_A \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{\mathbb{F}}$.

We define a functor $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$ on $\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{R}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ by setting

$$D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}(A) = \{\text{isomorphism classes of deformations of } V_{\mathbb{F}} \text{ to } A\}.$$

Fix an \mathbb{F} -basis β of $V_{\mathbb{F}}$. A framed deformation of $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ to A is a deformation V_A of $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ to A together with a lift of the chosen \mathbb{F} -basis of $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ to an A -basis β_A of V_A . We define

$$D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}(A) = \{\text{isomorphism classes of framed deformations of } V_{\mathbb{F}} \text{ to } A\}$$

Remarks (1.1.2): (1) The fixed basis β allows us to view $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ as a representation

$$\bar{\rho} : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$$

Then $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}(A)$ is the set of representations

$$\rho_A : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(A)$$

lifting $\bar{\rho}$, while $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}(A)$ is the set of such representations modulo the action of $\ker(\mathrm{GL}_n(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}))$ acting by conjugation.

(2) As the definition suggests there are *categories* underlying these functors. Although we postpone the explanation of this, it will be important.

(1.2) A finiteness condition: We say that G satisfies the condition Φ_p if for all finite index subgroups $G' \subset G$ the maximal pro- p quotient of G' is topologically finitely generated. This is equivalent to asking that $\mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is finite dimensional.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

Examples of groups satisfying this condition include the absolute Galois group of a finite extension K/\mathbb{Q}_p , and the Galois group $\text{Gal}(F_S/F)$ where F is a number field and F_S is a maximal extension of F unramified outside a finite set of primes S of F . Both these groups are frequently encountered in arithmetic applications.

The following proposition is due to Mazur [Ma].

Proposition (1.2.1). *Assume G satisfies Φ_p . Then*

- (1) $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}$ is pro-representable by a complete local (Noetherian) $W(\mathbb{F})$ -algebra $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}$.
- (2) If $\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}[G]} V_{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbb{F}$ then $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$ is a pro-representable by a complete local $W(\mathbb{F})$ -algebra $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$ called the universal deformation ring of $V_{\mathbb{F}}$.

Remarks (1.2.2) (1) The condition Φ_p is not really necessary for the proposition by its suppression leads to non-Noetherian rings.

(2) Recall that (pro-)representability (for example for $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}$) means that there exists an isomorphism

$$D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{W(\mathbb{F})}(R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}, A)$$

which is functorial in A .

In particular, the identity map in $\text{Hom}(R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}, R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square})$ gives rise to a universal framed deformation over $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}$.

(3) Note that when the conditions in (2) of the Proposition hold the map of local $W(\mathbb{F})$ -algebras $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}} \rightarrow R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}$ is formally smooth, thus the singularities of these two rings are in some sense equivalent.

In general even if $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$ is not representable there is a sense in which it has an intrinsic geometry. However this is best formulated in terms of groupoids and we postpone it till later.

Proof. Proof of (i). Let $G' = \ker(\bar{\rho} : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}))$. For any lift $\rho_A : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(A)$ of $\bar{\rho}$, $\rho_A|_{G'}$ factors through $\ker(\text{GL}_n(A) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}))$ which is a pro- p group, and hence $\rho_A|_{G'}$ factors through the maximal pro- p quotient of G' . Write this quotient as G'/H for H a normal subgroup of G' , which is also normal in G .

By assumption, G'/H is topologically finitely generated and hence so is G/H . Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s$ be topological generators of G/H . Then $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}$ is a quotient of $W(\mathbb{F})[[X_i^{j,k}]]$ where $i = 1, \dots, s$ and $k, j = 1, \dots, n^2$. and the universal deformation is given by

$$\gamma_i \mapsto (X_i^{j,k}) + [\rho](\gamma_i)$$

where $[\rho](\gamma_i) \in \text{GL}_n(W(\mathbb{F}))$ denotes the matrix whose entries are the Teichmüller representatives of the entries of $\rho(\gamma_i)$.

The second part of the proposition will be proved later. We will give a somewhat different proof to the original one of Mazur which uses Schlessinger's representability criterion. To explain the idea let $\widehat{\text{PGL}}_n$ denote the completion of the group PGL_n over $W(\mathbb{F})$ along its identity section. Then $\widehat{\text{PGL}}_n$ acts on the functor $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}$ by conjugation and hence it acts on the formal scheme $\text{Spf } R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}$. The condition $\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}[G]} V_{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbb{F}$ implies that this action is free, and the idea is to define

$$\text{Spf } R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}} = \text{Spf } R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square} / \widehat{\text{PGL}}_n$$

(1.3) Tangent Spaces: Let $\mathbb{F}[\epsilon] = \mathbb{F}[X]/X^2$ denote the dual numbers.

Lemma (1.3.1).

(1) *There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}(\mathbb{F}[\epsilon]) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(G, \text{ad}V_{\mathbb{F}})$$

where $\text{ad}V_{\mathbb{F}}$ denotes the G -representation $\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}V_{\mathbb{F}}$.

(2) *If G satisfies Φ_p then $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}(\mathbb{F}[\epsilon])$ is a finite dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space and satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{F}} D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}(\mathbb{F}[\epsilon]) &= \dim_{\mathbb{F}} D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}(\mathbb{F}[\epsilon]) + n^2 - \dim_{\mathbb{F}}(\text{ad}V_{\mathbb{F}})^G \\ &= n^2 + H^1(G, \text{ad}V_{\mathbb{F}}) - H^0(G, \text{ad}V_{\mathbb{F}}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. An element of $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}(\mathbb{F}[\epsilon])$ $V_{\mathbb{F}[\epsilon]}$ gives rise to an extension

$$0 \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{F}[\epsilon]} \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow 0$$

where we have identified $\epsilon \cdot V_{\mathbb{F}[\epsilon]}$ with $V_{\mathbb{F}}$. Thus we obtain a class in

$$\text{Ext}_G^1(V_{\mathbb{F}}, V_{\mathbb{F}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(G, \text{ad}V_{\mathbb{F}}).$$

Conversely an element of $H^1(G, \text{ad}V_{\mathbb{F}})$ gives rise to an extension of one copy of $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ by another $V_{\mathbb{F}}$, and such an extension can be viewed as an $\mathbb{F}[\epsilon]$ -module, with multiplication by ϵ identifying the two copies of $V_{\mathbb{F}}$.

To prove the second part of the lemma, fix a deformation of $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ to $\mathbb{F}[\epsilon] V_{\mathbb{F}[\epsilon]}$. The set of $\mathbb{F}[\epsilon]$ -bases of $V_{\mathbb{F}[\epsilon]}$ lifting a fixed basis of $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ is an \mathbb{F} -vector space of dimension n^2 . Let β', β'' be two such lifted bases. Then there is an isomorphism of framed deformations

$$(V_{\mathbb{F}[\epsilon]}, \beta') \xrightarrow{\sim} (V_{\mathbb{F}[\epsilon]}, \beta'')$$

if and only if there is an automorphism of $V_{\mathbb{F}[\epsilon]}$ which is the identity mod ϵ and takes β' to β'' . That is, the fibres of

$$D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}(\mathbb{F}[\epsilon]) \rightarrow D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}(\mathbb{F}[\epsilon])$$

are $\text{ad}V_{\mathbb{F}}/(\text{ad}V_{\mathbb{F}})^G$ torsors. The lemma follows. \square

(1.4) Traces: Absolutely irreducible representations of finite groups are determined by their trace functions. A result of Carayol [Ca] and Mazur [Ma] says that the analogous result holds also for deformations:

Theorem (1.4.1). *(Mazur, Carayol): Suppose that $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ is absolutely irreducible. If A is in $\mathfrak{A}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ and $V_A, V'_A \in D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}(A)$ are deformations such that $\text{tr}(\sigma|V_A) = \text{tr}(\sigma|V'_A)$ for all $\sigma \in G$, then V_A and V'_A are isomorphic deformations.*

Proof. We give (one of) Carayol's argument(s).

Fix bases for V_A and V'_A and extend the resulting representations to A -linear maps

$$\rho_A, \rho'_A : A[G] \rightarrow M_n(A).$$

We have to show that the bases can be chosen so that $\rho_A = \rho'_A$.

Let \mathfrak{m}_A be the radical of A , and $I \subset A$ an ideal such that $I \cdot \mathfrak{m}_A = 0$. By induction on the length of A , we may assume that $\rho_A = \rho'_A$ modulo I , and write $\rho_A = \rho'_A + \delta$ where for $\sigma \in A[G]$, $\delta(\sigma) \in M_n(I)$ has trace 0.

As ρ_A, ρ'_A are multiplicative we find that for $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in A[G]$

$$\delta(\sigma_1\sigma_2) = \bar{\rho}(\sigma_1)\delta(\sigma_2) + \delta(\sigma_1)\bar{\rho}(\sigma_2).$$

If $\sigma_2 \in \ker \bar{\rho}$, we get $\delta(\sigma_1\sigma_2) = \bar{\rho}(\sigma_1)\delta(\sigma_2)$ for all $\sigma \in A[G]$, so $\text{tr}(\bar{\rho}(\sigma_1)\delta(\sigma_2)) = 0$ for all $\sigma_1 \in A[G]$. But by Burnside's theorem $\bar{\rho}(\mathbb{F}[G]) = M_n(\mathbb{F})$ as $\bar{\rho}$ is absolutely irreducible. Hence $\text{tr}(X\delta(\sigma_2)) = 0$ for any $X \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$, so $\delta(\sigma_2) = 0$.

It follows that δ may be regarded as a *derivation*

$$\delta : M_n(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow M_n(I) := M_n(\mathbb{F}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} I$$

on $M_n(\mathbb{F})$. Such a derivation is always *inner*:

$$\exists U \in M_n(I) \text{ such that } \delta(\sigma) = \bar{\rho}(\sigma)U - U\bar{\rho}(\sigma).$$

Hence $\rho'_A = (1 - U)\rho_A(1 + U)$. \square

(1.5) Exercises:

Exercise 1: Show that the following are equivalent:

- (1) For all open subgroups $G' \subset G$ the maximal pro- p quotient of G' is topologically finitely generated
- (2) For all $G' \subset G$ as above $\text{Hom}(G', \mathbb{F}_p)$ is finite dimensional over \mathbb{F}_p .
- (3) For all $G' \subset G$ as above, and all continuous representations of G' on a finite dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space W , $H^1(G', W)$ is finite dimensional

Exercise 2: Show that

$$\text{Ext}_G^1(V_{\mathbb{F}}, V_{\mathbb{F}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(G, \text{ad}V_{\mathbb{F}}).$$

Exercise 3:

- (1) Give an example where $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ is not absolutely irreducible and there exist non-isomorphic deformations $V_A, V'_A \in D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}(A)$ with the same traces. (Hint: Consider two character $\chi_1, \chi_2 : G \rightarrow F^\times$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Ext}^1(\chi_1, \chi_2) > 1$.)
- (2) Show that if $\chi_1, \chi_2 : G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^\times$ are distinct characters with such that $\text{Ext}^1(\chi_1, \chi_2)$ are 1-dimensional, and $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ is an extension of chi_1 by χ_2 then the analogue of Carayol's theorem hold for $V_{\mathbb{F}}$: any deformation of $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ is determined by its trace.

Exercise 4: Suppose $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$ pro-represents $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$. Let $E/W(\mathbb{F})[1/p]$ be a finite extension and $x : R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}[1/p] \rightarrow E$ an E -valued point such that the ideal $\ker x$ has residue field E . Specializing the universal representation over $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$ by x produces a representation of G on a finite dimensional E -vector space V_x .

Let \widehat{R}_x denote the complete local ring at the point $\ker x \in \text{Spec } R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}[1/p]$. Show that \widehat{R}_x is the universal deformation ring of V_x .

Formulate and prove the analogous statement for $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}$.

REFERENCES

- [Ca] H. Carayol, *Formes modulaires et représentations galoisiennes à valeurs dans un anneau local complet*, p -adic monodromy and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture (Boston, MA, 1991), Contemp. Math., 165., Amer. Math. Soc., 1994, pp. 213-237.
- [Ma] B. Mazur, *Deforming Galois representations*, Galois groups over \mathbb{Q} (Berkeley, CA, 1987), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. 16, Springer, New York-Berlin, pp. 395-437, 1989.

LECTURES ON DEFORMATIONS OF GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS

MARK KISIN

LECTURE 2: PSEUDO-REPRESENTATIONS

(2.1) we saw in the previous lecture that if $\bar{\rho} : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is absolutely irreducible then its deformations are determined by their traces.

The idea of pseudo-representations, introduced by Wiles [Wi] for odd two dimensional representations and by Taylor [Ta] for an arbitrary group, is to try to characterize those functions on G which are traces and to study deformation theory via deformations of the trace functions.

Definition (2.1.2). *Let R be any (topological) ring. An E -valued (continuous) pseudo-representation of dimension $d \in \mathbb{N}^+$ is a continuous function $T : G \rightarrow R$ such that*

- (1) $T(1) = d$.
- (2) $T(g_1 g_2) = T(g_1)T(g_2)$ for $g_1, g_2 \in G$.
- (3) If S_{d+1} denotes the symmetric group on $d+1$ letters and $\epsilon : S_{d+1} \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ denotes its sign character then

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_{d+1}} \epsilon(\sigma) T_{\sigma}(g_1, \dots, g_{d+1}) = 0$$

for $g_1, \dots, g_{d+1} \in G$. Here if $\sigma \in S_{d+1}$ has cycle decomposition

$$\sigma = (i_1^{(1)}, \dots, i_{r_1}^{(1)}) \dots (i_1^{(s)}, \dots, i_{r_s}^{(s)})$$

then $T_{\sigma} : G^{d+1} \rightarrow R$ is the function

$$(g_1, \dots, g_{d+1}) \mapsto T(g_{i_1^{(1)}} \dots g_{i_{r_1}^{(1)}}) \dots T(g_{i_1^{(s)}} \dots g_{i_{r_s}^{(s)}}).$$

It will often be convenient to form the R -linear extension of a pseudo-representation $T : R[G] \rightarrow R$. The relations in the definition are then satisfied for $g_1, \dots, g_{d+1} \in R[G]$.

Theorem (2.1.3). *(Taylor)*

- (1) *If $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(R)$ is a representation then $\mathrm{tr} \rho$ is a pseudo-representation of dimension d .*
- (2) *If R is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and T is a pseudo-representation of dimension d , then there exists a unique semi-simple representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(R)$ with $\mathrm{tr} \rho = T$.*

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - $\mathrm{T}\mathrm{E}\mathrm{X}$

- (3) If G is (topologically) finitely generated then for every integer $d \geq 1$, there is a finite subset $S \subset G$, such that a pseudo-representation $T : G \rightarrow R$ of dimension d valued in an $\mathbb{Z}[1/d]$ -algebra R is determined by its restriction to S .

Proof. Taylor proves this using results of Procesi on invariant theory [Pr]. A self contained proof was given by Rouquier [Ro]. We sketch some of the arguments for (1) here.

For $g_1, \dots, g_{d+1} \in M_d(R)$ let

$$\Theta(g_1, \dots, g_{d+1}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{d+1}} \varepsilon(\sigma) T_\sigma(g_1, \dots, g_{d+1}).$$

We want to show $\Theta \equiv 0$. Writing R as a quotient of a domain of characteristic 0, one sees it is enough to consider such rings, and then that it suffices to consider the case of R a field of characteristic 0. Thus we assume this from now on.

Let $V = R^d$ and $W = V \otimes V^* = \text{End } V$. Note that Θ is invariant by the action of S_{d+1} . Hence if we extend Θ to a multi-linear map $\Theta : W^{\otimes d+1} \rightarrow R$, it is determined by its value on $\text{Sym}^{d+1} W \subset W^{\otimes d+1}$. Since $\text{Sym}^{d+1} W$ is spanned by the image of the diagonal map

$$\Delta : W \rightarrow W^{\otimes d+1}; \quad w \mapsto w \otimes w \otimes \dots \otimes w$$

it suffices to show that $\Theta(\Delta(w)) = 0$ for all $w \in W$.

As the semi-simple elements in $\text{Aut } V$ are Zariski dense in W , it is enough to show $\Theta(\Delta(w)) = 0$ for w semi-simple.

Choose a basis for V in which w is diagonal. Then a simple computation shows that $w \sum_{\sigma \in S_{d+1}} \varepsilon(\sigma) \sigma$ acting on $V^{\otimes d+1}$ has trace $\Theta(\Delta(w))$. Here S_{d+1} acts on $V^{\otimes d+1}$ by permutation of the factors in the tensor product, while w acts as $\Delta(w)$. Since

$$\left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_{d+1}} \varepsilon(\sigma) \sigma \right) V^{\otimes d+1} \subset \wedge^{d+1} V = 0,$$

the proposition follows. \square

(2.2) Deformations: Let $\tau : G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ be a pseudo-representation. For A in $\mathfrak{AR}_W(\mathbb{F})$ define

$$D_\tau(A) = \{\text{pseudo-representations } \tau_A : G \rightarrow A \text{ lifting } \tau\}.$$

We suppose from now on that $p \nmid d!$.

Proposition (2.2.1). *Suppose G satisfies Φ_p . Then D_τ is pro-representable by a complete local Noetherian $W(\mathbb{F})$ -algebra R_τ .*

This would be immediate if G were topologically finitely generated. In general we need some preparation.

Definition (2.2.2). *For any pseudo-representation $T : G \rightarrow R$ define*

$$\ker T = \{h \in G : T(gh) = T(g) \text{ for all } g \in G\}.$$

If we view T as an R -linear map $\tilde{T} : R[G] \rightarrow R$ then we set

$$\ker \tilde{T} = \{h \in R[G] : T(gh) = 0 \text{ for all } g \in G\}$$

Lemma (2.2.3). *Let A be in $\mathfrak{AR}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ and $\tau_A \in D_\tau(A)$. If $G' = \ker \tau$, and G'/H is the maximal pro- p quotient of G' , then $\ker \tau_A$ contains H .*

Proof. If $\ker \tau_A$ does not contain H , then the finite group $G'/\ker(\tau_A|_{G'})$ contains a non-trivial element of order coprime to p $\gamma \in G'/\ker(\tau_A|_{G'})$.

Suppose $g \in A[G']$ satisfies $\tau_A(g^i)^2 = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. Then taking $g_1 = g_2 = \dots = g$ in (2.1.2)(3), we find $\tau_A(g^{d+1}) = 0$. Taking $g_1 = h, g_2 = g_3 = \dots = g^{d+1}$ in (2.1.2)(3) we get $\tau_A(hg^{(d+1)^2}) = 0$ for all $h \in A[G']$. Hence $g^{(d+1)^2} \in \ker \tilde{\tau}_A$.

By induction on the length of A , we may assume that $\tilde{\tau}_A((\gamma - 1)^i)\mathfrak{m}_A = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. Hence $(\gamma - 1)^{(d+1)^2} \in \ker \tilde{\tau}_A$. But since γ has prime to p order, $(\gamma - 1)A[\langle \gamma \rangle]$ is an idempotent ideal, so $\gamma - 1 \in \ker \tilde{\tau}_A$ and $\gamma \in \ker \tau_A$. \square

Proof. By Lemma (2.2.3), we may consider τ_A as a pseudo-representation of G/H . This is a topologically finitely generated group so the proposition follows from (2.1.3)(3). \square

(2.3) Relationship between pseudo-representations and representations:

For absolutely irreducible representations we have the following

Theorem (2.3.1). *(Nyssen-Rouquier) Suppose that G satisfies Φ_p and that $\bar{\rho} : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_{\mathbb{F}})$ is absolutely irreducible. If $\bar{\tau} = \mathrm{tr}(\bar{\rho})$, then there is an isomorphism of functors on $\mathfrak{AR}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$, $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\bar{\tau}}$.*

(2.3.2) For any $\bar{\rho} : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_{\mathbb{F}})$ and $\bar{\tau} = \mathrm{tr}(\bar{\rho})$, one has, of course the morphism of functors $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square} \rightarrow D_{\bar{\tau}}$, however there is something more interesting:

Suppose for example that $\chi_1, \chi_2 : G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{\times}$ are characters and $c_1, c_2 \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(\chi_2, \chi_1)$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & c_1 + Tc_2 \\ 0 & \chi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is a representation $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}[T])$. More naturally, one obtains a family of representations of G over $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Ext}^1(\chi_1, \chi_2))$, the projectivization of $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\chi_2, \chi_1)$, all have the pseudo-character $\chi_1 + \chi_2$.

(2.3.3) To fully express the relationship between representations and pseudo-representations it will be convenient to work with groupoids see the appendix of [Ki] for a summary of what is needed. Briefly, if \mathcal{C} is a category, a groupoid over \mathcal{C} is a morphism of categories $\pi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. The morphism π is required to satisfy certain axioms, the most important of which is that a morphism in \mathcal{F} covering an identity map in \mathcal{C} is an isomorphism.

We will often specify groupoids by specifying the categories $\pi^{-1}(c)$ for $c \in \mathcal{C}$. For example, let $\mathcal{C} = \mathfrak{AR}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$, and $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}(A)$ the category of deformations of $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ to a finite free A -module V_A . Then the $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}(A)$ form a groupoid over $\mathfrak{AR}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$; for $\psi : A \rightarrow A'$ a map in $\mathfrak{AR}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ a morphism in $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$ covering ψ is an isomorphism $V_A \otimes_A A' \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{A'}$ where $V_A, V_{A'}$ are deformations of $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ to A and A' respectively.

Previously we also denoted by $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$ the functor of isomorphism classes of the groupoid, which assigns to A in $\mathfrak{AR}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ the set of isomorphism classes of $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}(A)$. When $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ has non-trivial automorphisms, then so do the objects in the categories $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}(A)$. In this situation and groupoid $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$ captures the geometry of the deformation theory of $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ more accurately than its functor of isomorphism classes.

(2.3.4) For a pseudo-representation $\bar{\tau} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$.

Let $\mathfrak{Aug}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ denote the category of pairs (A, B) where A is in $\mathfrak{AR}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ and B is an A -algebra. We consider such a B with the topology induced by the radical \mathfrak{m}_A of A .

Define a groupoid $\text{Rep}_{\bar{\tau}}$ on $\mathfrak{A}ug_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ by setting $\text{Rep}_{\bar{\tau}}(B)$ equal to the category of finite free B -modules V_B equipped with a continuous action of G such that $\text{tr}(\sigma|_{V_B \otimes_A A/\mathfrak{m}_A}) = \bar{\tau}(\sigma)$ for $\sigma \in G$.

Similarly we define $\text{Rep}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square}(B)$ to be the category of pairs (V_B, β) where V_B is in $\text{Rep}_{\bar{\tau}}(B)$ and β is a basis for V_B .

Finally we extend $D_{\bar{\tau}}$ to a groupoid on $\mathfrak{A}ug_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ by setting

$$D_{\bar{\tau}}(A, B) = \lim_{A' \subset B} D_{\bar{\tau}}(A')$$

where the limit runs over subalgebras $A' \subset B$ which are local, Artinian, A -algebras. This limit is also equal to the set of continuous pseudo-representations $\tau_B : G \rightarrow B$ such that $\tau_B \otimes_B B/\mathfrak{m}_A B = \bar{\tau}$.

Lemma (2.3.5). *If G satisfies Φ_p , then $\text{Rep}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square}$ is representable by a formal scheme over $\text{Spf } R_{\bar{\tau}}$ which is formally of finite type.*

Proof. This is an exercise. See the exercises below for the definition of representability of a groupoid. \square

(2.4) Exercises:

Exercise 1: Check carefully all the steps in the proof of Proposition (2.1.3)(1).

Exercise 2: If $\pi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a groupoid and $\xi \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{F})$ define a groupoid $\tilde{\xi} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ as follows: An object of $\tilde{\xi}$ is a morphism $\xi \rightarrow \eta$ in \mathcal{F} , and $\tilde{\xi} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is given by $(\xi \rightarrow \eta) \mapsto \pi(\eta)$.

We say that ξ represents \mathcal{F} if

$$\tilde{\xi} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}; \quad (\xi \rightarrow \eta) \mapsto \eta$$

is an equivalence of categories. If such a ξ exists we say that \mathcal{F} is representable.

Show that if \mathcal{F} is representable then $\text{Aut}(\eta) = \text{id}$ for all η in $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{F})$.

Exercise 3: If $e' : \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ and $e'' : \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ are morphisms of categories, let $\mathcal{F}' \times_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}''$ be the category whose objects are triples (η', η'', θ) where $\eta' \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{F}')$, $\eta'' \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{F}'')$ and θ is an isomorphism $\theta : e'(\eta') \xrightarrow{\sim} e''(\eta'')$.

For examples if $\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is a morphism of groupoids over \mathcal{C} and $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$ we can form $\mathcal{F}'_{\xi} = \mathcal{F}' \times_{\mathcal{F}} \tilde{\xi}$.

Let S be a scheme. Then we may consider an S -scheme as a groupoid over S -schemes, using the construction in Exercise 2. If $X \rightarrow Y$ and $X' \rightarrow Y'$ be morphisms of S -schemes, show that there is an isomorphism $\tilde{X} \times_{\tilde{Y}} \tilde{X}' \xrightarrow{\sim} (\tilde{X} \times_Y \tilde{X}')^{\sim}$.

REFERENCES

- [Ki] M. Kisin, *Moduli of finite flat group schemes and modularity*, Ann. of Math. to appear.
- [Ny] L. Nyssen, *Pseudo-représentations*, Math. Ann. **306** (1996), 257-283.
- [Ro] R. Rouquier, *Caractérisation des caractères et Pseudo-caractères*, J. of Algebra **180** (1996), 571-586.
- [Pr] C. Procesi, *The invariant theory of $n \times n$ matrices*, Adv. Math **19** (1976), 306-381.
- [Ta] R. Taylor, *Galois representations associated to Siegel modular forms of low weight*, Duke **63** (1991), 281-332.
- [Wi] A. Wiles, *On ordinary λ -adic representations associated to modular forms*, Invent. Math **94** (1988), 529-573.

LECTURES ON DEFORMATIONS OF GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS

MARK KISIN

LECTURE 3: REPRESENTABILITY

(3.1) Quotients by finite (formal) group actions are often representable, and indeed there are general results which guarantee this in certain situations.

In this section we assume that G satisfies the condition Φ_p . We begin with a result from Lecture 1, whose proof had been postponed.

Theorem (3.1.1). *Suppose $\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}[G]} V_{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbb{F}$. Then $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$ is representable.*

Proof. We already saw that $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}$ is representable by $\text{Spf } R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square} =: X_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$ where $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}$ is a complete local $W(\mathbb{F})$ -algebra.

Let $\widehat{\text{PGL}}_d$ denote the formal completion of the $W(\mathbb{F})$ -group scheme PGL_d along its identity section. Then $\widehat{\text{PGL}}_d$ acts on $X_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$ and we have

$$X_{V_{\mathbb{F}}} \times \widehat{\text{PGL}}_d \rightrightarrows X_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}; \quad (x, g) \mapsto (x, gx).$$

The action of $\widehat{\text{PGL}}_d$ on $X_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$ is *free* which means that the induced map

$$X_{V_{\mathbb{F}}} \times \widehat{\text{PGL}}_d \rightarrow X_{V_{\mathbb{F}}} \times X_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$$

is a closed immersion.

We would like to take the quotient of $X_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$ by this action. To do this we need a little preparation.

(3.1.2) Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_W$ denote the category of complete local Noetherian $W(\mathbb{F})$ -algebras, so the opposite category $(\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_W)^{\circ}$ is equivalent to the category of formal spectra of such $W(\mathbb{F})$ -algebras.

An *equivalence relation* $R \rightrightarrows X$ in $(\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_W)^{\circ}$ is a pair of morphisms such that

- (1) $R \rightarrow X \times X$ is a closed embedding.
- (2) For all T in $(\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_W)^{\circ}$ $R(T) \subset (X \times X)(T)$ is an equivalence relation.

For example let G be a group object in $(\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_W)^{\circ}$, and $G \times X \rightarrow X$ a free action. Then the map

$$G \times X \rightrightarrows X; \quad (g, x) \mapsto (x, gx)$$

is an equivalence relation.

A flat morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ in $(\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_W)^{\circ}$ is said to be a quotient of X by R , if the embedding $R \rightarrow X \times X$ induces an isomorphism $R \xrightarrow{\sim} X \times_Y X$.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

Theorem (3.1.3). (SGA 3, VIII, Thm. 1.4): Let $R \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{p_0} \\ \xrightarrow{p_1} \end{smallmatrix} X$ be an equivalence relation in $(\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}_W)^\circ$ such that the first projection $R \rightarrow X$ is flat. Then the quotient of X by R exists. If $X = \mathrm{Spf} B$ and $R = \mathrm{Spf} C$, then $X/R = \mathrm{Spf} A$, where

$$A = \{b \in B : p_0^*(b) = p_1^*(b)\}.$$

We can now complete the proof of Theorem (3.1.1) by applying (3.1.3) to the equivalence relation $X_{V_{\bar{\tau}}} \times \widehat{\mathrm{PGL}}_d \rightrightarrows X_{V_{\bar{\tau}}}$. \square

(3.2) Now fix a pseudo-representation $\bar{\tau} : G \rightarrow \bar{\tau}$. We now want to construct some representable subgroupoids of $\mathrm{Rep}_{\bar{\tau}} \rightarrow D_{\bar{\tau}}$.

Suppose that for $i = 1, \dots, s$ $\bar{\rho}_i : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{d_i}(\mathbb{F})$ are pairwise distinct absolutely irreducible representation of G , such that $\bar{\tau} = \sum_{i=1}^s \bar{\rho}_i$.

Let $\mathrm{Rep}'_{\bar{\tau}} \subset \mathrm{Rep}_{\bar{\tau}}$ be the full subgroupoid of $\mathrm{Rep}_{\bar{\tau}}$ such that $\mathrm{Rep}'_{\bar{\tau}}(A, B)$ consists of the objects V_B in $\mathrm{Rep}_{\bar{\tau}}(A, B)$ such that

$$(3.2.1) \quad V_B \otimes_B B/\mathfrak{m}_A B \sim \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\rho}_1 & c_1 & \dots & \dots \\ & \bar{\rho}_2 & c_2 & \dots \\ & & \dots & \dots \\ & & & \bar{\rho}_s \end{pmatrix}.$$

where for $i = 1, \dots, s-1$ c_i is a *non-trivial* extension of $\bar{\rho}_{i+1}$ by $\bar{\rho}_i$.

Note that the isomorphism in (3.2.1) is uniquely determined as our conditions imply that the representation on the right has no non-trivial automorphisms.

Theorem (3.2.2). *The groupoid $\mathrm{Rep}'_{\bar{\tau}} \rightarrow D_{\bar{\tau}}$ is representable by a proper formal scheme over $\mathrm{Spf} R_{\bar{\tau}}$.*

Proof. Let

$$\mathrm{Rep}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square, \prime} = \mathrm{Rep}'_{\bar{\tau}} \times_{\mathrm{Rep}_{\bar{\tau}}} \mathrm{Rep}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square} \subset \mathrm{Rep}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square}.$$

This is a locally closed subspace. The group PGL_d acts freely on $\mathrm{Rep}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square, \prime}$ as $V_B \in \mathrm{Rep}'_{\bar{\tau}}(A, B)$ has no non-trivial automorphisms. To take the quotient we need the following

Theorem (3.2.3). *Let $S = \mathrm{Spec} A$, with A a local Artin ring, and let X/S be a finite type S -scheme equipped with a free action by a reductive group G/S .¹ Suppose that every $x \in X$ is contained in an affine, G -stable open subset of X . Then the quotient X/G exists.*

x When S is a field this is explained in Mumford's book [GIT, Ch 1, §4, Prop 1.9]. The general case will be explained by Brian Conrad in another lecture.

We want to apply the theorem to the quotient $\mathrm{Rep}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square, \prime} / \mathrm{PGL}_d$.

For simplicity we will consider only the case when $d = 2$ and $\bar{\rho}_1, \bar{\rho}_2$ are characters, which we will denote by χ_1 and χ_2 respectively. We have to check the condition that every point of $\mathrm{Rep}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square, \prime}$ has an affine PGL_d -stable neighbourhood.

Let $U \subset \mathrm{Ext}^1(\chi_1, \chi_2) \setminus \{0\}$ be affine open and define $\mathrm{Rep}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square, U} \subset \mathrm{Rep}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square, \prime}$ the subgroupoid consisting of those (V_B, β) in $\mathrm{Rep}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square, \prime}$ such that $V_B \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & c \\ 0 & \chi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with $c \in U$. Then $\mathrm{Rep}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square, U}$ is stable by PGL_2 , and its fibre over the closed point of $\mathrm{Spf} R_{\bar{\tau}}$

¹A reductive group over a base S is a smooth group scheme with reductive fibres.

is isomorphic to U . In particular $\text{Rep}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square, U}$ is affine, and we may apply (3.2.3) with $A = R_{\bar{\tau}}/\mathfrak{m}_{R_{\bar{\tau}}}^n$ for $n = 1, \dots$.

We obtain an (a priori non-separated) scheme $\mathcal{E}_{\bar{\tau}, n}$ over $R_{\bar{\tau}}/\mathfrak{m}_{R_{\bar{\tau}}}^n$, and hence a formal scheme $\mathcal{E}_{\bar{\tau}} = \lim_n \mathcal{E}_{\bar{\tau}, n}$. Since $\mathcal{E}_{\bar{\tau}, 1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}(\text{Ext}^1(\chi_2, \chi_1))$ is proper, we see also that $\mathcal{E}_{\bar{\tau}}$ is proper. \square

Remark (3.2.4) I expect that the representing formal scheme is projective and therefore arises from a *scheme* of finite type over $\text{Spec} R_{\bar{\tau}}$.

Corollary (3.2.5). *Let $x \in \text{Rep}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square}(\mathbb{F})$ and $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ the corresponding representation of G . Then the complete local ring at x of $\text{Rep}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square}$ pro-represents $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}$.*

On the situation of (3.2), if $x \in \text{Rep}_{\bar{\tau}}^{\square}(\mathbb{F})$ then the complete local ring at x is a quotient of $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}$.

Proof. This follows immediately from the definitions. \square

Corollary (3.2.6). *Let $E/W(\mathbb{F})[1/p]$ be a finite extension and $x : \text{Rep}'_{\bar{\tau}} \rightarrow E$ a point such that the corresponding E -valued pseudo-representation τ_x is absolutely irreducible. Then the map*

$$\text{Rep}'_{\bar{\tau}} \rightarrow \text{Spf } R_{\bar{\tau}}$$

is a closed embedding over a formal neighbourhood of τ_x .²

Proof. First we remark that x is the only point of $\text{Rep}'_{\bar{\tau}}$ lying over τ_x . To see this suppose x' is another such point and let denote by V_x and $V_{x'}$ the corresponding G -representations. Then, by the properness of $\text{Rep}'_{\bar{\tau}}$ x and x' arise from \mathcal{O}_E valued points, which in turn correspond to G -stable lattice $L_x \subset V_x$ and $L'_x \subset V_{x'}$. Since V_x and $V_{x'}$ are absolutely irreducible with the same trace, they are isomorphic. We may choose this isomorphism so that it induces a non-zero map $L_x \rightarrow L_{x'}$, whose reduction modulo the radical (π_E) of \mathcal{O}_E is non-zero. Now as $L_x/\pi_E L_x$ and $L_{x'}/\pi_E L_{x'}$ are both non-zero described in (3.2.1), the only non-zero maps between them are isomorphisms. Hence we find that $L_x \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{x'}$.

Next let \widehat{R}_{τ_x} be the complete local ring at τ_x . By (3.2.4) \widehat{R}_{τ_x} is the universal deformation ring of τ_x . Similarly the complete local ring at x , \widehat{R}_x is a quotient of the universal deformation ring of V_x , by Lecture 1, Exercise 4 and (3.2.6). Hence the map $\widehat{R}_{\tau_x} \rightarrow \widehat{R}_x$ is a surjection by the Theorem of Nyssen-Rouquier (2.3.1). \square

Exercises:

Exercise 1: Verify that the quotient produced in the proof of (3.1.1) does represent $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$.

Exercise 2: Complete the proof of (3.2.2) for arbitrary d .

REFERENCES

- [GIT] D. Mumford, *Geometric invariant theory*, Ergeb. der Math. 34, Springer, 1994.
 [SGA 3] M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck, *Schemas en Groupes I, II, III*, Lecture notes in math. 151-153, Springer, 1970.

²Note that when we refer to E -valued points of formal schemes over $W(\mathbb{F})$, and complete local rings at such points, what we really mean is the complete local ring at the corresponding point of the p -adic analytic space

LECTURES ON DEFORMATIONS OF GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS

MARK KISIN

LECTURE 4: PRESENTING GLOBAL DEFORMATION RINGS OVER LOCAL ONES

(4.1) Let F be a number field and S a finite set of primes of F containing the primes dividing p . Fix an algebraic closure \bar{F} of F and denote by $F_S \subset \bar{F}$ the maximal extension of F unramified outside S . Write $G_{F,S} = \text{Gal}(F_S/F)$.

Let $\Sigma \subset S$ and fix an algebraic closure \bar{F}_v of F_v for each $v \in \Sigma$, as well as an embedding $\bar{F} \hookrightarrow \bar{F}_v$. We write $G_{F_v} = \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_v/F_v)$.

Let E/\mathbb{Q}_p be a finite extension with ring of integers \mathcal{O} and uniformizer $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}$. We fix a finite dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ equipped with a continuous action of $G_{F,S}$, and a continuous character $\psi : G_{F,S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\times}$ such that $\det_{\mathbb{F}} V_{\mathbb{F}} \sim \psi$.

For simplicity we assume in the following that $p \nmid \dim V_{\mathbb{F}}$, although this is not really necessary (see [Ki 2]).

(4.1.1) For each $v \in \Sigma$ fix a basis β_v of $V_{\mathbb{F}}$. For A in $\mathfrak{AR}_W(\mathbb{F})$ denote by $D_v^{\square, \psi}(A)$ the category of framed deformations of $(V_{\mathbb{F}}|_{G_{F_v}}, \beta)$ to A , with determinant ψ . This is pro-representable by a complete local \mathcal{O} -algebra $R_v^{\square, \psi}$.

Similarly we denote by $D_{F,S}^{\square, \psi}(A)$ the category whose objects consist of a deformation of $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ to V_A together with a lifting of *each* basis β_v to an A -basis of V_A .

We also have the analogous functors D_v^{ψ} and $D_{F,S}^{\psi}$ for unframed deformations and the universal \mathcal{O} -algebras R_v^{ψ} and $R_{F,S}^{\psi}$ when these functors are representable.

We set

$$R_{\Sigma}^{\square, \psi} = \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}, v \in \Sigma} R_v^{\square, \psi} \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\Sigma}^{\psi} = \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}, v \in \Sigma} R_v^{\psi}$$

when the latter ring exists.

Finally we denote by $\mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma}^{\square}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{F,S}^{\square}$ the radicals of $R_{\Sigma}^{\square, \psi}$ and $R_{F,S}^{\square, \psi}$ respectively, and similarly for \mathfrak{m}_{Σ} and $\mathfrak{m}_{F,S}$.

Proposition (4.1.2). *For $i \geq 1$ let h_{Σ}^i and c_{Σ}^i denote respectively the dimension of the kernel and cokernel of*

$$\theta^i : H^i(G_{F,S}, \text{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in \Sigma} H^i(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}})$$

where $\text{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}} \subset \text{ad} V_{\mathbb{F}}$ denotes the space of endomorphisms with trace 0. If R_{Σ}^{ψ} exists then $R_{F,S}^{\psi}$ is a quotient of $R_{\Sigma}^{\psi}[[x_1, \dots, x_{h_{\Sigma}^1}]]$ by $c_{\Sigma}^1 + h_{\Sigma}^2$ relations.

In general let

$$\eta : \mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma}^{\square} / (\mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma}^{\square, 2}, \pi_{\mathcal{O}}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{F,S}^{\square} / (\mathfrak{m}_{F,S}^{\square, 2}, \pi_{\mathcal{O}}).$$

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TeX

Then $R_{F,S}^{\psi,\square}$ is a quotient of a power series ring over R_{Σ}^{\square} in $\dim \ker \eta$ variables by $h_{\Sigma}^2 + \dim \ker \eta$ relations.

Proof. We prove only the first statement. Choose a surjection

$$(4.1.3) \quad \tilde{R} := R_{\Sigma}^{\psi}[[x_1, \dots, x_{h_{\Sigma}^1}]] \rightarrow R_{F,S}^{\psi}$$

which induces a surjection on reduced tangent spaces and denote by J its kernel. We denote by $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ the radical of \tilde{R} .

The universal representation $\rho_{R_{F,S}^{\psi}} : G_{F,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(R_{F,S}^{\psi})$ has a set theoretic lifting $\tilde{\rho} : G_{F,S} \rightarrow G_{F,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\tilde{R}/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}J)$ such that $\det \tilde{\rho}(\gamma) = \psi(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in G_{F,S}$. Such a lifting exists as the fibres of $\det : \mathrm{GL}_d \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1$ are torsors over SL_d , and in particular smooth.

Define

$$c : G_{F,S}^2 \rightarrow J/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}J \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathrm{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}; \quad c(g_1, g_2) = \tilde{\rho}(g_1 g_2) \tilde{\rho}(g_2)^{-1} \tilde{\rho}(g_1)^{-1}$$

where we regard

$$J/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}J \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathrm{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \ker(\mathrm{GL}_d(\tilde{R}/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}J) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\tilde{R}/J))$$

Then $[c] \in H^2(G_{F,S}, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}) \otimes J/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}J$ depends only on $\rho_{R_{F,S}^{\psi}}$ and not on $\tilde{\rho}$, and $[c] \sim 0$ if and only if $\tilde{\rho}$ can be chosen to be a homomorphism (see Exercise 2 below).

As $\rho_{R_{F,S}^{\psi}}|_{G_{F_v}}$ is induced by the universal representation over R_v^{ψ} , $\rho_{R_{F,S}^{\psi}}|_{G_{F_v}}$ lifts to $\tilde{R}/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}J$ and hence $[c]_{G_{F_v}} \sim 0$. Hence $[c] \in H_{\Sigma}^2(G_{F,S}, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}) \otimes J/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}J$ where

$$H_{\Sigma}^2(G_{F,S}, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}) := \ker(H^2(G_{F,S}, \mathrm{ad}^- V_{\mathbb{F}}) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in \Sigma} H^2(G_{F_v}, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}})),$$

and we obtain a map

$$(4.1.4) \quad (J/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}J)^* \rightarrow H_{\Sigma}^2(G_{F,S}, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}); \quad u \mapsto \langle [c], u \rangle.$$

Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I = \ker(\mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma}/(\mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma}^2, \pi_{\mathcal{O}}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{F,S}/(\mathfrak{m}_{F,S}^2, \pi_{\mathcal{O}})) & & \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ \oplus D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}|_{G_{F_v}}} (F[\epsilon])^* & \longrightarrow & D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}(\mathbb{F}[\epsilon]) \end{array}$$

Note that $I \xrightarrow{\sim} \ker(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}/(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}^2, \pi_{\mathcal{O}}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{F,S}/(\mathfrak{m}_{F,S}^2, \pi_{\mathcal{O}}))$, so reducing mod $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ we get a surjection $J/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}J \rightarrow I$ and an injection $I^* \hookrightarrow (J/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}J)^*$.

We claim that I^* contains the kernel of (4.1.4). If $0 \neq u \in (J/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}J)^*$ let \tilde{R}_u be the pushout of $\tilde{R}/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}J$ by u . Then $R_{F,S}^{\psi} = \tilde{R}_u/I_u$ where $I_u \subset \tilde{R}_u$ is an ideal with $I_u \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ and which is 1-dimensional as an \mathbb{F} -vector space. If $\langle [c], u \rangle = 0$ then $\rho_{F,S}^{\psi}$ lifts to a representation into $\mathrm{GL}_d(\tilde{R}_u)$ with determinant ψ . Hence $\tilde{R}_u \rightarrow R_{F,S}^{\psi}$ has a section and $\tilde{R}_u = R_{F,S}^{\psi} \oplus I_u$. This implies that $\tilde{R}_u \rightarrow R_{F,S}^{\psi}$ does not induce a bijection on reduced tangent spaces. In particular, the composite

$$\ker(J/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}J \rightarrow I) \rightarrow J/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}J \rightarrow I_u$$

is not surjective, and is therefore 0. This means that u factors through I , which proves our claim.

It follows that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}}(J/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}J)^* \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}} I + h_{\Sigma}^2 = c_{\Sigma}^1 + h_{\Sigma}^2.$$

□

Theorem (4.2). *Suppose $\{v|p\} \subset \Sigma$, $\{v|\infty\} \subset S$, and $S \setminus \Sigma$ contains a finite prime. Then*

$$R_{F,S}^\psi \xrightarrow{\sim} R_\Sigma^\psi[[x_1, \dots, x_r]]/(f_1, \dots, f_{r+s})$$

for some $r \geq 0$, and $s = \sum_{v|\infty, v \notin \Sigma} \dim_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}})^{G_{F_v}}$, provided the rings $R_{F,S}^\psi$ and R_Σ^ψ exist.

Moreover,

$$R_{F,S}^\psi \xrightarrow{\sim} R_\Sigma^\psi[[x_1, \dots, x_{r+\Sigma-1}]]/(f_1, \dots, f_{r+s})$$

(4.2.1) To prove the theorem, we need the Poitou-Tate sequence. Let X be a finite abelian p -group equipped with an action of $G_{F,S}$. We denote by X^\vee the Pontryagin dual of X , and by $X^* = X^\vee(1)$ its Tate dual. Then there is an exact sequence

(PT(X))

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(G_{F,S}, X) &\rightarrow \prod_{v|\infty} \widehat{H}^0(G_{F_v}, X) \times \prod_{v \in S_f} H^0(G_v, X) \rightarrow H^0(G_{F,S}, X^*)^\vee \\ &\rightarrow H^1(G_{F,S}, X) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S} H^1(G_{F_v}, X) \rightarrow H^1(G_{F,S}, X^*)^\vee \\ &\rightarrow H^2(G_{F,S}, X) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S} H^2(G_{F_v}, X) \rightarrow H^0(G_{F,S}, X^*)^\vee \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Here $\widehat{H}^0(G_{F_v}, X)$ denotes $H^0(G_{F_v}, X)$ modulo the subgroup of norms in X .

Local Tate duality provides an isomorphism

$$H^i(G_{F_v}, X)^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{2-i}(G_{F_v}, X^*)$$

for v a finite prime and $i = 0, 1, 2$. Using this, one can identify the Pontryagin dual of the sequence $PT(X)$ with $PT(X^*)$.

Proof of (4.2). We will prove only the first statement since the proof of the second statement requires only some extra book keeping.

We apply the above sequence with $X = \mathrm{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}$. First note that, using the remark on the duality of $PT(X)$ and $PT(X^*)$ one sees that the map

$$\prod_{S \setminus \Sigma} H^2(G_{F_v}, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}) \rightarrow H^0(G_{F,S}, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}(1))^\vee$$

induced by the final map of $PT(X)$ is surjective, as $S \setminus \Sigma$ contains a finite prime. Hence the map

$$H^2(G_{F,S}, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in \Sigma} H^2(G_{F_v}, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}})$$

is surjective and

$$h_\Sigma^2 = h^2(G_{F,S}, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}) - \sum_{v \in \Sigma} h^2(G_{F_v}, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}).$$

Here we use the convention that $h^i = \dim H^i$.

By (4.1.2) we have $R_{F,S}^\psi[[x_1, \dots, x_r]]/(f_1, \dots, f_{r+s})$. with

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.2.2) \quad -s &= h_\Sigma^1 - c_\Sigma^1 - h_\Sigma^2 \\
 &= h^1(G_{F,S}, \text{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}) - \sum_{v \in \Sigma} h^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}) - h^2(G_{F,S}, \text{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}) - \sum_{v \in \Sigma} h^2(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}) \\
 &= -\chi(G_{F,S}, \text{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}) + \sum_{v \in \Sigma} \chi(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that fact that the existence of $R_{G_{F,S}}^\psi$ and \mathcal{R}_Σ^ψ implies that $\text{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}$ has no $G_{F,S}$ or G_{F_v} invariants for $v \in \Sigma$.

Now we use Tate's global Euler characteristic formula which says that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.2.3) \quad \chi(G_{F,S}, \text{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}) &= \sum_{v|\infty} (h^0(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}) - [F_v : R] \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}) \\
 &= \left(\sum_{v|\infty} h^0(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}) \right) - [F : \mathbb{Q}] \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The local Euler characteristic $\chi(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}})$ is 0 if $v \nmid p$ is a finite prime. Hence the contributions of the local terms in (4.2.2) is

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.2.4) \quad \sum_{v|\infty, v \in \Sigma} h^0(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}) - \sum_{v|p} [F_v : \mathbb{Q}_p] \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}} \\
 = \sum_{v|\infty, v \in \Sigma} h^0(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}) - [F : \mathbb{Q}] \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting (4.2.4) from (4.2.3) one finds

$$s = \sum_{v|\infty, v \notin \Sigma} h^0(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0 V_{\mathbb{F}}).$$

□

Exercises:

Exercise 1: Prove the second statement in Proposition (4.1.2).

Exercise 2: Check the statements about the cocycle c in Proposition: That $[c]$ does not depend on $\tilde{\rho}$ and is trivial if and only if $\tilde{\rho}$ can be chosen to be a homomorphism.

Exercise 3: Prove the second statement in Theorem (4.2)

Exercise 4: (This is more difficult.) Formulate and prove Theorem (4.2) without assuming $p \nmid \dim V_{\mathbb{F}}$.

REFERENCES

- [Ki 2] M. Kisin, *Modularity of 2-dimensional Galois representations*, Current developments in mathematics 2005, 2008.

LECTURES ON DEFORMATIONS OF GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS

MARK KISIN

LECTURE 5: FLAT DEFORMATIONS

(5.1) Flat deformations: Let K/\mathbb{Q}_p be a finite extension with residue field k . Let $W = W(k)$ and $K_0 = \text{Fr}W$. We consider a finite dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space equipped with a continuous action of G_K . Fix an algebraic closure \bar{K} of K , and let $G_K = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$.

Recall that a representation of G_K on a finite abelian p -group is called *flat* if it arises from a finite flat group scheme over \mathcal{O}_K .

The following result is due to Ramakrishna [Ra]:

Proposition (5.1.1). *Let $A \in \mathfrak{AR}_W$ and V_A in $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}(A)$. There exists a quotient A^{fl} of A such that for any morphism $A \rightarrow A'$ in \mathfrak{AR}_W , $V_{A'} =: V_A \otimes_A A'$ is flat if and only if $A \rightarrow A'$ factors through A^{fl} .*

Proof. First note that if V is a finite abelian p -group equipped with a continuous action of G_K and V is flat, then any G_K -stable subgroup $V' \subset V$ is flat. Indeed, suppose $V = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}})$ think of V, V' as finite étale group schemes over K . If \mathcal{G}' is the closure of V' in \mathcal{G} , then $V' = \mathcal{G}'(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}})$.

This remark shows that if $\theta : A \rightarrow A'$ is a morphism in \mathfrak{AR}_W then $V_{A'}$ is flat if and only if $V_{\theta(A)}$ is flat. Similarly, if $I, J \subset A$ are ideals and $V_{A/I}$ and $V_{A/J}$ is flat then $V_{A/I \cap J} \subset V_{A/I} \oplus V_{A/J}$ is flat. \square

Corollary (5.1.2). *Let $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\text{fl}} \subset D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$ denote the sub-functor corresponding to flat deformations. Then $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\text{fl}} \subset D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$ is relatively representable.*

Proof. In the language of groupoids this just means that if ξ in $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$, then $(D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\text{fl}})_{\xi}$ is representable, and this follows from (5.1.1). \square

(5.2) Weakly admissible modules and Smoothness of the generic fibre:

Proposition (5.2.1). *Suppose that $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$ is pro-represented by $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$ and let $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\text{fl}}$ be the quotient of $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$ which pro-represents $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\text{fl}}$. Let $E/W(\mathbb{F})[1/p]$ be a finite extension and $x : R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\text{fl}}[1/p] \rightarrow E$ be a point such that $\ker x$ has residue field E . Write $\widehat{R}_x^{\text{fl}}$ (resp. \widehat{R}_x) for the completion of $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\text{fl}}[1/p]$ (resp. $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}[1/p]$) at x .*

For any Artinian quotient $\epsilon : \widehat{R}_x \rightarrow B$ denote the specialization of the universal deformation by $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}} \rightarrow B$. Then ϵ factors through $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\text{fl}}$ if and only if V_B factors through $\widehat{R}_x^{\text{fl}}$ if and only if V_B arises from a p -divisible group. Moreover this condition holds if and only if V_B is crystalline.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - $\text{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

Proof. Let B be in \mathfrak{A}_E and denote by Int_B the set of finite \mathcal{O}_E -subalgebras $A \subset B$. Then $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}} \rightarrow B$ factors through some A in Int_B . Denote by V_A the induced G_K representation.

Then V_B arises from a p -divisible group if and only if V_A does. By a result of Raynaud [Ray, 2.3.1], V_A arises from a p -divisible group if and only if $V_A/p^n V_A$ is flat for $n \geq 1$. This is equivalent to asking that $V_A \otimes_A A/\mathfrak{m}_A^n$ be flat for $n \geq 1$, or that $V_A \otimes_A A/\mathfrak{m}_A^n$ is in $D^{\text{fl}}(A/\mathfrak{m}_A^n)$.

For the final statement we use Breuil's result that a crystalline representation with all Hodge-Tate weights equal to $0, 1$ arises from a p -divisible group [Br, Thm. 5.3.2], [Ki 4, 2.2.6]. \square

(5.2.2) The deformation theoretic description of $\widehat{R}_x^{\text{fl}}$ allows us to show that this ring is always formally smooth over E , and hence that $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\text{fl}}[1/p]$ is formally smooth over $W(\mathbb{F})[1/p]$. To prove this we need a little preparation (see [Ki 3]).

For any weakly admissible filtered φ -module D over K , denote by $C^\bullet(D)$ the complex $C^\bullet(D)$ the complex

$$D \xrightarrow{(1-\varphi, \text{id})} D \oplus D_K/\text{Fil}^0 D_K$$

concentrated in degrees $0, 1$.

Lemma (5.2.3). *There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\text{Ext}_{w.\text{adm}}^1(\mathbf{1}, D) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(C^\bullet(D)).$$

where $\mathbf{1} = K_0$ denotes the unit object in the category of weakly admissible module.

Proof. Let

$$0 \rightarrow D \rightarrow \tilde{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow 0$$

be an extension. Let $\tilde{d} \in \text{Fil}^0 \tilde{D}$ be a lift of $1 \in \mathbf{1}$. Note that $D_K/\text{Fil}^0 D_K \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{D}_K/\text{Fil}^0 \tilde{D}_K$, so we may regard $\tilde{d} \in D_K/\text{Fil}^0 D_K$. Moreover $(1-\varphi)(\tilde{d}) \in D$. We associate the class

$$((1-\varphi)\tilde{d}, \tilde{d}) \in H^1(C^\bullet(D))$$

to the given extension.

If $(d_0, d_1) \in D \oplus D_K/\text{Fil}^0 D_K$ we construct an extension of $\mathbf{1}$ by D by setting $\tilde{D} = D \oplus \mathbf{1}$ on underlying K_0 -vector spaces and defining φ on \tilde{D} by $\varphi(1) = 1 + d_0$ and the filtration by

$$\text{Fil}^i \tilde{D}_K = \text{Fil}^i D_K + K \cdot d_1 \quad i \leq 0$$

and $\text{Fil}^i \tilde{D}_K = \text{Fil}^i D_K$ if $i > 0$.

One checks that these two constructions induce the required isomorphism and its inverse. \square

(5.2.4) Let E/\mathbb{Q}_p be a finite extension, and D_E a weakly admissible filtered φ -module over K , equipped with an action of E . For B in \mathfrak{A}_E we denote by $D_{D_E}(B)$ the category of weakly admissible filtered φ -modules D_B , equipped with an action of B , and an isomorphism $D_B \otimes_B E \xrightarrow{\sim} D$, such that D and $\text{gr}^\bullet D_K$ are free B -modules.

For V_E a crystalline representation on a finite dimensional E -vector space, and B in \mathfrak{A}_E we denote by $D_{\text{cris}}(V_B)$ the category of crystalline deformations of V_E to B .

Lemma (5.2.5). *Let V_E be as above and $D_E = D_{\text{cris}}(V_E)$. Then D_{cris} induces an equivalence of groupoids over $\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{R}_E$ $D_{V_E} \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{D_E}$. Moreover each of these groupoids is formally smooth.*

Proof. The proof of the first statement is formally similar to (5.3.5) below, and left as an exercise to the reader. The formal smoothness can be proved by a deformation theoretic argument using (5.2.3) and the fact that $H^2(C^\bullet(\text{ad}D_E)) = 0$. \square

Proposition (5.2.6). *In the notation of (5.2.1), let $D_E = D_{\text{cris}}(V_E)$. The E -algebra $\widehat{R}_x^{\text{fl}}$ is formally smooth of dimension*

$$\dim_E H^1(C^\bullet(\text{ad}D_x)) = 1 + \dim_E D_K / \text{Fil}^0 D_K.$$

Proof. By (5.2.1) $\widehat{R}_x^{\text{fl}}$ pro-represents D_{V_E} , which is equivalent to D_{D_E} and hence formally smooth by (5.2.5). The dimension of $\widehat{R}_x^{\text{fl}}$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_E \text{Ext}_{\text{cris}}^1(V_E, V_E) &= \dim_E \text{Ext}_{w.\text{adm}}^1(D_E, D_E) = \\ \dim_E \text{Ext}_{w.\text{adm}}^1(\mathbf{1}, \text{ad}D_x) &= \dim_E H^1(C^\bullet(\text{ad}D)) = 1 + \dim_E D_K / \text{Fil}^0 D_K. \end{aligned}$$

Here the first term means crystalline self extensions of V_E , as a representation of $E[G_K]$, the second last equality follows from (5.2.3), and the final one from the fact that the Euler characteristic of a finite complex is equal to that of its cohomology. \square

(5.3) The Fontaine-Laffaille functor and Smoothness when $e = 1$: Recall the Fontaine-Laffaille category MF_{tor}^1 whose objects consist of a finite, torsion W -module M , together with a submodule $M^1 \subset M$, and Frobenius semi-linear maps

$$\varphi : M \rightarrow M \text{ and } \varphi^1 : M^1 \rightarrow M$$

such that

- (1) $\varphi|_{M^1} = p\varphi^1$.
- (2) $\varphi(M) + \varphi^1(M^1) = M$.

MF_{tor}^1 is an abelian subcategory of the category of filtered W -modules of finite length [FL, 1.9.1.10]. In particular, any morphism on MF_{tor}^1 is strict for filtrations.

Note also that if $p \cdot M = 0$, then $\varphi(M^1) = 0$, and so comparing the lengths of the two sides of (2) above shows that φ^1 is injective and

$$\varphi(M) \oplus \varphi^1(M^1) \xrightarrow{\sim} M.$$

Theorem (5.3.1). *(Fontaine-Laffaille, Raynaud) Suppose that $K = K_0$ and $p > 2$. Then there exist equivalences of abelian categories*

$$\text{MF}_{\text{tor}}^1 \xrightarrow[\text{FL}]{\sim} \{\text{f.flat group schemes}/W\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{flat reps. of } G_K\}.$$

Proof. The first equivalence is obtained by composing the anti-equivalence [FL, 9.11] with Cartier duality. The second follows from Raynaud's result [Ray, 3.3.6] that when $e(K/K_0) < p - 1$ the functor $\mathcal{G} \mapsto \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}})$ is fully faithful and the category of finite flat group schemes over \mathcal{O}_K is abelian. \square

(5.3.2) We will need a little more information about the functor FL. For a finite flat group scheme \mathcal{G} over W we denote by $t_{\mathcal{G}}$ the tangent space of $\mathcal{G} \otimes_W k$, and by \mathcal{G}^* the Cartier dual of \mathcal{G} .

The contravariant version of the functor is constructed via the theory of Honda systems, which is an extension of Dieudonné theory (which classifies finite flat group schemes over k) [FL, 9.7].

In particular, if \mathcal{G} killed by p , and $M = \text{FL}(\mathcal{G})$, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (t_{\mathcal{G}^*})^{\vee} \rightarrow \sigma^{-1*}M \rightarrow t_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow 0$$

where σ denote the Frobenius on W . Moreover the linear map $1 \otimes \varphi_1 : M^1 \rightarrow \sigma^{-1*}M$ identifies M^1 with $(t_{\mathcal{G}^*})^{\vee}$. In particular

$$\dim_k M^1 = \dim_k \varphi^1(M_1) = \dim_k t_{\mathcal{G}^*}.$$

Now suppose that \mathcal{G} is a p -divisible group, $T_p\mathcal{G}$ its Tate module, and \mathcal{G}^* its Cartier dual. Write $V_p\mathcal{G} = T_p\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$. Then

$$D(\mathcal{G}) := D_{\text{cris}}(T_p\mathcal{G})(1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{G_K}(T_p\mathcal{G}^*, B_{\text{cris}})$$

is a weakly admissible module whose associated graded is zero except in degrees 0, 1. The lattice $T_p\mathcal{G} \subset V_p\mathcal{G}$ corresponds to a *strongly divisible lattice* $M \subset D_{\text{cris}}(T_p\mathcal{G})$ with M/pM canonically isomorphic to $\text{FL}(\mathcal{G}[p])$ as an object of MF_{tor}^1 . In particular

$$(5.3.3) \quad \dim_{K_0} \text{Fil}^1 D(\mathcal{G}) = \text{rk}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_0}} \text{Fil}^1 M = \dim_k M^1 = \dim_k t_{\mathcal{G}^*}[p].$$

Theorem (5.3.4). *Suppose $K = K_0$ and $p > 2$. Then $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\text{fl}}$ is formally smooth. If $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$ denote the unique finite flat model of $V_{\mathbb{F}}$, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^*$ denotes its Cartier dual, and $t_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}}$ denotes the tangent space of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}$, then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\text{fl}}(\mathbb{F}[\epsilon]) = 1 + \dim_{\mathbb{F}} t_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}} \dim_{\mathbb{F}} t_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}}^*}.$$

Proof. Let $M_{\mathbb{F}}$ in MF_{tor}^1 denote the object corresponding to $V_{\mathbb{F}}$. Then $M_{\mathbb{F}}$ is naturally an \mathbb{F} -vector space by the full faithfulness of (5.2.1). Let $D_{M_{\mathbb{F}}}$ denote the groupoid over $\mathfrak{AR}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ such that $D_{M_{\mathbb{F}}}(A)$ is the category of objects M_A in MF_{tor}^1 equipped with an action of A , such that M_A is a finite free A -module and M^1 is an A -module direct summand, and an isomorphism $M_A \otimes_A \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{\mathbb{F}}$ in MF_{tor}^1 .

Lemma (5.3.5). *The Fontaine-Laffaille functor of (5.2.1) induces an equivalence of categories*

$$\text{FL} : D_{M_{\mathbb{F}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\text{fl}}.$$

Proof. If M_A is in $D_{M_{\mathbb{F}}}(A)$ let V_A be its image under FL. As FL is exact V_A is finite free over A . Indeed, for any finite A -module N we have

$$(5.3.4) \quad \text{FL}(M_A) \otimes_A N \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{FL}(M_A \otimes_A N).$$

This is obvious if N is free over A , and the general case follows by choosing a presentation of N by free modules. As the right hand side is an exact functor in N ,

so is the left hand side, which shows that V_A is a free A -module. Applying (5.3.4) with $N = \mathbb{F}$, one also sees that V_A is naturally a deformation of $V_{\mathbb{F}}$.

Conversely if V_A is in $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\text{fl}}$, and $M_A \in \text{MF}_{\text{tor}}^1$ satisfies $\text{FL}(M_A) \xrightarrow{\sim} V_A$, then M_A is an A -module by the full faithfulness of FL , and since MF_{tor}^1 is abelian, the same argument as above shows that M_A is free over A , and that $M_A^1 \subset M_A$ is an A -module direct summand \square

(5.3.6) We return to the proof of (5.3.4). By the lemma to prove the formal smoothness of $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\text{fl}}$ it suffices to prove the formal smoothness of $D_{M_{\mathbb{F}}}$. Let A be in $\mathfrak{AR}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$, $I \subset A$ an ideal and $M_{A/I}$ in $D_{M_{\mathbb{F}}}(A/I)$. We have to show that $M_{A/I}$ lifts to an object of $D_{M_{\mathbb{F}}}(A)$.

First choose a lifting of the A/I -module $M_{A/I}$ to an A -module M_A , and a submodule $M_A^1 \subset M_A$ which is a direct summand and lifts $M_{A/I}^1$. Next let $L_{A/I} = \varphi^1(M_{A/I}^1)$, and choose a lift of $L_{A/I}$ to a direct summand $L_A \subset M_A$ and a lift of the composite

$$\varphi^*(M_A) \rightarrow \varphi^*(M_{A/I}) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \varphi^1} M_{A/I}$$

to L_A . Finally one checks that the map $1 \otimes p\varphi^1 : \varphi^*(M_A^1) \rightarrow M_A$ admits an extension to a map $\varphi^*(M_A) \rightarrow M_A$ which induces the given map $\varphi^*(M_{A/I}) \rightarrow M_{A/I}$.

(5.3.7) It remains to check that the dimension of $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\text{fl}}$ has the claimed dimension. We could do this directly by computing the dimension of $D_{M_{\mathbb{F}}}(\mathbb{F}[\epsilon])$, however it is simpler to use our computation of the dimension of the generic fibre of $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\text{fl}}$.

Let $x : R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\text{fl}}[1/p] \rightarrow E$ be a surjective map, where E is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . Write V_x for the corresponding crystalline representation. Since we already know that $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\text{fl}}$ is smooth, we need to compute the dimension of the tangent space of $\mathcal{R}_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\text{fl}}[1/p]$ at x . Let $D_x = D_{\text{cris}}(V_x)$.

Using (5.2.6) and (5.3.3) one sees that this dimension is¹

$$1 + \dim_E(D_x/\text{Fil}^1 D_x) \dim_E \text{Fil}^1 D_x = 1 + \dim_{\mathbb{F}} t_{\mathcal{G}} \dim_{\mathbb{F}} t_{\mathcal{G}^*}.$$

\square

Exercises:

Exercise 1: Formulate and prove Proposition (5.2.1) for framed deformations.

Exercise 2: Check that the two constructions used to define the isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_{w.\text{adm}}^1(\mathbf{1}, D) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(C^\bullet(D))$$

in (5.2.3) are well defined and inverse.

Exercise 3: Give an explicit description of the isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_{w.\text{adm}}^1(\mathbf{1}, \text{ad}D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_{w.\text{adm}}^1(D, D)$$

used in (5.2.6).

Exercise 4: Show that the functor D_{D_E} in (5.2.5) is formally smooth.

Exercise 5: Show that the category MF_{tor}^1 is equivalent to the category of *finite Honda systems* defined in Conrad's lectures. This is slightly tricky [FL, 9.4].

¹The computation becomes slightly easier if $E = W(\mathbb{F})[1/p]$, which we may assume as $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\text{fl}}$ is formally smooth.

REFERENCES

- [Br] C. Breuil, *Groupes p -divisibles, groupes finis et modules filtrés*, Ann. Math. **152** (2000), 489-549.
- [FL] J.-M. Fontaine, G. Laffaille, *Construction de représentations p -adiques*, Ann. Sci. ENS **15** (1982), 547-608.
- [Ki 3] M. Kisin, *Potentially semi-stable deformation rings*, J. AMS **21** (2008), 513-546.
- [Ki 4] *Crystalline representations and F -crystals*, Algebraic Geometry and Number Theory, Prog. Math 253, Birk'áuser, 2006, pp. 459-496.
- [Ra] R. Ramakrishna, *On a variation of Mazur's deformation functor*, Comp. Math. **87** (1993), 269-286.
- [Ray] M. Raynaud, *Schémas en groupes de type (p, \dots, p)* , Bull. SMF **102** (1974), 241-280.