

May 24, 2001 (Alice 39 yesterday)

Topic: Γ -graded vector spaces and algebras

Background: You have already encountered these when Γ is a group (more generally a monoid, a semi group even). A Γ -graded algebra is an algebra B equipped with a splitting into subspaces $B = \bigoplus_s B_s$ indexed by Γ such that $B_s B_t \subset B_{st}$. Example: If A is a Γ algebra, the crossproduct $B = \Gamma \ltimes A = \bigoplus_s sA = \bigoplus_s A_s$ is a Γ -graded algebra.

Example: The algebra $A = P_{\Gamma, \mathbb{F}}$ is defined by generators $p(s)$ for $s \in \Gamma$ subject to the relations $p(s) = 0$ for $s \notin \mathbb{F}$, $p(s) = \sum_t p(t) p(t^{-1}s)$. These are homogeneous (both generators + relations) if $p(s)$ is assigned the degree s , so A should be a Γ -graded algebra, with the Γ -grading specified in this way. One way to show this would be to define the Γ -grading on the free algebra with the generators $p(s)$ - this uses the tensor product operation on Γ -graded vector spaces defined by

$$(V \otimes W)_s = \bigoplus_t V_t \otimes W_{t^{-1}s}.$$

Then you check that any element in the ideal generated by the relations is a sum of homogeneous elements lying in this ideal. Hence the ideal is a Γ -graded subspace of the free algebra, and

b the quotient ~~algebra~~ A inherits a Γ -grading making it a Γ -graded alg.

Here is a cleaner way to show that A is a Γ -graded algebra. The tensor product ~~algebra~~ $\mathbb{C}\Gamma \otimes A$ is a Γ -graded algebra with $(\mathbb{C}\Gamma \otimes A)_s = s \otimes A$. Using ~~the~~ the generators + relations defining A one has a unique ^{alg} homomorphism

$$\Delta : A \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\Gamma \otimes A \quad \Delta p(s) = s \otimes p(s)$$

Let A_s be the subspace of A spanned by monomials $p(s_1) p(s_2) \dots p(s_n)$ in the generators of total degree $s_1 s_2 \dots s_n$ equal to s . Clearly $\Delta(a_s) = s \otimes a_s$ for any $a_s \in A_s$. ~~the direct sum~~

Also $A = \sum_{s \in \Gamma} A_s$. This must be a direct sum

since if we have $\sum a_s = 0$ with $a_s \in A_s$, then applying Δ yields $\sum s \otimes a_s = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}\Gamma \otimes A$, which is possible only if $a_s = 0$ for all s . Thus we have a Γ -grading on A making A a Γ -graded algebra.

Problem: A Morita context is a ring equipped with a grading $A = \bigoplus_{i,j=1,2} A_{ij}$ such that if we write an element a of A as a 2×2 matrix:

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} = A$$

the multiplication in A is given by matrix multiplication

c that is

$$\begin{pmatrix} a'_{11} & a'_{12} \\ a'_{21} & a'_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a''_{11} & a''_{12} \\ a''_{21} & a''_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a'_{11}a''_{11} + a'_{12}a''_{21} & a'_{11}a''_{12} + a'_{12}a''_{22} \\ a'_{21}a''_{11} + a'_{22}a''_{21} & a'_{21}a''_{12} + a'_{22}a''_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

Here we have a grading indexed by the set Γ of ordered pairs ij with $i, j = 1$ or 2 . The product $A_{ij}A_{kl}$ is zero when $j \neq k$ and contained in A_{il} when $j = k$. Thus Γ is the set of arrows in the groupoid having objects in $\{1, 2\}$ and with exactly one map from one object to another.

The analog of $\mathcal{O}\Gamma$ in this situation is the path algebra of the groupoid, which is $M_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Our ~~problem~~ ^{aim} now is to find a ~~generalization~~ ^{good} of these two types of $\mathcal{O}\Gamma$ discussed above.

The coalgebra $\mathcal{O}\Gamma$. If Γ is any set, then $\mathcal{O}\Gamma$ equipped with the coproduct

$$\Delta: \mathcal{O}\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{O}\Gamma \quad \Delta s = s \otimes s$$

is a cocommutative, coassociative, and counital coalgebra. The counit is $\eta: \mathcal{O}\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\eta s = 1$.

Ex: If Γ is a point, then $\mathcal{O}\Gamma = \mathbb{C}$ with $\Delta(1) = 1 \otimes 1 \in \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

By a point of a coalgebra \mathbb{C} we mean a coalgebra morphism $\phi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, equivalently an element $\underbrace{\phi(1)} = \xi \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\Delta \xi = \xi \otimes \xi$. If \mathbb{C} has counit $\eta: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, then the point ϕ will be called unital when ϕ respects counits: $\eta \phi = \text{id}$,

d equivalently $\eta(\xi) = 1$. Note that the identity and zero are the only coalgebra morphisms from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{C} . Thus a point ϕ is ^{not} unital iff it is zero.

Calculation of the points of $\mathcal{C}\Gamma$. Let $\xi = \sum_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_s s$ in $\mathcal{C}\Gamma$ satisfy $\Delta(\xi) = \xi \otimes \xi$, that is

$$\sum_s \lambda_s (s \otimes s) = \sum_{s,t} \lambda_s \lambda_t (s \otimes t).$$

Then $\lambda_s \lambda_t = 0$ for $s \neq t$ and $\lambda_s^2 = \lambda_s$. Thus either all $\lambda_s = 0$ and we have the zero point, or there is exactly one $\lambda_s = 1$ and the rest are zero.

Therefore

Points $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}\Gamma)$	=	$\Gamma \cup \{0\}$
Unital Points $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}\Gamma)$	=	Γ

Clearly one has functors $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \text{Points}(\mathcal{C})$ from ~~the~~ coalgebras to sets with basepoint, and $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \text{Unital Points}(\mathcal{C})$ from counital coalgebras to sets. In the unital case one can recover Γ from $\mathcal{C}\Gamma$ as $\Gamma = \text{Unital Points}(\mathcal{C}\Gamma)$. Moreover one has an equivalence between the categories of sets and the category of counital coalgebras ~~which~~ ~~are~~ ~~set-like~~, that is, spanned by these points.

In the nonunital case one gets an equivalence of categories between sets with basepoint and set-like coalgebras as follows. Note ~~that~~ first that there ~~is~~ is a 1-1 correspondence (essentially) between sets and sets with basepoint given by $\Gamma \mapsto \Gamma_+ = \Gamma \cup \{0\}$. However there ~~are~~ are more morphisms in the category of sets with basepoint. A map $\Gamma_+ \xrightarrow{f} \Gamma'_+$ ~~is~~

amounts to a partially defined map from Γ to Γ' , that is, a map from a subset of Γ , the domain $D(f)$, to Γ' .

Next observe that the coalgebra $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ can be expressed $\mathbb{C}\Gamma = \mathbb{C}\Gamma_+ / \mathbb{C}\{0\}$

showing that $\Gamma_+ \mapsto \mathbb{C}\Gamma$ is a well-defined functor from sets with basepoint to set-like coalgebras. This functor has the quasi-inverse $\mathbb{C} \mapsto \text{Points}(\mathbb{C})$, yielding the desired equivalence of categories.

Since $\mathbb{C}\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}\Gamma' = \mathbb{C}[\Gamma \times \Gamma']$, one has

$$\text{Points}(\mathbb{C}\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}\Gamma') = (\Gamma \times \Gamma')_+ = \Gamma_+ \wedge \Gamma'_+$$

In other words, the tensor product operation in set-like coalgebras corresponds to the smash product in sets with basepoint.

Perhaps you should be more careful, namely, check that $\mathbb{C}\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}\Gamma' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[\Gamma \times \Gamma']$, $s \otimes t \mapsto (s, t)$ is an isomorphism of coalgebras. The tensor product coalgebra has coproduct

$$(*) \quad \mathbb{C}\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}\Gamma' \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathbb{C}\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}\Gamma' \otimes \mathbb{C}\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}\Gamma'$$

$s \otimes t \qquad \qquad s \otimes t \otimes s \otimes t$

which corresponds dually to $(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = aa' \otimes bb'$ for algebra tensor product. (*) under $\mathbb{C}\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}\Gamma' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[\Gamma \times \Gamma']$

becomes

$$\mathbb{C}[\Gamma \times \Gamma'] \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathbb{C}[\Gamma \times \Gamma'] \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma \times \Gamma']$$

$(s, t) \qquad \mapsto \qquad (s, t) \otimes (s, t)$

So it's clear.

May 28, 2001

Continue to identify sets and pointed sets via $\Gamma \mapsto \Gamma_+ = \Gamma \cup \{0\}$. Recall the equivalence of categories between pointed sets and set-like coalgebras given by $\Gamma_+ \mapsto \mathbb{C}\Gamma$, $C \mapsto \text{points of } C$.

TFAE: (1) A product $\mu: \mathbb{C}\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\Gamma$ which respects the coalgebra structures.

(2) A binary operation $\Gamma_+ \times \Gamma_+ \rightarrow \Gamma_+$ such that 0 is absorbing: $0x = 0 = x0$.

(3) A pointed set map $\Gamma_+ \wedge \Gamma_+ \rightarrow \Gamma_+$

Proof. Γ_+ is the subset of points in $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$. Because μ is a coalgebra map it restricts to ~~product~~ a binary operation on Γ_+ . In effect given points ξ, η in C , then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \xi \otimes \eta & & \mu(\xi \otimes \eta) \\ \downarrow \Delta_{\mathbb{C}\Gamma} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \downarrow \Delta_{\mathbb{C}\Gamma} \\ (\mathbb{C}\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}\Gamma) \otimes (\mathbb{C}\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes \mu} & \mathbb{C}\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}\Gamma \end{array}$$

$$\xi \otimes \eta \otimes \xi \otimes \eta \qquad \mu(\xi \otimes \eta) \otimes \mu(\xi \otimes \eta)$$

~~Thus~~ Thus 1) yields 2). Next the absorbing property of 0 means that the μ operation on Γ_+ descends to the smash product $\Gamma_+ \times \Gamma_+ / \Gamma_+ \vee \Gamma_+ = \Gamma_+ \wedge \Gamma_+$, so 2) yields 3). Finally $\Gamma_+ \wedge \Gamma_+ = (\Gamma \times \Gamma)_+ = \text{Points of } \mathbb{C}\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}\Gamma = \mathbb{C}[\Gamma \times \Gamma]$, so that a ~~map~~ pointed set map $\Gamma_+ \wedge \Gamma_+ \rightarrow \Gamma_+$ is equivalent to a coalg map $\mathbb{C}\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\Gamma$.

- TFAE: (1) $\mu: \mathcal{O}\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{O}\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\Gamma$ is associative
 (2) the induced product $\Gamma_+ \times \Gamma_+ \rightarrow \Gamma_+$ is associative
 (3) the map $\bar{\mu}: \Gamma_+ \wedge \Gamma_+ \rightarrow \Gamma_+$ on points satisfies

$$\bar{\mu}(\bar{\mu} \wedge 1) = \bar{\mu}(1 \wedge \bar{\mu}) \text{ from } \Gamma_+ \wedge \Gamma_+ \wedge \Gamma_+ \rightarrow \Gamma_+$$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) because you are restricting the product in $\mathcal{O}\Gamma$ to the subset Γ_+ . (2) \Rightarrow (3) because the product on $\Gamma_+ \times \Gamma_+$ descends to $\Gamma_+ \wedge \Gamma_+$. In other words the two maps $\Gamma_+ \times \Gamma_+ \times \Gamma_+ \rightrightarrows \Gamma_+$ giving associativity descends to $\bar{\mu}(\bar{\mu} \wedge 1)$ and $\bar{\mu}(1 \wedge \bar{\mu})$. Finally (3) \Rightarrow (1) by the equivalence between coalgebras $\mathcal{O}\Gamma$ and ptd sets Γ_+ .

At this point one has described bialgebras with set-like coalgebra structure in terms of semi groups ~~with~~ $\Gamma_+ = \Gamma \cup \{0\}$ with ~~an~~ absorbing basepoint 0. Note that any ~~subset~~ subset of a ring closed under product and containing zero yields such a Γ_+ , and that $\mathcal{O}\Gamma$ is the largest ring generated by Γ_+ . $\mathcal{O}\Gamma$ is an obvious generalization of the group ring of a group.

Next discuss Γ -graded vector spaces and algebras.

Prop. Equivalence between ~~a~~ ^a ~~comodule~~ ^{structure on} V for ~~the~~ the coalgebra $\mathcal{O}\Gamma$, where Γ is a set, and a ~~grading~~ ^{grading} ~~structure~~ of $V = \bigoplus_{s \in \Gamma} V_s \oplus V_0$ with respect to Γ_+ . The comodule V is counital $\Leftrightarrow V_0 = 0$, so that V is graded wrt Γ .

h Proof: Given a coproduct $\Delta_V: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\Gamma \otimes V$ which is coassociative:

$$V \xrightarrow{\Delta_V} \mathbb{C}\Gamma \otimes V \xrightarrow[1 \otimes \Delta_V]{\Delta_{\mathbb{C}\Gamma \otimes V}} \mathbb{C}\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}\Gamma \otimes V$$

Δ_V has the form $\Delta_V v = \sum_{s \in \Gamma} s \otimes e_s(v)$ where the $e_s \in \text{End}(V)$ satisfy the finiteness condition $\forall v, e_s(v) = 0$ for all s . Then equality of

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_{\mathbb{C}\Gamma} \otimes 1) \Delta_V v &= \sum_s s \otimes s \otimes e_s(v) \\ (1 \otimes \Delta_V) \Delta_V v &= \sum_{s,t} s \otimes t \otimes e_t e_s(v) \end{aligned}$$

for all v is equivalent to $e_t e_s = 0$ for $s \neq t$ and $e_s^2 = e_s$. The e_s are annihilating projections on V such that $\sum_s e_s$ is defined by the finiteness condition and it a projection. Then we have the splitting

$$V = \bigoplus_{s \in \Gamma} e_s V \oplus (1 - \sum e_s) V$$

which yields the Γ -grading with $V_s = e_s V, V_0 = (1 - \sum e_s) V$.

Also $\sum e_s = (\eta \otimes 1) \Delta_V$, so that Δ_V is a counital coproduct $\Leftrightarrow \sum e_s = 1$.

~~Next let $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ be the bialgebra arising from a semi-group Γ_+ with absorbing basepoint 0. Define a Γ -graded algebra A to be ~~an algebra equipped with a Γ -grading~~ an algebra equipped with a Γ -grading~~

$$A = \bigoplus_{s \in \Gamma} A_s \quad \text{s.t.} \quad A_s A_t \begin{cases} \subset A_{st} & \text{if } st \in \Gamma \\ = 0 & \text{if } st = 0. \end{cases}$$

The Γ -grading is equivalent to a ^{counital} comodule structure on A for the coalgebra $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$, i.e. a coproduct

$$\Delta: A \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\Gamma \otimes A, \quad \Delta a = \sum_{s \in \Gamma} s \otimes e_s a, \quad \sum_{s \in \Gamma} e_s = 1$$

In other words $\Delta(a_s) = s \otimes a_s$ for $a_s \in A_s$.

The compatibility condition between grading + product can be expressed as saying that Δ is an algebra homomorphism. In effect, $\Delta(a_s a_t) = (s \otimes a_s)(t \otimes a_t) = st \otimes a_s a_t$ which implies that $a_s a_t \in A_{st}$ for $st \neq 0$, and $a_s a_t = 0$ if $st = 0$ (since Δ is injective because of the counit η).

June 10, 2001

Review the multiplier algebra $\text{Mult}(A)$ for an algebra A . A multiplier on A is defined to be a pair of operators on A

$$\mu = (a \mapsto \mu a, a \mapsto a\mu)$$

satisfying $\mu(a_1 a_2) = (\mu a_1) a_2$

$$a_1 (\mu a_2) = (a_1 \mu) a_2$$

$$(a_1 a_2) \mu = a_1 (a_2 \mu)$$

The product $\mu\nu$ of two multipliers is defined by

$$(\mu\nu)a = \mu(\nu a) \quad a(\mu\nu) = (a\mu)\nu$$

and it makes $\text{Mult}(A)$ into a ~~sub~~ sub-algebra:

$$\text{Mult}(A) = \left\{ \underbrace{\mu \in \text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(A, A)}_{\text{left multipliers}} \times \underbrace{\text{Hom}_A(A, A)^{\text{op}}}_{\text{right multipliers}} \mid (a_1, \mu) a_2 = a_1 (\mu a_2) \right\}$$

More generally if $(X, Y, \langle y, x \rangle)$ is a dual pair over A one can define its multiplier algebra to be

$$\text{Mult}(X, Y, \langle y, x \rangle) = \left\{ \underbrace{\mu \in \text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(X, X) \times \text{Hom}_A(Y, Y)^{\text{op}}}_{(x \mapsto \mu x, y \mapsto y\mu)} \mid \langle y\mu, x \rangle = \langle y, \mu x \rangle \right\}$$

$\text{Mult}(A)$ is the special case with the ~~left~~ A^{op} -module $\overset{X=}{A}$, the A module $Y=A$, and the pairing $\langle y, x \rangle = yx$

~~Let~~ Let A be an ideal in the algebra R .

Then each $r \in R$ yields a multiplier

$$\mu_r = (a \mapsto ra, a \mapsto ar)$$

whence one has ~~an~~ an alg homomorphism $\mu: R \longrightarrow \text{Mult}(A)$. Restricting

to A (in other words taking $R=A$) 11
 one gets ~~is~~ a canonical algebra map

$$A \xrightarrow{\phi} \text{Mult}(A), \quad (\phi_a a' = aa', a' \phi_a = a'a)$$

with the following properties:

1) $\text{Ker } \phi = \{a \in A \mid Aa = aA = 0\}$.

2) $\mu \phi_a = \phi_{\mu a}$ and $\phi_a \mu = \phi_{a\mu}$ $\forall a \in A, \mu \in \text{Mult}(A)$,

hence ~~is~~ $A/\text{Ker } \phi = \phi_A$ is an ideal in $\text{Mult}(A)$.

Check 2). $(\mu \phi_a) a' = \mu(\phi_a a') = \mu(aa') = (\mu a) a' = \phi_{\mu a} a'$

$a'(\mu \phi_a) \text{ ~~is~~ } = (a' \mu) \phi_a = (a' \mu) a = a'(\mu a) = a' \phi_{\mu a}$

$\therefore \mu \phi_a = \phi_{\mu a}$, and similarly for the other order.

Next look at semi-direct products for algebras which are analogous to such products for groups, where ~~is~~ to form ~~is~~ $Q \rtimes K$ one needs a homomorphism from Q to $\text{Aut}(K)$. For algebras the analogy is an alg map $R \xrightarrow{\varphi} \text{Mult}(A)$ and the product on $R \rtimes A$ is defined by $(r+a)(r'+a') = rr' + (\varphi_r a' + a \varphi_{r'}) + aa'$.

There is a slight problem with associativity as follows. It's enough to ~~is~~ consider $R = \text{Mult}(A)$. There are 8 associativities to check: ~~is~~ a_1, a_2, a_3 ; three involving one μ : $\mu a_1 a_2, a_1 \mu a_2, a_1 a_2 \mu$ OK by defn. of multiplier; three involving one a : $\mu \nu a, \mu a \nu, a \mu \nu$, where the first + third OK by def of product of multipliers; one involving three multipliers which is OK.

So there is a problem with $(\mu a) \nu \stackrel{?}{=} \mu(a \nu)$, and

There are two ways to proceed. If $A=A^2$ 12
is assumed then OK because

$$\begin{aligned}(\mu(a_1, a_2))v &= (\mu a_1) a_2 v = (\mu a_1)(a_2 v) \\ \mu((a_1, a_2)v) &= \mu(a_1, (a_2 v)) = (\mu a_1)(a_2 v)\end{aligned}$$

Thus no problem with $\text{Mult}(A) \rtimes A$ when $A=A^2$.
On the other hand, ~~the~~ applying
 ϕ takes μ, a, v into $\mu, \phi a, v$ which satisfies
associativity as $\text{Mult}(A)$ is a ring.

June 18, 2001.

13

Recall that if $e^2=e$ in a ring B , then one has a Morita context:
$$\begin{pmatrix} eBe & eB \\ Be & B \end{pmatrix} \subset M_2 B$$

which is associated to the dual pair over B given by eB, Be and the pairing $\langle b_1 e, e b_2 \rangle = b_1 e b_2$. (Note: $eB \otimes_B Be = eBe$)

This Morita context yields a Morita equivalence between the unital ring eBe and the ideal BeB which is idempotent. One has a canon. surjective ring morphism $Be \otimes_{eBe} eB \rightarrow BeB$ whose kernel is killed by B (hence by BeB) on both left and right.

We now generalize this construction to any element h of B . Consider the dual pair over B given by the right ideal hB , the left ideal Bh , and the pairing

$$b_1 h * h b_2 = b_1 h b_2$$

which is well-defined since $b_1 h = 0$ or $h b_2 = 0 \Rightarrow b_1 h b_2 = 0$. This yields the Morita context

$$\begin{pmatrix} hB \otimes_B Bh & hB \\ Bh & B \end{pmatrix}$$

where the product in the ring $hB \otimes_B Bh$ is

$$(h b_1 \otimes b_2 h) * (h b_3 \otimes b_4 h) = h b_1 \otimes b_2 h b_3 b_4 h$$

~~Define~~ Define the $*$ product on hBh by

$$h b h * h b' h = h b h b' h$$

Then the canonical map $hb_1 \otimes_B b_2 h \mapsto hb_1 b_2 h$ from $hB \otimes_B Bh$ to hBh respects $*$ products, showing that $*$ product on hBh is associative.*

Similarly ~~the~~ $(hb_1 \otimes_B b_2 h) * hb_3 = hb_1 b_2 h b_3$
 $b_0 h * (hb_1 \otimes_B b_2 h) = b_0 h b_1 b_2 h$

The actions of $hB \otimes_B Bh$ on hB and Bh respectively descend to $*$ actions of hBh given by $*$ product:

$$hbh * hb_3 = hbhb_3$$
$$b_0 h * hbh = b_0 h b h$$

(* These statements are not accurate ~~because~~ unless $B = B^2$, which is the case when $BhB = B$. Thus it would have been better to ~~state~~

~~the following~~ proceed as follows.) Consider the M_2 -graded

abelian group $\begin{pmatrix} hBh & hB \\ Bh & B \end{pmatrix}$ and define

the $*$ product on it, using the formulas which hold when h^2 . More precisely, there are 8 products associated to this Morita context, 4 of which lead to expressions ~~containing~~ containing h^2 ; ~~these~~ these give the $*$ products

$$hbh * hb'h = hbhb'h \quad hbh * hb' = hbhb'$$
$$bh * hb'h = bhb'h \quad bh * hb' = bhb'$$

Here's a way to understand better the Morita context $\begin{pmatrix} {}_h B_h & {}_h B \\ B_h & B \end{pmatrix}$. This context

is essentially determined by the dual pair over B given by the B^{op} -module ${}_h B$, the B module $X = B_h$, and the pairing $b'h * hb = b'hb$.

So $({}_h B, B_h, \langle b'h, hb \rangle = b'hb)$ is a quotient of the dual pair $(B, B, \langle b', b \rangle = b'hb)$. You have eliminated from the latter Morita context the obvious ~~redundant~~ degeneracies arising from the annihilators ${}_h B$ and B_h .

Relation of $\begin{pmatrix} {}_h B_h & {}_h B \\ B_h & B \end{pmatrix}$ to $\begin{pmatrix} {}_j B_i & {}_j B \\ B_i & B \end{pmatrix}$

where the latter Mor. context is supposed to correspond to quadruples $(V, W, \overset{h=y}{V:W} \rightleftarrows V \overset{x}{\leftarrow} W)$. Now

$B_i = B / \{ b \mid bi = 0 \}$, but $bi = 0 \iff b_i y = 0$ when y is surjective; so $B_i = B / B_h$. Similarly ${}_j B = B / \{ b \mid jb = 0 \}$ and $jb = 0 \iff y b = 0$ when x is injective; so ${}_j B = B / {}_h B$.

Conclude that the dual pairs

- $(B, B, \langle b', b \rangle = b'hb)$
 - $(B / {}_h B, B / B_h, \text{same})$
 - $({}_j B, B_i, \langle b'_i, {}_j b \rangle = b'hb)$
- are essentially equivalent.

June 20, 2001

Let's consider the Morita context (here $h \in B$)

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & Y \\ X & B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} hBh & hB \\ Bh & B \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{the product is the } * \text{ product, i.e. as if } h^2 = h.$$

Then this context is strictly idempotent assuming $BhB = B$.

$$B = BhB \subseteq BB, \quad XY = Bh * hB = BhB = B$$

$$YX = hBBh = hBh = A, \quad A^2 = hBhBh = hBh = A$$

$$YB = hB^2 = hB = Y, \quad AY = YXY = YB = Y$$

$$BX = B^2h = Bh = X, \quad XA = XYX = BX = X.$$

Let's describe the Morita equivalence associated to this Morita context. We use the reduced module picture, i.e. $M_n(A)$ is the category of A -modules V such that $AV = V$ and ${}_A V = 0$. You know that the functor of the equivalence from $M_n(B)$ to $M_n(A)$ is given by

$$W \mapsto \text{Im} \left\{ Y \otimes_B W \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Hom}_B(X, W) \right\}$$

$$y \otimes w \mapsto (x \mapsto (xy)w).$$

(This should be true quite generally, certainly for a strictly idempotent Morita context.)

The map α factors

$$hB \otimes_B W \longrightarrow hW \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_B(Bh, W)$$

$$hb \otimes w \mapsto hbw, \quad hw' \mapsto (b'h \mapsto \underbrace{b'h * hw'}_{b'hw'})$$

The second map is injective, since $b'hw' = 0$ for all $b' \in B$ implies $hw' \in {}_B W$ which is zero assuming W reduced.

The first map is surjective as $hBW = hW$ since $BW = W$ for W reduced.

Thus the functor giving the equivalence $M_n(B) \rightarrow M_n(A)$ is $W \mapsto hW$. As a check note that $A(hW) = hBhW = hBhBW = hBW = hW$; also $A(hw) = 0 \iff hBhw = 0 \implies Bhw = BhBhw = 0 \implies hw \in \text{Im } B W = 0$.

Next look at the inverse functor from $M_n(A)$ to $M_n(B)$ which sends V to $W = \text{Im} \{ Bh \otimes_A V \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(hB, V) \}$. Thus

$$\underbrace{Bh \otimes_A V}_{\substack{B \text{ nil free} \\ \text{as } B = B^2}} \longrightarrow W \hookrightarrow \underbrace{\text{Hom}_A(hB, V)}_{\substack{B \text{ nil free as} \\ \text{Hom}_B(B, \text{Hom}_A(hB, V)) = \text{Hom}_A(hB \otimes_B B, V) \\ \uparrow \cong \\ \text{Hom}_A(hB, V)}}$$

since $hB \otimes_B B \rightarrow hB$ is surjective with A -nil kernel and ${}_A V = 0$. Thus W is A -reduced.

Now assume B satisfies ${}_B B = 0$ and $B_B = 0$. Then hB is A -reduced, and Bh is A^{op} -reduced. Also since $B(Bh) = Bh$ and ${}_B Bh \subset {}_B B = 0$, one sees that $A = hBh$ is A -reduced, and similarly A is A^{op} -reduced.

July 18, 2001

18

Motivation: At the conference nearly one month ago Joachim told me that he could extend the Morita equivalences, which arises in the assembly map for a group Γ (+ finite ~~support~~ support condition), to the ~~case~~ case of the groupoid M_n (~~\mathcal{O}_b~~ $\mathcal{O}_b = \{1, \dots, n\}$, $a_r = \mathcal{O}_b \times \mathcal{O}_b$). On one ~~side~~ side one has the algebra A universally generated by the the components of ~~A~~ a projection in ~~M_n~~ M_n graded algebras. On the other ~~side~~ side one has the algebra B , which is a sort of crossproduct with the non commutative n -simplex.

In order to reconstruct Joachim's result it seems ~~worthwhile~~ worthwhile to look more generally at assembly for a groupoid Γ . Geometrically assembly for a group involves constructing a K class starting from a principal bundle for the group. So you want to understand principal bundles (or torsors) for a groupoid.

Let's approach this problem from Groth's topos viewpoint, which gives an elegant category picture ~~picture~~ of classifying topos for groupoids (without homotopies, partitions of unity, etc.)

Let \mathcal{C} be a small category, let \mathcal{C} -sets be the category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \text{sets})$ of covariant functors L and \mathcal{C}^{op} -sets the category of contravariant functors R . Because we use left functional notation: $(fg)(x) = f(g(x))$

it is convenient to write a chain of composable arrows in \mathcal{C} with the arrows pointed to the left, so that the composition

$$Z \xleftarrow{f} Y \xleftarrow{g} X \quad \text{is} \quad Z \xleftarrow{fg} X.$$

We write Ob for the set of objects, and Ar for the set of arrows:

$$Ar = \coprod_{X, Y \in Ob} Ar(X, Y) \quad Ar(X, Y) = Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X)$$

composition: $Ar(X, Y) \times Ar(Y, Z) \longrightarrow Ar(X, Z)$
 $(X \xleftarrow{f} Y), (Y \xleftarrow{g} Z) \longmapsto (X \xleftarrow{fg} Z).$

A \mathcal{C} -set L ~~is a set over~~ is a set over

$Ob: L = \coprod_{X \in Ob} L(X)$ together with a

left action by $Ar: \underset{\substack{\text{source} \\ \searrow \\ Ob}}{Ar} \times L \longrightarrow L, \text{ u.e.}$

$$\coprod_{(Y, X) \in Ob} Ar(Y, X) \times L(X) \longrightarrow \coprod_{Y \in Ob} L(Y), \text{ satisfying appropriate}$$

identity and associativity conditions. Similarly

a \mathcal{C}^{op} -set R is a set over Ob with right

action by $Ar: R \times_{Ob} Ar \longrightarrow R$

With this notation understood we can define the "tensor product" $R \times_{\mathcal{C}} L$ to be the set

$$R \times_{\mathcal{C}} L = \text{Coker} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} R \times_{Ob} L & \xleftarrow{\quad} & R \times_{Ob} Ar \times_{Ob} L \\ (f^*, \lambda) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & (f, \lambda) \\ (p, f^* \lambda) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & (p, f, \lambda) \end{array} \right\}$$

In other words a ^{set} map $R \times_{\mathcal{C}} L \rightarrow S$
 is the same as a family of maps
 $\phi_x : R(x) \times L(x) \rightarrow S \quad \forall x \in \text{Ob}$

such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R(Y) \times \text{Ar}(Y, X) \times L(X) & \longrightarrow & R(X) \times L(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_x \\ R(Y) \times L(Y) & \xrightarrow{\phi_y} & S \end{array}$$

commutes $\forall x, y \in \text{Ob}$.

It is easy to establish the following bilinearity property

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\text{sets}}(R \times_{\mathcal{C}} L, S) &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}\text{-sets}}(R, \text{Hom}_{\text{sets}}(L, S)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}\text{-sets}}(L, \text{Hom}_{\text{sets}}(R, S)) \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that $R \times_{\mathcal{C}} L$ respects ~~limits~~ arb. end limits. If you take $R = h_x = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X)$, then

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{sets}}(h_x \times_{\mathcal{C}} L, S) = \text{Hom}_{\text{sets}}(L(x), S)$$

by Yoneda's lemma, whence

$$\boxed{h_x \times_{\mathcal{C}} L = L(x)}, \text{ sim } \boxed{R \times_{\mathcal{C}} h^x = R(x)}$$

Therefore $\boxed{h_x \times_{\mathcal{C}} h^y = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(y, x) = \text{Ar}(x, y)}$, and

then using $\varinjlim_{x/R} h_x = R$ etc, yields the general $R \times_{\mathcal{C}} L$ by right continuity.

The category \mathcal{C} -sets is a topos. In the Grothendieck theory it is natural to define a \mathcal{C} -torsor over a space B to be a topos map from Sh_B , sheaves of sets over B , to \mathcal{C} -sets. Such a map is given by the inverse image functor $f^*: \mathcal{C}\text{-sets} \rightarrow \text{Sh}_B$ which is required to be rcont and left exact (respects finite proj. lim's).

Consider $B = \text{pt.}$ A right continuous functor $F: \mathcal{C}\text{-sets} \rightarrow \text{sets}$ has the form (up to a canonical isomorphism) $F(L) = R \times_{\mathcal{C}} L$, where R is the \mathcal{C}^{op} -set: $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\text{Yoneda}} \mathcal{C}\text{-sets} \xrightarrow{F} \text{sets}$,

i.e. $R(X) = F(h^X)$. When is F left exact?

I think this happens iff \mathcal{C}/R is filtering, equivalently R is prorepresentable. Assuming this, it follows that $\text{Pro } \mathcal{C}$ is the category of points in \mathcal{C} -sets.

For a space B a ~~sheaf~~ rcont $F: \mathcal{C}\text{-sets} \rightarrow \text{Sh}_B$ should have the form $F(L) = R \times_{\mathcal{C}} L$, where R is a \mathcal{C} -sheaf over B , i.e. the functor $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\text{Yoneda}} \mathcal{C}\text{-sets} \xrightarrow{F} \text{Sh}_B$. The left exactness of F should be equivalent to each stalk of R being pro-representable.

Next simplify to a groupoid Γ where pro representable functors are representable. A Γ torsor over B is a Γ -sheaf R such that each

stalk is representable.

Example: $\Gamma = M_2$. A Γ -set is the same thing as ~~an ordered pair of~~ \mathbb{Q}^2 sets together with an isomorphism between them. It is representable iff both sets are points. ~~Clearly~~ Clearly there is a unique torsor up to canonical isom.
