

January 29, 1979: interpreting  $\det(I - G_k^+ V)$  as a char. poly. ratio 519

Let try to understand  $D/D'$  in the continuous case where the wave equation is

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - V u$$

where  $V$  has support in  $(-b, b)$ . Start with smooth solutions of the wave equations whose support near  $t=0$  is contained in  $(-b, b)$ . Closing this up suitably, possibly weakening the vanishing at  $-b, b$  should give us  $D/D'$ .

To simplify suppose  $V=0$ . Then we know any solution is of the form

$$u(x, t) = f(x-t) + g(x+t)$$

To solve the Cauchy problem we solve

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, 0) = -f'(x) + g'(x)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, 0) = f'(x) + g'(x)$$

for  $f', g'$  and then we integrate to get  $f, g$ . This determines  $f, g$  up to arb.<sup>additive</sup> constants, but since the Cauchy data is compact we can fix the constants by requiring that  $f, g$  vanish to the right

Recall the energy norm

$$\frac{1}{2} \int \left( \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 + V(u)^2 \right) dx$$

makes Cauchy data into a pre-Hilbert space (assuming

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V \geq 0).$$

Consider a solution  $u(x,t) = f(x-t) + g(x+t)$  which near  $t=0$  is supported in  $(-b,b)$ . Then  $f, g$  are supported for  $x < b$  and for  $x > b$  they are constant of opposite sign. Now let time evolve:  $t > 0$ . After a while the wave begins to leave  $[-b, b]$  and we have to somehow project it back into this interval. So it is clear that we want to truncate  $f'$  at  $x=b$  and  $g'$  at  $x=-b$ , in some sense.

So let us consider solutions  $u(x,t) = f(x-t) + g(x+t)$  such that  $\boxed{\quad}$   $f$  is smooth, defined on  $[-b, b]$ , and vanishes near  $-b$ ; similarly  $g$  is smooth, defined on  $[-b, b]$  and vanishes near  $b$ . These solutions obviously get mapped into themselves under time evolution. Moreover, one sees  $\boxed{\quad}$  that  $\boxed{\quad} u \mapsto f', g'$  agrees with what we expect from the restriction of  $\frac{d}{dx}$  to  $L^2(-b, b)$  with <sup>vanishing</sup> endpoint conditions.

Somehow we are looking at the wave equation on  $[-b, b]$  with the boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 0 \quad \text{at } x=b$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 0 \quad \text{at } x=-b$$

This makes sense when  $V \neq 0$ .

Question: Suppose we solve the wave equation with these boundary conditions, can we suppose  $f, g$  vanish at the appropriate endpoints?

It seems that  $u(x,t) = \text{constant}$  is not in the right form. Here  $f' = g' = 0$ ,  so  $f = g = 0$  if we require vanishing at the ends.

 so we seem to get the following continuous model for  $D/D'$ . Take solutions of the wave equation on  $[-b, b]$  with the above boundary conditions. Now it is clear that under time evolution any solution  becomes  constant. Observe that on  $D/D'$  we have time evolution defined for  $t \geq 0$ .

January 30, 1979

We are considering the wave equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - Vu \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}$$

where  $\text{Supp}(V) \subset (-b, b)$ . We have an analogue of  $D/D'$  consisting of solutions of the wave equation  $u(x, t)$  defined for  $|x| \leq b, t \geq 0$  satisfying the boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 0 \quad x = b$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 0 \quad x = -b$$

To understand such solutions, we use Laplace transform in time:

$$\int_0^\infty e^{ikt} u(x, t) dt = \phi(x, k)$$

Here  $s = k/i$ , so  $-s = ik$ . Then  $\phi$  satisfies

$$\left( \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - V \right) \phi = -k^2 \phi - (-ik) \boxed{u(x, 0)} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \boxed{(x, 0)}$$

$$\frac{d\phi}{dx} - ik\phi = 0 \quad \text{at } x = b$$

$$\frac{d\phi}{dx} + ik\phi = 0 \quad \text{at } x = -b.$$

and  $\phi$  should be analytic in a half-plane  $\text{Im } k \geq a$ .

For example if  $V = 0$ , then we have

$$\left( \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + k^2 \right) \phi = -su(x, 0) - u_t(x, 0)$$

and the Green's function for  $\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + k^2$  with the above outgoing boundary conditions is  $G_k^+(x, x') = \frac{e^{ik|x-x'|}}{2ik}$ , so that

$$\psi(x, k) = \int_{-b}^b \frac{e^{ik|x-x'|}}{2ik} (-s u(x; 0) - u_t(x; 0)) dx'$$

January 31, 1979:

Yesterday I decided that  $D/D'$  in the continuous case should be identified with solutions  $u(x, t)$ , defined for  $|x| \leq b$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , of

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - g u \quad \text{Supp}(g) \subset (-b, b)$$

with the boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 0 \quad \text{on } x = b$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 0 \quad \text{on } x = -b.$$

~~This~~ This is a well-posed problem, whereas the same problem with  $t \leq 0$  isn't. The point is that given Cauchy data, that is, smooth functions  $(\dot{u}, \ddot{u})$  on  $[-b, b]$  such that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \dot{u} = 0 \quad \text{on } x = b$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \dot{u} = 0 \quad \text{on } x = -b$$

one can extend this to Cauchy data <sup>given</sup> on  $\mathbb{R}$  having zero incoming component for  $|x| \geq b$ , and solve the resulting problem on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and restrict to  $[-b, b]$  to get the desired solution.

From now on I think of  $D/D'$  as pairs  $(\dot{u}, \ddot{u})$  satisfying

(\*). The infinitesimal generator of the time-evolution<sup>524</sup> semi-group on  $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}'$  is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \dot{u} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - q u & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - q & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \dot{u} \end{pmatrix}$$

One can see that it preserves the boundary conditions, e.g.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \dot{u} + \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - q u \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \dot{u} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) = 0 \quad \text{near } x=b.$$

(Note that if one were to start by defining  $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}'$  to consist of  $\begin{pmatrix} u \\ \dot{u} \end{pmatrix}$  satisfying (\*) with  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$  as above, then it is not clear that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$  can be integrated. This requires some sort of existence thm. for PDE's).

Now the problem becomes to interpret the "characteristic polynomial of the Lax-Phillips semi-group" as being  $\det(I - G_k^t q)$ .

Recall what we did for

$$\frac{d\psi}{dx} = ik\psi + V\psi \quad \text{on } |x| \leq b$$

$$\psi = 0 \quad \text{near } -b.$$

Here ~~the~~ the wave equation was

$$-\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - Vu$$

(because  $u(x,t) = \int e^{-ikt} \psi(x,k) dk / 2\pi$ ). Thus  $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}'$  is the functions on  $[-b, b]$  vanishing near  $x=-b$  with

the infinitesimal generator

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + V$$

which was denoted  $-A$  before. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - G_k^+ V &= (A_0 - ik)^{-1} (A - ik) \\ &= (1 - ik A_0^{-1})^{-1} (A_0^{-1} A - ik A_0^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

where both factors have determinants. If also  $\det(A_0^{-1} A) \neq 0$ , then we get

$$\det(1 - G_k^+ V) = \underbrace{\det(1 - ik A_0^{-1})^{-1}}_{=1} \cdot \det(A_0^{-1} A) \det(1 - ik A^{-1})$$

which in some sense interprets  $\det(1 - G_k^+ V)$  as " $\det(A - ik)$ ".

So the problem is to do something similar for

$$+A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - g & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{on pairs } (u, i) \\ \text{satisfying } (*) \text{ on 523.} \end{array}$$

This time  $A$  is the actual infinitesimal generator. Begin by computing

$$(A_0 + ik)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} +ik & 1 \\ \frac{d^2}{dx^2} & +ik \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

on this space  $D/D'$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} ik & 1 \\ \frac{d^2}{dx^2} & ik \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \dot{u} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ \dot{f} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} iku + \dot{u} = f \\ \frac{d^2}{dx^2} u + iku = \dot{f} \end{cases}$$

$$\left( \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + k^2 \right) u = \dot{f} - ikf$$

$$u = c_1 e^{ikx} + c_2 e^{-ikx} + \int G_k^+ (\dot{f} - ikf) dx'$$

Now suppose  $f, \dot{f}$  supported inside  $(-b, b)$ . Then

$$iku + \dot{u} = 0 \quad \text{at } x=b$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \dot{u} = 0 \quad \text{at } x=b$$

so  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - iku = 0 \quad \text{at } x=b$ , so  $u = \text{const. } e^{ikx}$   
near  $x=b$ . similarly

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \dot{u} = -iku \quad \text{at } x=b$$

so  $u = \text{const. } e^{-ikx}$  near  $x=b$ . Thus

$$u = \int G_k^+ (\dot{f} - ikf) dx'$$

$$\dot{u} = \dot{f} - iku = f - ik \int G_k^+ (\dot{f} - ikf) dx'$$

Q2

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ \dot{u} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -ikG_k^+ & G_k^+ \\ 1 - k^2 G_k^+ & -ikG_k^+ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ \dot{f} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} +ik & -1 \\ -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} & +ik \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ \dot{f} \end{pmatrix}$$

(Cramer's Rule)

I ought to check that if  $(\dot{f})$  satisfies the boundary conditions, then so does  $(\dot{u})$ . The reason this should be so, is that if we take the flow-line beginning with  $(\dot{f})$ , and take Laplace transform:

$$\int_0^\infty e^{ikt} e^{tA_0} (\dot{f}) dt = - (A_0 + ik)^{-1} (\dot{f})$$

which is  $(\dot{u})$ . We know this is the case when  $(\dot{f})$  has support inside  $(-b, b)$ , so it's enough to check when  $\dot{f} = -\frac{df}{dx}$  and  $f$  vanishes near  $-b$ , and when  $\dot{f} = \frac{df}{dx}$ ,  $f$  vanishes near  $x = b$ . Assume for the moment there is no problem - it's messy.

Next

$$(A + ik)(A_0 + ik)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} ik & 1 \\ \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - g & ik \end{pmatrix} \boxed{\begin{pmatrix} ik & -1 \\ -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} & ik \end{pmatrix}} (-G_k^+)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -k^2 - \frac{d^2}{dx^2} & 0 \\ -ikg & -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + g - k^2 \end{pmatrix} (-G_k^+)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ +ikg G_k^+ & 1-g G_k^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

So now we see that

$$\det((A + ik)(A_0 + ik)^{-1}) = \det(1 - g G_k^+)$$

Consider the discrete case again. The model for  $D/D'$  consists of  $\binom{u_0}{u_1}$  defined on  $[-N, N]$  where the support of the perturbation is ~~inside~~ inside. Picture an element



To calculate  $u(2)$ , we adjoin  $u(N+1, 1)$ ,  $u(-N-1, 1)$  in the way indicated and use the wave equation

$$\frac{u(2) + u(0)}{2} = Hu(1)$$

Assuming that  $(Hy)_N = \frac{1}{2}y_{N+1} + b_N y_N + a_{N-1} y_{N-1}$  and analogously at the other end, so that  $H - H_0 = -V$  has its image inside  $[-N, N]$ , one sees that one gets the same result for  $u(2)$  if one changes  $u(N, 0) = u(N+1, 1)$  to zero, and similarly at the other end. Let  $\tau$  be the operator ~~defined~~ on sequences supported in  $[-N, N]$  which kills the  $-N, N$  components. Then we have

$$u_2 = 2Hu_1 - \tau u_0$$

where  $H$  is restricted to  $[-N, N]$  by forcing other components to be zero, e.g.

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2}(u_0 + u_0^*)$$

$u_0$  = right shift  
throwing away  $y_N$   
setting 0 in  $-N$ .

~~Thus time-evolution on  $D/D'$~~  Thus time-evolution on  $D/D'$  is given by the operator

$$\vec{U} \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\tau & 2H \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We want to compute  $\det(I - z\vec{U})$ . ~~With this~~ Now

$$I - z\vec{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -z \\ z\tau & 1-2zH \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(I - z\vec{U})(I - z\vec{U}_0)^{-1} = I + 2z \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix} (I - z\vec{U}_0)^{-1}$$

$$\boxed{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2zV(*) & 1+2zV(*) \end{pmatrix}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2zV(*) & 1+2zV(*) \end{pmatrix}$$

Because of  $\tau$  it is not immediately clear how to calculate  $(I - z\vec{U}_0)^{-1}$ .

However suppose one assumes  $|z| < 1$  and computes the  $l^2$  inverse on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , not the finite-dimensional  $D/D'$ . In this case

$$I - z\vec{U}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -z \\ z & 1 - z\vec{U}_0 - z\vec{U}_0^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and we can compute the inverse by Cramer:

$$\det = 1 - z\vec{U}_0 - z\vec{U}_0^{-1} + z^2 = (1 - z\vec{U}_0)(1 - z\vec{U}_0^{-1})$$

Thus  $(I - z\vec{U}_0)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - z\vec{U}_0 - z\vec{U}_0^{-1} & z \\ -z & 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{(1 - z\vec{U}_0)(1 - z\vec{U}_0^{-1})}$

so it follows that

$$(I - z\vec{U})(I - z\vec{U}_0)^{-1} = I - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -zV & V \end{pmatrix} (-z(I - z\vec{U}_0)^{-1}(I - z\vec{U}_0)^{-1})$$

But we saw that

$$H_0 - \lambda = -\frac{1}{2z} (I - z\vec{U}_0)(I - z\vec{U}_0^{-1}) \quad \boxed{\text{}}$$

so that

$$(I - z\vec{U})(I - z\vec{U}_0)^{-1} = \boxed{\quad} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -zVG_z^+ & 1 - VG_z^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

It is interesting to do this calculation on the line in the continuous case.

$$\boxed{\quad} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \dot{u} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - g & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \dot{u} \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - g & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{d^2}{dx^2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ g & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= A_0 - V \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$(A_0 + ik)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} ik & 1 \\ \frac{d^2}{dx^2} & ik \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} ik & -1 \\ -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} & ik \end{pmatrix} \underbrace{\left( k^2 - \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right)^{-1}}_{-G_k^+}$$

where the inverse is computed with outgoing boundary conditions (= inverse in  $L^2$  when  $\operatorname{Im} k > 0$ ). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (A + ik)(A_0 + ik)^{-1} &= I + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ g & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} ik & -1 \\ -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} & ik \end{pmatrix} (-G_k^+) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ ikgG_k^+ & 1 - gG_k^+ \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

which [ ] shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\det((A+ik)(A_0+ik)^{-1}) &= \det(1 - g_k^+) \\ &= \det(H+k^2)(H_0+k^2)^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

Notice that this calculation doesn't yield anything about [ ] assigning a determinant to  $(A_0+ik)^{-1}$ . Nor do we have an interpretation of  $\det((A+ik)(A_0+ik)^{-1})$  as the characteristic polynomial of some endomorphism of cohomology.