

March 25, 1977

Approximating Sturm-Liouville DE with a Jacobi matrix. Start with

$$(1) \quad -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} + g\psi = +E\psi$$

and note that

$$\frac{1}{2} [\psi((n+1)h) - 2\psi(nh) + \psi((n-1)h)] \Big|_{h^2} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2\psi(x)}{dx^2}$$

as $\begin{matrix} h \rightarrow 0 \text{ and} \\ n \end{matrix}$ $nh \rightarrow x$. Thus

$$\frac{1}{2} [\psi((n+1)h) + \psi((n-1)h)] = \left(1 - Eh^2 + \underbrace{g(h^2)}_{g(nh)}\right) \psi(nh)$$

will yield (1) as ~~as $h \rightarrow 0$ and $nh \rightarrow x$.~~

~~An even simpler candidate is~~

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{2} [\psi((n+1)h) + \psi((n-1)h)] = e^{-h^2 E + h^2 g(nh)} \psi(nh).$$

Put $\sigma(n) = h^2 g(nh)$, $\lambda = e^{-h^2 E}$. Then (2) can be rewritten

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left[e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma(n)+\sigma(n-1))} e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma(n-1)} \psi((n-1)h) + e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma(n)+\sigma(n+1))} e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma(n+1)} \psi((n+1)h) \right] \\ &= \lambda e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma(n)} \psi(nh). \end{aligned}$$

Hence if we put $y_n = e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma(n)} \psi(nh)$ ~~and~~ and
 $a_n = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma(n)+\sigma(n+1))}$
we get

$$\boxed{a_{n-1}y_{n-1} + a_n y_{n+1} = \lambda y_n}$$

which is the eigenvalue problem for $\boxed{L = aT + T^*a}$

Note that there is an equivalence between the systems

$$\lambda y_k = a_{k-1} y_{k-1} + a_k y_{k+1}$$

$$\lambda m_k \psi_k = \frac{1}{2} (\psi_{k-1} + \psi_{k+1})$$

given by $y_k = m_k^{1/2} \psi_k$, $a_k = (m_k m_{k+1})^{-1/2}/2$.

Also the usual way of taking the limit of the discrete string

$$m_k \ddot{y}_k = a_{k-1} (y_{k-1} - y_k) + a_k (y_{k+1} - y_k)$$

is to let $y_k = u(kh)$, $m_k = h\rho(kh)$, $a_k = T(kh)/h$ and let $h \rightarrow 0$. The limiting equation is

$$\rho u_{tt} = (Tu_x)_x$$

March 29, 1977

Inverse scattering à la Kac:

Recall we have an equivalence between
J-matrices $L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 & & \\ a_1 & 0 & a_2 & \\ & a_2 & 0 & \ddots \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$ $a_i > 0$ bounded and

bounded symmetric measures $d\mu$ on \mathbb{R} of mass 1.
In the case $a_i = \frac{1}{2}$ for all i we found the
measure: $d\mu(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{1-x^2} dx.$

To go from $d\mu$ to L one constructs the orthonormal
sequence of polynomials belonging to $d\mu$. To go from
 L to $d\mu$ one constructs eigenfunctions $\psi(\lambda)$:

$$\begin{cases} L \psi(\lambda) = \lambda \psi(\lambda) \\ \psi(\lambda)_0 = 0 \\ \psi(\lambda)_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then $d\mu$ is the measure such that

$$c_1 = \int \psi(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda)$$

and to find it one can truncate L to an $(n \times n)$ -matrix L_n
whose eigenfunctions will be those $\psi(\lambda) \ni \psi(\lambda)_{n+1} = 0$.
One then gets a measure $d\mu_n(\lambda)$ supported on n points
such that

$$e_1 = \int \psi(\lambda) d\mu_n(\lambda)$$

in degrees $\leq n$. Now let $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In the example with all $a_i = \frac{1}{2}$ one finds

$$\psi(\lambda)_n = \frac{\sin n\theta}{\sin \theta} \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda = \cos \theta \quad 0 < \theta < \pi$$

for the eigenfunctions:

$$\int \psi(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda) = \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin n\theta}{\sin \theta} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \sin^2 \theta d\theta \right) = \begin{cases} 1 & n=1 \\ 0 & n>1 \end{cases}$$

So now we want to consider the case where L is a perturbation of the case $L^{(0)}$ with all $a_n = \frac{1}{2}$. Thus L has $a_n = \frac{1}{2}$ for $n \geq n_0$. In this case we know that

$$\psi(\lambda)_n = (c_n(\theta) e^{in\theta} + \overline{c_n(\theta)} e^{-in\theta})/2 \quad \begin{array}{l} \lambda = \cos \theta \\ 0 < \theta < \pi \end{array}$$

for n large, and also that there are a finite number of $\lambda_n^{(e)}$ with $|\lambda| > 1$ such that $\psi(\lambda) \in l^2$. In effect if we start with the eigenfunction ~~eigenfunction~~

$$n \mapsto \begin{cases} (\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n & \lambda > 1 \\ (\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n & \lambda < -1 \end{cases}$$

for n large and calculate its value at 0 which get an algebraic equation for λ which has only finitely many roots. What I have to understand now is how to relate $d\mu(\lambda)$ to the scattering data.

Let λ be an eigenvalue such that $\psi(\lambda) \in l^2$. Then

$$d\mu(x) = \frac{1}{\|\psi(\lambda)\|^2} \delta_\lambda(x)$$

for x near λ .

Let E_n denote the projection on the first n coordinates. If $p(x)$ is a poly of degree $\leq n$, one has

$$p(L)e_1 = \int p(x) \psi(x) d\mu(x)$$

$$(p(L)e_1, E_n \psi(y)) = \int p(x) (\psi(x), E_n \psi(y)) d\mu(x)$$

$$(p(L)e_1, \psi(y)) = (e_1, \bar{p}(y)\psi(y)) = p(y)$$

Thus we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int p(x) (\psi(x), E_n \psi(y)) d\mu(x) = p(y)$$

for all polynomials $p(x)$, which means as measures

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\psi(x), E_n \psi(y)) d\mu(x) = \delta(x-y) dx$$

Now if $y \in$ point spectrum this gives

$$\|\psi(y)\|^2 d\mu(x) = \delta(x-y) dx$$

for x near y . On the other hand suppose $-1 < y < 1$, whence

$$\psi(x)_n \sim \operatorname{Re} c(\theta) e^{inx} \quad x = \cos \theta \quad 0 < \theta < \pi$$

$$\psi(y)_n \sim \operatorname{Re} c(\theta') e^{iny'} \quad y = \cos \theta'.$$

We can simplify this by writing

$$\psi(x)_n \sim A(\theta) \sin(n\theta - \delta(\theta))$$

where $\delta(\theta)$ is a phase shift.

$$(\psi(x), E_n \psi(y)) = \sum_{k=1}^n \psi(x)_k \psi(y)_k$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \psi(x)_k \psi(y)_k + \sum_{k=n_0+1}^n A(\theta) A(\theta') \sin(k\theta - \delta(\theta)) \sin(k\theta' - \delta(\theta'))$$

Since I expect $d\mu(x) = g(\theta) d\theta$ for $0 < \theta < \pi$, the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma ^{should tell me} what

$$A(\theta) A(\theta') \sum_{k=n_0+1}^n \sin(k\theta - \delta) \sin(k\theta' - \delta') g(\theta) d\theta$$

converges to as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\sin \alpha \sin \beta = \frac{1}{2} \cos(\alpha - \beta) - \frac{1}{2} \cos(\alpha + \beta)$$

$$\sum_{k=n_0+1}^n \cos(kx + \varepsilon) = \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n_0 \leq k \leq n} e^{i\varepsilon} e^{ikx} = \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{i\varepsilon} \frac{e^{i(n+1)x} - e^{i(n_0+1)x}}{e^{ix} - 1} \right)$$

$$= \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{i\varepsilon} \frac{e^{inx} - e^{in_0x}}{e^{ix} - 1} \right)$$

$$\sum_{k=n_0+1}^n \cos(k(\theta+\theta') - \delta - \delta') d\theta = \operatorname{Re} e^{-i(\delta+\delta')} \frac{e^{in(\theta+\theta')} - e^{in_0(\theta+\theta')}}{e^{-i(\theta+\theta')} - 1} d\theta$$

$$\rightarrow \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i(\delta+\delta')} \frac{e^{in_0(\theta+\theta')}}{1 - e^{-i(\theta+\theta')}} \right) d\theta$$

$$\sum_{k=n_0+1}^n \cos(k(\theta-\theta') + \delta - \delta') \frac{d\theta}{d\theta} = \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i(\delta-\delta')} \frac{e^{-in(\theta-\theta')} - e^{-in_0(\theta-\theta')}}{e^{-i(\theta-\theta')} - 1} \right) d\theta$$

$$\rightarrow \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{i(\delta-\delta')} \frac{e^{-in_0(\theta-\theta')}}{1 - e^{-i(\theta-\theta')}} \right) d\theta$$

when $\theta \neq \theta'$?

Problem: What is $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{in\theta}$?

First interpretation. Put $z = e^{i\theta}$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n = \frac{z}{1-z} \quad \text{if } |z| < 1.$$

Hence Abel summation gives the value $\frac{e^{i\theta}}{1-e^{i\theta}}$ for $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{in\theta}$

$$\frac{e^{i\theta}}{1-e^{i\theta}} = \frac{-e^{\frac{i\theta}{2}}}{e^{\frac{i\theta}{2}} - e^{-\frac{i\theta}{2}}} = \frac{i}{2} \frac{e^{\frac{i\theta}{2}}}{\sin \frac{\theta}{2}}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} + i \frac{1}{2} \cot\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right).$$

This holds for $\theta \neq 0$. ■ In general $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-in\theta}$ is a distribution on S^1 which is given by the formula

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-in\theta} = \pi \delta(0) - \frac{1}{2} + i \frac{1}{2} \cot\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right).$$

Here integration with $\cot\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)$ is defined in the principal value sense - for ^{test} functions vanishing at zero, integration is well-defined & one extends ■ this to be zero on constant functions.

March 30, 1977.

Scattering: Suppose one has a two-sided T -matrix L (symmetric + pos. off-diagonal entries) which agrees with $L_0 = \frac{1}{2}T + \frac{1}{2}T^{-1}$ outside of a finite region. For each λ we get an automorphism $s(\lambda)$ of the 2-diml. space $\text{Ker}(L_0 - \lambda)$ as follows. Given $y \in \text{Ker}(L_0 - \lambda)$, let $A_y \in \text{Ker}(L - \lambda)$ be the unique element ~~of~~ agreeing with y in large negative degrees, and $A_{-y} \in \text{Ker}(L - \lambda)$ the element agreeing with y in large positive degrees. Then $s(\lambda)$ is defined by

$$A_{+}^{\dagger} s(\lambda) y = A_{-} y.$$

Thus the perturbation L of L_0 gives rise to an automorphism s of the two-plane bundle over C determined by the eigensolutions of L_0 .

How can one relate the spectrum to the scattering operator $s(\lambda)$? First you ^{have to} fix the ~~boundary~~ boundary conditions to get a self-adjoint problem. In the one-sided problem you require ~~that~~ $y_0 = 0$ and y should be bounded at ∞ . Here the multiplicity is one for each λ . In the two-sided problem one requires boundedness in each direction, and the multiplicity is 2 for each λ . So now we have to ~~parametrize~~ parametrize our eigenfunctions. This we do by the $-\infty$ boundary condition. So introduce for each θ , $-\pi < \theta \leq \pi$ the eigenfunction $\phi(\theta)$:

$$\begin{cases} L\phi(\theta) = \cos \theta \phi(\theta) \\ \phi(\theta)_n = e^{in\theta} \quad n \ll 0 \end{cases}$$

This parameterizes the continuous spectrum eigenfunctions.
In addition we have ^{certain} bound states

$$L \phi_+(\tau) = \cancel{\cosh(\tau)} \phi_+(\tau)$$

$$\phi_+(\tau)_n = \begin{cases} e^{+n\tau} & n \ll 0 \\ \text{const. } e^{-n\tau} & n \gg 0 \end{cases}$$

$$L \phi_-(\tau) = -\cosh(\tau) \phi_-(\tau)$$

$$\phi_-(\tau)_n = \begin{cases} e^{n\tau} & \cancel{n \ll 0} \\ \text{const. } e^{-n\tau} & n \gg 0 \end{cases}$$

What remains is to find the spectral (Plancheral) measure which gives an expansion thus:

$$f = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (f, \phi(\theta)) \phi(\theta) d\nu(\theta) + \text{discrete part.}$$

For example if $L = \frac{1}{2}T + \frac{1}{2}T^{-1}$ then $\phi(\theta)_n = e^{in\theta}$
for all n and we have the Fourier expansion

$$f_n = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) e^{in\theta} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \quad g(\theta) = \sum_n f_n e^{-in\theta}$$

$$\text{so that } d\nu(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} d\theta.$$

It seems to be desirable to introduce the Green's function $G(m, n, \lambda)$ which is the resolvent of L . Thus $G(m, n, \lambda)$ is the solution of

$$(\lambda - L) G(\cdot, n, \lambda) = \delta_n$$

which dies exponentially when $\lambda \notin$ spectrum of L . Since

$$G_\lambda = \frac{1}{\lambda - L} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{L}{\lambda}} \sim \frac{1}{\lambda} \quad \text{as } |\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$$

one sees that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint G_\lambda d\lambda = I$$

e.g.

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint G(m, n, \lambda) d\lambda = \delta_{mn}$$

where the circle of integration is large. Now deform this circle down to around the singularities of G , and you ^{should} get the desired expansion theorem.

Describe the scattering as follows. Denote by $\psi(\lambda)$ the eigenfunction with

$$L\psi(\lambda) = \lambda\psi(\lambda)$$

$$\psi(\lambda)_n = (\lambda \pm \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n \quad n \ll 0$$

~~branch cut~~ Here $\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}$ is the branch off $-1 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ which is asymptotic to λ as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$. Thus

$$\psi(\lambda) = A_-(n \mapsto (\lambda \pm \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n)$$

For n large and positive one has

$$\psi(\lambda)_n = c(\lambda)(\lambda \pm \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n + b(\lambda)(1 \mp \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n$$

where $c(\lambda)$ = transmission coefficient and
 $b(\lambda)$ = reflection coefficient.

Let ~~$\phi(\lambda)$~~ $\phi(\lambda)_n = (\lambda \pm \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n$ for all n
and $\tilde{\phi}(\lambda)_n = (\lambda \mp \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n$ for all n . Then the above says

$$\psi(\lambda) = A_-(\lambda) \phi(\lambda)$$

$$\psi(\lambda) = A_+(\lambda) [c(\lambda) \phi(\lambda) + b(\lambda) \tilde{\phi}(\lambda)]$$

Note $\phi(\lambda), \tilde{\phi}(\lambda)$ form a natural basis for $\text{Ker}(L_0 - \lambda)$.
Let $\tilde{\psi}(\lambda)$ be the eigenfunction of L with

$$L \tilde{\psi}(\lambda) = \lambda \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)$$

$$\tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n = (\lambda \mp \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n$$

i.e.

$$\tilde{\psi}(\lambda) = A_-(\lambda) \tilde{\phi}(\lambda)$$

or equivalently $\tilde{\psi}(\lambda)$ = analytic continuation of $\psi(\lambda)$
across the ~~cut~~ cut. Define $\tilde{c}(\lambda), \tilde{b}(\lambda)$ by

$$\tilde{\psi}(\lambda) = A_+(\lambda) [\tilde{c}(\lambda) \tilde{\phi}(\lambda) + \tilde{b}(\lambda) \phi(\lambda)]$$

Then

$$s(\lambda) \phi(\lambda) = c(\lambda) \phi(\lambda) + b(\lambda) \tilde{\phi}(\lambda)$$

$$s(\lambda) \tilde{\phi}(\lambda) = \tilde{b}(\lambda) \phi(\lambda) + \tilde{c}(\lambda) \tilde{\phi}(\lambda)$$

or in the basis $\phi(\lambda), \tilde{\phi}(\lambda)$ one has

$$s(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} c(\lambda) & \tilde{b}(\lambda) \\ b(\lambda) & \tilde{c}(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}$$

It seems clear that $A_{\pm}(\lambda)$, $\delta(\lambda)$ are entire functions of λ . But $\phi(\lambda)$, $\tilde{\phi}(\lambda)$ forms a basis for $\text{Ker}(L_0 - \lambda)$ only for $\lambda \neq \pm 1$.

Note that $\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1} \sim \lambda \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right)^{1/2}\right) = \lambda \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda^2}\right)\right) = \frac{1}{2\lambda}$
 $\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1} \sim 2\lambda$ for λ large, so that

$$\phi(\lambda)_n = (\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n \quad \text{and } \psi(\lambda)$$

~~also~~ dies exponentially for $n \rightarrow -\infty$, whereas

$$\tilde{\phi}(\lambda)_n = (\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n \quad \text{and } \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)$$

dies exponentially for $n \rightarrow +\infty$. No

So when we construct G_λ we shall use

$$G(m, n, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \alpha(\lambda) \psi(\lambda)_m & m \leq n \\ \beta(\lambda) \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_m & m > n \end{cases}$$

Our first equation is

$$\alpha(\lambda) \psi(\lambda)_n = \beta(\lambda) \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n$$

$$\left((\lambda - L) G(\cdot, n, \lambda) \right)_n = 1$$

||

$$\underbrace{a_{n-1} G(n-1, n, \lambda) + b_n^{-\lambda} G(n, n, \lambda)}_{-\alpha_n \alpha(\lambda) \psi(\lambda)_{n+1}} + \underbrace{a_n G(n+1, n, \lambda)}_{a_n \beta(\lambda) \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_{n+1}} = -1$$

Two equations because

$$\alpha(\lambda) \psi(\lambda)_n - \beta(\lambda) \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n = 0$$

$$+ \alpha(\lambda) \psi(\lambda)_{n+1} - \beta(\lambda) \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_{n+1} = + \frac{1}{a_n}$$

Note that

$$a_n \begin{vmatrix} \psi(\lambda)_n & \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n \\ \psi(\lambda)_{n+1} & \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_{n+1} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \psi(\lambda)_n & \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n \\ -a_{n-1}\psi(\lambda)_{n-1} - a_{n-1}\tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_{n-1} & \end{vmatrix} = a_{n-1} \begin{vmatrix} \psi(\lambda)_{n-1} & \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_{n-1} \\ \psi(\lambda)_n & \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n \end{vmatrix}$$

Hence this Wronskian is constant, and we can evaluate it for n large and ~~large~~ positive

I see now that I have the wrong definition of $\tilde{\psi}(\lambda)$. What I want here is for

$$\tilde{\psi}(\lambda) \sim (1 - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty$$

$$\text{ie} \quad \tilde{\psi}(\lambda) = A_+(\lambda) \tilde{\phi}(\lambda)$$

Now I recall

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\lambda) &= c(\lambda) A_+(\lambda) \phi(\lambda) + b(\lambda) A_+(\lambda) \tilde{\phi}(\lambda) \\ &= c(\lambda) (\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n + b(\lambda) (\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n \quad n \gg 0 \end{aligned}$$

so that for $n \gg 0$

$$\begin{aligned} a_n \begin{vmatrix} \psi(\lambda)_n & -\tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n \\ \psi(\lambda)_{n+1} & -\tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_{n+1} \end{vmatrix} &= a_n \begin{vmatrix} c(\lambda)(\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n + b(\lambda)(\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n & -(1 - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n \\ c(\lambda)(\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^{n+1} + b(\lambda)(\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^{n+1} & -(\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^{n+1} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \cancel{\frac{1}{2}} c(\lambda) \left[(\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}) - (\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}) \right] \\ &= +c(\lambda) \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1} \end{aligned}$$

This holds for all n .

Now return to the equations

$$\begin{cases} \psi(\lambda)_n \alpha(\lambda) - \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n \beta(\lambda) = 0 \\ a_n \psi(\lambda)_{n+1} \alpha(\lambda) - a_n \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_{n+1} \beta(\lambda) = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha(\lambda) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 0 & \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n \\ 1 & -a_n \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_{n+1} \end{vmatrix}}{+c(\lambda) \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}} = \frac{\tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n}{c(\lambda) \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}}$$

$$\beta(\lambda) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \psi(\lambda)_n & 0 \\ a_n \psi(\lambda)_{n+1} & 1 \end{vmatrix}}{+c(\lambda) \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}} = \frac{\psi(\lambda)_n}{c(\lambda) \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}}$$

Hence

$$G(m, n, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{\psi(\lambda)_m \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n}{c(\lambda) \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}} & m \leq n \\ \frac{\psi(\lambda)_n \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_m}{c(\lambda) \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}} & m > n \end{cases}$$

$$G(m, n, \lambda) = \frac{\psi(\lambda)_{m \wedge} \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_{m \wedge}}{c(\lambda) \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}}$$

$$m_{\wedge} = \min(m, n)$$

$$m_{\wedge} = \max(m, n)$$

For example if $L = L_o$, then

$$\psi(\lambda)_n = (\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n, \quad \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n = (\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n, \quad c(\lambda) = 1$$

so

$$G(m, n, \lambda) = \frac{(\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^{m \wedge} (\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^{m \wedge}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}}$$

$$= \frac{(\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^{(m-n)}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}}$$

as a check,

$$\frac{1}{2}G(m-1, n, \lambda) - \lambda G(m, n, \lambda) + \frac{1}{2}G(m+1, n, \lambda)$$

$$= \frac{\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1} - 2\lambda + \lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}}{2\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}} = -1 \quad \checkmark$$

Now compute

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint G(m, n, \lambda) d\lambda$$

Take first the case $L=L_0$, and ~~without~~ put $d=|m-n|$.

Put $\lambda = \cancel{\text{something}} \frac{1}{2}(z + \frac{1}{z})$, $z=re^{i\theta}$ where $r>1$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$. This gives a contour

$$\lambda \approx \frac{1}{2}re^{i\theta} \quad r \gg 1.$$



$$\lambda \approx \cos \theta \quad r=1.$$

$$d\lambda = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2z^2} \right) dz \quad \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(z^2 + 2 + \frac{1}{z^2})} = \frac{1}{2}(z - \frac{1}{z})$$

$$\frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}} = \frac{dz}{z} = \frac{ire^{i\theta} d\theta}{re^{i\theta}} = id\theta$$

$$\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1} = \frac{1}{z}. \quad \text{Thus}$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint G(m, n, \lambda) d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} z^{-|m-n|} d\theta = \delta_{m,n}$$

In the general cases we get what? Note that we we put $\lambda = \cos \theta$ we sort of specify ~~what~~ what branch of $\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}$ to take, namely $\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1} = i \sin \theta$. So

$$\psi(\cos \theta)_n = e^{in\theta} \quad n \ll 0$$

$$\tilde{\psi}(\cos \theta)_n = e^{-in\theta} \quad \checkmark \quad n \gg 0$$

46

(Think of θ as having come from $\operatorname{Im}(\theta) \neq 0$ i.e. $|e^{i\theta}| > 1$).

so we get

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint g(m, n, \lambda) d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\psi(\cos \theta)_m < \tilde{\psi}(\cos \theta)_m >}{c(\cos \theta)} d\theta + \text{discrete part}$$

March 31, 1977.

Review. We consider a J-matrix $L = aT + b + T^{-1}a$ where $a_n = \frac{1}{2}$, $b_n = 0$ for $|n|$ large. For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ we have a unique eigenfunction $\psi(\lambda)$ with

$$(1) \quad L\psi(\lambda) = \lambda\psi(\lambda)$$

$$\psi(\lambda)_n = \boxed{\psi(\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})}^n \quad n \ll 0.$$

To be more accurate ~~we cut the complex plane along the segment [-1, 1]~~ we cut the complex plane along the segment $[-1, 1]$. Off this segment we take the branch of $\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}$ which is asymptotic to λ for large $|\lambda|$. On the cut we use the parameterization $\lambda = \cos \theta$ to distinguish the upper and lower parts of the cut.

Thus $\psi(\lambda)$ is defined for $\lambda \notin [-1, 1]$ by (1). It decays exponentially as $n \rightarrow -\infty$. On the cut we define $\psi(\theta)$ by

$$L\psi(\theta) = \cos \theta \psi(\theta)$$

$$\psi(\theta) = e^{in\theta} \quad n \ll 0.$$

Similarly we can define $\tilde{\psi}(\lambda)$ to be the eigenfunction

with $\tilde{\psi}(\lambda) = (\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n$ $n \gg 0$. This is for λ off the cut, and specializing to the cut we get

$$\begin{cases} L\tilde{\psi}(\theta) = \cos\theta \tilde{\psi}(\theta) \\ \tilde{\psi}(\theta) = e^{-in\theta} \end{cases} \quad n \gg 0$$

Now for $n \gg 0$ one has

$$\psi(\lambda)_n = c(\lambda)(\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n + b(\lambda)(\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n$$

hence

$$\psi(\lambda) = c(\lambda) \varphi(\lambda) + b(\lambda) \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)$$

where $\varphi(\lambda)$ is the eigenfunction asymptotic to $(\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n$ (= analytic continuation of $\tilde{\psi}(\lambda)$ across the cut). This looks better on the cut:

$$\begin{cases} \psi(\theta) = c(\theta)e^{in\theta} + b(\theta)e^{-in\theta} & n \gg 0 \\ \psi(\theta) = e^{in\theta} & n \ll 0 \end{cases}$$

$c(\lambda)$ = transmission coeff., $b(\lambda)$ = reflection coeff.

~~Notice that if~~ $c(\lambda)=0$, then $\psi(\lambda) = b(\lambda)\tilde{\psi}(\lambda)$ dies exponentially in both directions, hence we get a bound state or point eigenvalue, and conversely.

■ Compute Wronskian

$$W = a_n \begin{vmatrix} \psi(\lambda)_n & \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n \\ \psi(\lambda)_{n+1} & \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_{n+1} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \psi(\lambda)_n & \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n \\ -b_n \psi(\lambda)_n & -b_n \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n \\ -a_{n-1} \psi(\lambda)_{n+1} & -a_{n-1} \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_{n+1} \end{vmatrix} = a_{n-1} \begin{vmatrix} \psi(\lambda)_{n-1} & \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_{n-1} \\ \psi(\lambda)_n & \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n \end{vmatrix}$$

is constant. Evaluate for n large

$$W = \frac{1}{2} \begin{vmatrix} c(\lambda)(\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n + b(\lambda) & (\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n \\ c(\lambda)(\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^{n+1} + b(\lambda) & (\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^{n+1} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} [c(\lambda)(\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1} - \lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})]$$

$$= -c(\lambda) \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}.$$

Now put

$$G(m, n, \lambda) = \frac{\psi(\lambda)_m \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n}{c(\lambda) \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}}$$

and then $(L^\dagger G(\cdot, n, \lambda))_m = 0 \quad m \neq n$ whereas

$$(L^\dagger G(\cdot, n, \lambda))_n = \frac{a_{n-1} \psi(\lambda)_{n-1} \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n + (b_n^{-1}) \psi(\lambda)_n \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n + a_n \psi(\lambda)_n \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_{n+1}}{c(\lambda) \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}}$$

$$= \frac{-a_n \psi(\lambda)_{n+1} \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n + a_n \psi(\lambda)_n \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_{n+1}}{c(\lambda) \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}} = -1$$

Thus G is the Green's function. Now take the contour integral

$$\delta_{mn} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint G(m, n, \lambda) d\lambda \quad \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}} = \frac{-\cos \theta d\theta}{i \sin \theta} = id\theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\psi(\theta)_m \tilde{\psi}(\theta)_n}{c(\theta)} d\theta + \text{sum over the zeroes of } c(\lambda)$$

Next let's consider the effects of conjugating:

~~After this~~

$$\left. \begin{aligned} L\psi(\theta) &= \cos \theta \psi(\theta) \\ \psi(\theta) &= e^{-in\theta} \quad n \ll 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} L\overline{\psi(\theta)} &= \cos \theta \overline{\psi(\theta)} \\ \overline{\psi(\theta)} &= e^{-in\theta} \quad n \ll 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \overline{\psi(\theta)} &= \psi(-\theta) \\ \overline{\tilde{\psi}(\theta)} &= \tilde{\psi}(-\theta) \end{aligned}}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\theta) &= c(\theta) e^{in\theta} + b(\theta) e^{-in\theta} & n \gg 0 \\ \Rightarrow \psi(\theta) &= c(\theta) \tilde{\psi}(-\theta) + b(\theta) \tilde{\psi}(\theta) \\ \Rightarrow \psi(-\theta) &= \overline{c(\theta)} \tilde{\psi}(\theta) + \overline{b(\theta)} \tilde{\psi}(-\theta) \\ &= c(-\theta) \tilde{\psi}(-\theta) + b(-\theta) \tilde{\psi}(\theta) \\ \therefore \overline{c(\theta)} &= b(-\theta) \\ b(\theta) &= \overline{c(-\theta)}.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose we scatter the other way:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n &= f(\lambda)(\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n + g(\lambda)(\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n & n \ll 0 \\ W &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{vmatrix} (\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n & f(\lambda)(\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n + \\ (\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^{n+1} & f(\lambda)(\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^{n+1} + \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} f(\lambda) [\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1} - \lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}] = -f(\lambda) \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}\end{aligned}$$

Concludes $f(\lambda) = c(\lambda)$.

Better

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\lambda)_n &= (\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n & n \ll 0 \\ \psi_1(\lambda)_n &= (\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n & " \\ \tilde{\psi}_1(\lambda)_n &= (\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n & n \gg 0 \\ \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n &= (\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n & n \gg 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\lambda) &= c \tilde{\psi}_1(\lambda) + b \tilde{\psi}(\lambda) \\ \psi_1(\lambda) &= c_1 \tilde{\psi}_1(\lambda) + b_1 \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}d(\lambda) &= \begin{pmatrix} c & c_1 \\ b & b_1 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Because of the Wronskian

$$\det \delta(\lambda) = 1$$

Hence

$$\delta(\lambda)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & -c_1 \\ -b & c \end{pmatrix}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\psi}, \tilde{\psi}) &= (\psi, \psi) \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & -c_1 \\ -b & c \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (b_1\psi - b\psi_1, -c_1\psi + c\psi_1) \\ \therefore \tilde{\psi} &= -c_1\psi + c\psi_1 \end{aligned}$$

Now when $\lambda = \cos \theta$, $\tilde{\psi}(\lambda) = \tilde{\psi}(-\theta)$ so our equations become

$$(\psi(\theta) \quad \psi(-\theta)) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\psi}(-\theta) & \tilde{\psi}(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c(\theta) & c(-\theta) \\ b(\theta) & b(-\theta) \end{pmatrix}$$

so we get $\delta(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} c(\theta) & \overline{b(\theta)} \\ b(\theta) & \overline{c(\theta)} \end{pmatrix}$ has $\det = 1$:

$$|c|^2 - |b|^2 = 1$$

I seem to be unable to derive the Plancheral measure. For example suppose λ is a root of $c(\lambda)$, whence the contribution to the contour integral coming from λ is

then

$$\frac{\psi(\lambda)_m \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n}{c'(\lambda) \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}} = \frac{\psi(\lambda)_m \psi(\lambda)_n}{c'(\lambda) b(\lambda) \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}}$$

I still want to show $c(\lambda)b(\lambda) = \|\chi(\lambda)\|^2$ where $c(\lambda)=0$.
 The problem with this is that the Green's function has not been closely related to the ℓ^2 structure and self-adjoint nature of L yet.

Work out G-function for one-sided case:

$$L\phi(\lambda) = \lambda \phi(\lambda) \quad \phi(\lambda)_0 = 0 \quad \phi(\lambda)_1 = 1.$$

$$\tilde{\psi}(\lambda) \text{ as before} = (\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n \quad n \gg 0$$

$$\phi(\lambda) = a(\lambda)(\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n + b(\lambda)(\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n \quad n \gg 0$$

$$G(m, n, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \alpha(\lambda) \phi(\lambda)_m & m \leq n \\ \beta(\lambda) \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_m & m \geq n \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha(\lambda) \phi(\lambda)_m - \beta(\lambda) \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_m = 0$$

$$\underbrace{a_{n-1} \alpha(\lambda) \phi(\lambda)_{n-1}}_{-a_n \alpha(\lambda) \phi(\lambda)_{n+1}} + (b_n - \lambda) \alpha(\lambda) \phi(\lambda)_n + a_n \beta(\lambda) \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_{n+1} = -1$$

$$\alpha(\lambda) a_n \phi(\lambda)_{n+1} - \beta(\lambda) a_n \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_{n+1} = 1.$$

$$W = a_n \begin{vmatrix} \phi(\lambda)_n & \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n \\ \phi(\lambda)_{n+1} & \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_{n+1} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{vmatrix} \alpha(\lambda)(\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n + b(\lambda) .. & (\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n \\ \alpha(\lambda)(\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^n + b(\lambda) .. & (\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1})^{n+1} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= -a(\lambda) \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}$$

$$\alpha(\lambda) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 0 & \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n \\ 1 & \end{vmatrix}}{-a(\lambda) \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}} = \frac{\tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n}{a(\lambda) \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}} \quad + \beta(\lambda) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \phi(\lambda)_n & 0 \\ 1 & \end{vmatrix}}{+a(\lambda) \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}}$$

$$= \frac{\phi(\lambda)_n}{a(\lambda) \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}}$$

$$G(m, n, \lambda) = \frac{\phi(\lambda)_m \tilde{\psi}(\lambda)_n}{a(\lambda) \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}}$$

m < n

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\phi(\theta)_m \tilde{\psi}(\theta)_n}{a(\theta)} d\theta &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{\phi(\theta)_m \tilde{\psi}(\theta)_n}{a(\theta)} d\theta + \int_{-\pi}^0 \frac{\phi(-\theta)_m \tilde{\psi}(-\theta)_n}{a(-\theta)} d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \left(\frac{\phi(\theta)_m \tilde{\psi}(\theta)_n}{a(\theta)} + \frac{\phi(-\theta)_m \tilde{\psi}(-\theta)_n}{a(-\theta)} \right) d\theta \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\theta)_n &= a(\theta) e^{in\theta} + b(\theta) e^{-in\theta} & n > 0 \\ &= a(\theta) \tilde{\psi}(-\theta)_n + b(\theta) \tilde{\psi}(\theta)_n & b_n \end{aligned}$$

But $\phi(\theta) = \phi(-\theta)$ so $b(\theta) = a(-\theta)$

Thus the above integral is

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi(\theta)_m \frac{a(-\theta) \tilde{\psi}(\theta)_n + a(\theta) \tilde{\psi}(-\theta)_n}{a(\theta) a(-\theta)} d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{\phi(\theta)_m \phi(\theta)_n}{|a(\theta)|^2} d\theta \end{aligned}$$

$\phi(\theta) = \overline{\phi(\theta)}$
 $\Rightarrow \overline{a(\theta)} = b(\theta)$
 $= a(-\theta).$

If λ is a point eigenvalue, i.e. $a(\lambda) = 0$ the contribution of λ to the contour integral of G is

$$\frac{\phi(\lambda)_m \phi(\lambda)_n}{a'(\lambda) b(\lambda) \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}}$$

April 1, 1977

Let $S = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. To calculate the image of $P_1(\mathbb{R})$ under S^{-1} i.e. the set

$$\Delta = \left\{ m \in P_1(\mathbb{C}) \mid \frac{am+b}{cm+d} \in P_1(\mathbb{R}) \right\}$$

This will be a circle in \mathbb{D} provided $\infty \notin \Delta$, i.e. $\frac{a}{c} \notin P_1(\mathbb{R})$, i.e. $\begin{vmatrix} a & \bar{a} \\ c & \bar{c} \end{vmatrix} = a\bar{c} - \bar{a}c \neq 0$.

The center of Δ reflected through Δ is $m = \infty$ which goes to $\frac{a}{c}$ which reflects thru \mathbb{R} to $\frac{\bar{a}}{\bar{c}}$ which comes from

$$\begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\bar{a}}{\bar{c}} \right) = \frac{d\bar{a} - b\bar{c}}{a\bar{c} - c\bar{a}} = \frac{\cancel{d\bar{a} - b\bar{c}}}{\cancel{a\bar{c} - c\bar{a}}} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \bar{a} & b \\ \bar{c} & d \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} a & \bar{a} \\ c & \bar{c} \end{vmatrix}}$$

~~This~~ This is the center of Δ . Any straight line joining ~~this~~ $m =$ center Δ , $m = \infty$ corresponds to a circle containing $z = \frac{\bar{a}}{\bar{c}}$ and $z = \frac{a}{c}$. Take the circle $|z| = \frac{|a|}{|c|}$. The two m -points corresponding to $\pm \frac{|a|}{|c|}$ lie on opposite sides of Δ hence

$$\text{diam}(\Delta) = \left| \frac{d\left|\frac{a}{c}\right| - b}{-c\left|\frac{a}{c}\right| + a} + \frac{+d\left|\frac{a}{c}\right| + b}{+c\left|\frac{a}{c}\right| + a} \right|$$

Better

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rad}(\Delta) &= \left| \frac{\bar{a}d - \bar{c}b}{a\bar{c} - c\bar{a}} + \frac{d}{c} \right| = \left| \frac{\bar{a}d\bar{c} - c\bar{c}b + a\bar{a}c - \bar{a}cd}{(a\bar{c} - c\bar{a})c} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{\bar{c}(ad - bc)}{(a\bar{c} - c\bar{a})c} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{a\bar{c} - c\bar{a}} \right| \end{aligned}$$

More clearly \downarrow center \downarrow point comes. to $m=\infty$

$$\text{rad}(\Delta) = \left| \frac{\bar{a}d - \bar{c}b}{\bar{a}\bar{c} - \bar{a}\bar{c}} - \frac{d}{c} \right| = \left| \frac{\bar{a}d - \bar{c}bc + \bar{c}ad - acd}{(\bar{a}\bar{c} - \bar{a}\bar{c})c} \right| \\ = \left| \frac{1}{|\begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & \bar{a} \\ c & \bar{c} \end{pmatrix}|} \right|$$

One applies this to a S-L system.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ g-\lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u' \end{pmatrix}$$

Let ~~the solution matrix~~ starting at $t=0$ be

$$S(t, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi(t, \lambda) & \varphi(t, \lambda) \\ \psi'(t, \lambda) & \varphi'(t, \lambda) \end{pmatrix} \quad S(0, \lambda) = I$$

and let $S = S(b, \lambda)$. Then we can parameterize the solutions by $m \in \mathbb{P}'\mathbb{C}$, using $m \mapsto m\psi + \varphi$. The radius of the circle Δ_b in the m -plane corresponding to real values at $t=b$ is given by

$$\text{rad}(\Delta_b) = \left| \frac{1}{\begin{pmatrix} \psi(b) & \varphi(b) \\ \psi'(b) & \varphi'(b) \end{pmatrix}} \right|$$

But

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \psi & \varphi \\ \psi' & \varphi' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi & \varphi \\ \psi'' & \varphi'' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi & \varphi \\ (g-\lambda)\psi & (g-\lambda)\varphi \end{pmatrix} = -\bar{\lambda}\bar{\psi}\bar{\varphi} + \lambda\bar{\psi}\bar{\varphi} \\ = 2i \operatorname{Im}(\lambda) \bar{\psi}\bar{\varphi}$$

So integrating from 0 to b we get

$$\left| \frac{\psi}{\psi'} \frac{\bar{\psi}'}{\bar{\psi}} \right| (b) = 2i \operatorname{Im}(\lambda) \int_0^b |\psi|^2 dt$$

so $\frac{1}{\operatorname{rad}(\lambda(b))} = 2|\operatorname{Im}(\lambda)| \int_0^b |\psi|^2 dt$

Recall ~~the~~ from page 98 (March 4, 1977) that if S is the solution matrix for a system

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = AX \quad \operatorname{tr}(A) = 0$$

with $\operatorname{Im}(A) = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ -r & -p \end{pmatrix}$ such that $q \geq 0, r \geq 0$
 $\det = -p^2 + qr \geq 0$

then $S(t)$ carries H into H . $H = \text{upper half-plane.}$

Recall

$$\frac{d}{dt} \det(S) = \operatorname{tr}(A) \cdot \det(S) = 0$$

so $\det(S) \equiv 1$.

Next let's see what happens if we change variables:

$$X = U Y$$

Then $\ddot{U}Y + U\dot{Y} = \dot{X} = AX = AUY$

$$U\dot{Y} = [AU - \dot{U}]Y$$

$$\dot{Y} = [U^{-1}AU - U^{-1}\dot{U}]Y$$

If $X = SX_0$ is the solution with initial value X_0 then

$$Y = U^{-1}X = U^{-1}SU_0Y_0$$

is the solution with initial value Y_0 so the new solution

matrix is

$$\tilde{S} = \bar{U}^T S U_0$$

Of course we want $\det(U) = 1$ so that

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} \det(U) = \text{tr}(U U^{-1}) \det(U) = \text{tr}(U U^{-1}) = \text{tr}(U^{-1} U)$$

and so $\tilde{A} = U^{-1} A U - U^{-1} \bar{U}$ will still have trace zero.

So next consider

$$A = A_0 + \lambda A_1,$$

where $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & -P \end{pmatrix}$ is in the good class. We suppose $U \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\tilde{A} = \underbrace{(U^{-1} A_0 U - U^{-1} \bar{U})}_{\tilde{A}_0} + \lambda \underbrace{(U^{-1} A_1 U)}_{\tilde{A}_1}$$

Suppose that $\det(A_1) > 0$ - this is the interesting case that comes from Ising models. Now if we reparametrize t , i.e. change from t to $\tilde{t} = x$ so that

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{dx}{dt} \frac{d}{dx}$$

then we replace our system by

$$\frac{dX}{dx} = \left(\frac{A_0 + \lambda A_1}{\frac{dx}{dt}} \right) X.$$

So choosing $\frac{dx}{dt} = (\det(A_1))^{-1/2}$ we can arrange that A_1 have determinant 1.

Now we should be able to choose U so that

$$A_1 = U \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^{-1}$$

with U varying smoothly. Note that the centralizer of $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ is the rotation group $K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ and that

$$SL_2(\mathbb{R})/K \rightarrow H$$

Therefore has to be a way of identifying $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ -r & -p \end{pmatrix} \mid \begin{array}{l} q, r > 0 \\ -p^2 + qr = 1 \end{array} \right\}$ with H .

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -b & a \\ -d & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -(ac+bd) & a^2+b^2 \\ -c^2-d^2 & ac+bd \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}(i) = \frac{ai+b}{ci+d} = \frac{(ai+b)(ci+d)}{c^2+d^2} = \frac{(ac+bd)+i}{c^2+d^2}$$

Thus the 1-1 correspondence is

$$\begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ -r & -p \end{pmatrix} \longleftrightarrow \frac{-p+i}{r}$$

So it's now more or less clear that by these changes of variable we can manipulate our equation into the form

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = (A_0 + i A_1)x$$

$$\text{where } A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We are still free to choose U in the centralizer of A_1 , which is the rotation group K , in order to simplify the DE. This changes A_0 to $U^{-1}A_0U - iU^{-1}U$. Note

that under $\text{Ad}(K)$ we have

$$\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{k} \oplus \mathfrak{f}$$

$$\mathbb{k} \quad \mathfrak{f}$$

$$\mathbb{k} \quad \mathfrak{f}$$

$$\mathbb{k} \quad \mathbb{k}$$

$$\mathbb{k} \quad \mathbb{k}$$

with $\mathfrak{f} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ with K-action given by doubling θ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\theta & -\sin 2\theta \\ -\sin 2\theta & \cos 2\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \cos 2\theta \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \sin 2\theta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} c & s \\ -s & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin 2\theta & \cos 2\theta \\ \cos 2\theta & -\sin 2\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \sin 2\theta \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \cos 2\theta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that if $U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$, $\theta = \theta(t)$

$$U^{-1}U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \\ -\cos \theta & -\sin \theta \end{pmatrix} \dot{\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \dot{\theta}$$

Therefore we can choose two things by choosing U suitably:

- Make $A_0 \in \mathfrak{f} = \text{symmetric matrices}$
- Make $A_0 \in \mathbb{R}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbb{R}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

~~Because the following matter.~~

i) $\frac{dX}{dt} = (A_0 + \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) X$ A_0 real and symmetric
trace zero

ii) $\frac{dX}{dt} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b+\lambda \\ -b-\lambda & -a \end{pmatrix} X$ with $a \geq 0$

Perhaps a third possibility is

$$\text{iii) } A_0 \in \mathbb{R} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbb{R} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

whence the equations are

$$\text{iii) } \frac{dx}{dt} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b+\lambda \\ c-\lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix} x \quad b+c \geq 0$$

So let us now consider the solution matrix

$$S(t, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$

so that

$$\dot{S} = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\alpha} & \dot{\beta} \\ \dot{\gamma} & \dot{\delta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b+\lambda \\ c-\lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$

so we consider $S = S(t, \lambda)$ as mapping \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{C} to \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{C} . We want the inverse image of \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{R} under S . According to earlier calculations this has radius

$$\frac{1}{\text{rad}(\Delta)} = \left| \begin{vmatrix} \alpha & \bar{\alpha} \\ \gamma & \bar{\gamma} \end{vmatrix} \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left| \begin{vmatrix} \alpha & \bar{\alpha} \\ \gamma & \bar{\gamma} \end{vmatrix} \right| &= \left| \begin{vmatrix} \dot{\alpha} & \bar{\alpha} \\ \dot{\gamma} & \bar{\gamma} \end{vmatrix} \right| + \left| \begin{vmatrix} \alpha & \bar{\alpha} \\ \dot{\gamma} & \bar{\dot{\gamma}} \end{vmatrix} \right| = \left| \begin{vmatrix} (b+\lambda)\gamma & \bar{\alpha} \\ (c-\lambda)\alpha & \bar{\gamma} \end{vmatrix} \right| + \left| \begin{vmatrix} \alpha & (b+\bar{\lambda})\bar{\gamma} \\ \gamma & (c-\bar{\lambda})\bar{\alpha} \end{vmatrix} \right| \\ &= (b+\lambda)|\gamma|^2 - (c-\lambda)|\alpha|^2 + (c-\bar{\lambda})|\alpha|^2 - (b+\bar{\lambda})|\gamma|^2 \\ &= 2(\text{Im } \lambda)(|\alpha|^2 + |\gamma|^2) \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{\text{rad}(\Delta)} = 2|\text{Im } \lambda| \cdot \int_0^t (|\alpha|^2 + |\gamma|^2) dt$$