Peter Scholze

Algebraic Geometry Salt Lake City

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Let X be a compact complex manifold.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Let X be a compact complex manifold.

(i) If X is Kähler, there is a natural Hodge decomposition

$$H^i(X,\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{C}\cong igoplus_{j=0}^i H^{i-j}(X,\Omega^j_X)\;.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Let X be a compact complex manifold.

(i) If X is Kähler, there is a natural Hodge decomposition

$$H^i(X,\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{C}\cong igoplus_{j=0}^i H^{i-j}(X,\Omega^j_X)\;.$$

 $(\mathrm{ii})\,$ There is a natural isomorphism

$$H^i(X,\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{C}\cong H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)$$
.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

(iii) If X is Kähler, then the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence

$$E_1^{ij} = H^j(X, \Omega_X^i) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

degenerates at E_1 .

All projective smooth algebraic varieties over ${\mathbb C}$ give rise to Kähler complex manifolds.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

All projective smooth algebraic varieties over ${\mathbb C}$ give rise to Kähler complex manifolds.

Examples of non-Kähler complex manifolds:

All projective smooth algebraic varieties over ${\mathbb C}$ give rise to Kähler complex manifolds.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQの

Examples of non-Kähler complex manifolds:

The Hopf surface:

All projective smooth algebraic varieties over ${\mathbb C}$ give rise to Kähler complex manifolds.

Examples of non-Kähler complex manifolds:

The Hopf surface: Let $q\in \mathbb{C}^*$, |q|<1. Then

 $X:=(\mathbb{C}^2\setminus\{(0,0)\})/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

All projective smooth algebraic varieties over ${\mathbb C}$ give rise to Kähler complex manifolds.

Examples of non-Kähler complex manifolds:

The Hopf surface: Let $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$, |q| < 1. Then

 $X := (\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\})/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

One has $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbb{C}$ while $H^0(X, \Omega^1_X) = 0$, so Hodge symmetry fails.

All projective smooth algebraic varieties over ${\mathbb C}$ give rise to Kähler complex manifolds.

Examples of non-Kähler complex manifolds:

The Hopf surface: Let $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$, |q| < 1. Then

 $X := (\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\})/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

One has $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbb{C}$ while $H^0(X, \Omega^1_X) = 0$, so Hodge symmetry fails. However, the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence degenerates at E_1 .

The Iwasawa threefold:

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

The Iwasawa threefold: Let

$$N = \left(egin{array}{ccc} 1 & * & * \ 0 & 1 & * \ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}
ight)$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

be the unipotent subgroup of GL_3 .

The Iwasawa threefold: Let

$$N = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

be the unipotent subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}_3.$ Then

$$X := N(\mathbb{C})/N(\mathbb{Z}[i])$$
.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The Hodge-de Rham spectral does not degenerate at E_1 ; in particular X is non-Kähler.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Setup:

Setup: Let K be a p-adic field, i.e. a discretely valued complete nonarchimedean extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with perfect residue field k.

Setup: Let K be a p-adic field, i.e. a discretely valued complete nonarchimedean extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with perfect residue field k. (I.e., K is a finite extension of $W(k)[p^{-1}]$.)

Setup: Let K be a p-adic field, i.e. a discretely valued complete nonarchimedean extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with perfect residue field k. (I.e., K is a finite extension of $W(k)[p^{-1}]$.)

Let $C = \hat{K}$ be the completed algebraic closure of K.

Theorem (Tate, 1967)

Let A/\mathcal{O}_K be an abelian variety.

Setup: Let K be a p-adic field, i.e. a discretely valued complete nonarchimedean extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with perfect residue field k. (I.e., K is a finite extension of $W(k)[p^{-1}]$.)

Let $C = \hat{K}$ be the completed algebraic closure of K.

Theorem (Tate, 1967)

Let A/\mathcal{O}_K be an abelian variety. Then there is a natural $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -equivariant isomorphism

 $H^1_{\text{\'et}}(A_C,\mathbb{Z}_p)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} C\cong H^1(A,\mathcal{O}_A)\otimes_{K} C\oplus H^0(A,\Omega^1_A)(-1)\otimes_{K} C\ .$

▲ロト ▲圖 → ▲ 国 ト ▲ 国 - の Q @

Question (Tate, 1967)

One can ask whether a similar Hodge-like decomposition exists for the étale cohomology with values in C in all dimensions,

Question (Tate, 1967)

One can ask whether a similar Hodge-like decomposition exists for the étale cohomology with values in C in all dimensions, for a scheme X_C coming from a scheme X projective and smooth over \mathcal{O}_K ,

Question (Tate, 1967)

One can ask whether a similar Hodge-like decomposition exists for the étale cohomology with values in C in all dimensions, for a scheme X_C coming from a scheme X projective and smooth over \mathcal{O}_K , or perhaps even over K,

Question (Tate, 1967)

One can ask whether a similar Hodge-like decomposition exists for the étale cohomology with values in C in all dimensions, for a scheme X_C coming from a scheme X projective and smooth over \mathcal{O}_K , or perhaps even over K, or for suitable rigid-analytic spaces.

Question (Tate, 1967)

One can ask whether a similar Hodge-like decomposition exists for the étale cohomology with values in C in all dimensions, for a scheme X_C coming from a scheme X projective and smooth over \mathcal{O}_K , or perhaps even over K, or for suitable rigid-analytic spaces.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Such "Hodge-like" decompositions are now called Hodge-Tate decompositions.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = 差 = のへで

Fontaine-Messing (1985): X projective smooth scheme over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}} = W(k)$, $p > \dim X$.

Fontaine-Messing (1985): X projective smooth scheme over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}} = W(k)$, $p > \dim X$.

Faltings (1990): X proper smooth scheme over K.

Fontaine-Messing (1985): X projective smooth scheme over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}} = W(k)$, $p > \dim X$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Faltings (1990): X proper smooth scheme over K.

Other proofs given by Tsuji, Niziol, Beilinson.

Fontaine-Messing (1985): X projective smooth scheme over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}} = W(k)$, $p > \dim X$.

Faltings (1990): X proper smooth scheme over K.

Other proofs given by Tsuji, Niziol, Beilinson.

Rigid-analytic case remained open. Not even finiteness of $H^i_{\text{ét}}(X_C, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ was known!

Let X be a proper smooth rigid-analytic variety over K.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Let X be a proper smooth rigid-analytic variety over K.

Examples: analytification of proper smooth scheme over K,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Let X be a proper smooth rigid-analytic variety over K.

Examples: analytification of proper smooth scheme over K, generic fibre of non-algebraic deformation of abelian variety or K3 surface (or other proper smooth scheme over k),

Let X be a proper smooth rigid-analytic variety over K.

Examples: analytification of proper smooth scheme over K, generic fibre of non-algebraic deformation of abelian variety or K3 surface (or other proper smooth scheme over k),

Hopf surface: If $q \in K^*$, |q| < 1, let

 $X:=(C^2\setminus\{(0,0)\})/q^{\mathbb{Z}} \ .$

Let X be a proper smooth rigid-analytic variety over K.

Examples: analytification of proper smooth scheme over K, generic fibre of non-algebraic deformation of abelian variety or K3 surface (or other proper smooth scheme over k),

Hopf surface: If $q \in K^*$, |q| < 1, let

$$X:=(C^2\setminus\{(0,0)\})/q^{\mathbb{Z}} \ .$$

Thus, there exist "non-Kähler" rigid-analytic varieties.
Rigid-analytic varieties: Examples

Let X be a proper smooth rigid-analytic variety over K.

Examples: analytification of proper smooth scheme over K, generic fibre of non-algebraic deformation of abelian variety or K3 surface (or other proper smooth scheme over k),

Hopf surface: If $q \in K^*$, |q| < 1, let

 $X:=(C^2\setminus\{(0,0)\})/q^{\mathbb{Z}} \ .$

Thus, there exist "non-Kähler" rigid-analytic varieties. However, there is no *p*-adic analogue of the Iwasawa manifold.

Rigid-analytic varieties: Examples

Let X be a proper smooth rigid-analytic variety over K.

Examples: analytification of proper smooth scheme over K, generic fibre of non-algebraic deformation of abelian variety or K3 surface (or other proper smooth scheme over k),

Hopf surface: If $q \in K^*$, |q| < 1, let

 $X:=(C^2\setminus\{(0,0)\})/q^{\mathbb{Z}} \ .$

Thus, there exist "non-Kähler" rigid-analytic varieties. However, there is no *p*-adic analogue of the Iwasawa manifold. Namely, *C* has no cocompact discrete subgroups like $\mathbb{Z}[i] \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem (S., 2012)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Theorem (S., 2012)

(i) For all $i \ge 0$, $H^{i}_{\text{ét}}(X_{C}, \mathbb{Z}_{p})$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}_{p} -module, which is zero for $i > 2 \dim X$.

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

Theorem (S., 2012)

- (i) For all $i \ge 0$, $H^{i}_{\text{ét}}(X_{C}, \mathbb{Z}_{p})$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}_{p} -module, which is zero for $i > 2 \dim X$.
- (ii) There is a natural $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ -equivariant Hodge–Tate decomposition

$$H^i_{ ext{
m \acute{e}t}}(X_{\mathcal{C}},\mathbb{Z}_p)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{C}\cong igoplus_{j=0}^i H^{i-j}(X,\Omega^j_X)(-j)\otimes_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{C}\;.$$

Theorem (S., 2012)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Theorem (S., 2012)

(iii) There is a natural $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ -equivariant de Rham comparison isomorphism

$$H^i_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(X_C,\mathbb{Z}_p)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}B_{\mathrm{dR}}\cong H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)\otimes_{{\mathcal K}}B_{\mathrm{dR}}$$

preserving filtrations; in particular, $H^i_{\text{ét}}(X_C, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is de Rham in the sense of Fontaine.

Theorem (S., 2012)

(iii) There is a natural $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ -equivariant de Rham comparison isomorphism

$$H^i_{ ext{
m \acute{e}t}}(X_{\mathcal{C}},\mathbb{Z}_p)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}B_{ ext{
m dR}}\cong H^i_{ ext{
m dR}}(X)\otimes_{\mathcal{K}}B_{ ext{
m dR}}$$

preserving filtrations; in particular, $H^i_{\text{ét}}(X_C, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is de Rham in the sense of Fontaine.

(iv) The Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence

$$E_1^{ij} = H^j(X, \Omega_X^i) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}_{\mathrm{dR}}(X)$$

degenerates at E_1 .

Finiteness for \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} -cohomology, $\ell \neq p$, known.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Finiteness for \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} -cohomology, $\ell \neq p$, known.

Idea: Choose formal model and use finiteness of nearby cycles.

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

Finiteness for \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} -cohomology, $\ell \neq p$, known. Idea: Choose formal model and use finiteness of nearby cycles. Works for any quasicompact separated smooth rigid-analytic variety over K.

Finiteness for \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} -cohomology, $\ell \neq p$, known.

Idea: Choose formal model and use finiteness of nearby cycles. Works for any quasicompact separated smooth rigid-analytic variety over K. (I.e., without properness.)

Finiteness for \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} -cohomology, $\ell \neq p$, known. Idea: Choose formal model and use finiteness of nearby cycles. Works for any quasicompact separated smooth rigid-analytic variety over K. (I.e., without properness.)

Method does not work for $\ell = p$.

Finiteness for \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} -cohomology, $\ell \neq p$, known. Idea: Choose formal model and use finiteness of nearby cycles. Works for any quasicompact separated smooth rigid-analytic variety over K. (I.e., without properness.)

Method does not work for $\ell = p$. In fact, if X closed unit disc over K, then $H^1_{\text{ét}}(X_C, \mathbb{F}_p)$ infinite-dimensional.

Finiteness for \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} -cohomology, $\ell \neq p$, known. Idea: Choose formal model and use finiteness of nearby cycles. Works for any quasicompact separated smooth rigid-analytic variety over K. (I.e., without properness.)

Method does not work for $\ell = p$. In fact, if X closed unit disc over K, then $H^1_{\text{ét}}(X_C, \mathbb{F}_p)$ infinite-dimensional.

Related to non-finiteness of $H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{A}^1_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{F}_p)$, i.e. Artin-Schreier covers.

Finiteness for \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} -cohomology, $\ell \neq p$, known. Idea: Choose formal model and use finiteness of nearby cycles. Works for any quasicompact separated smooth rigid-analytic variety over K. (I.e., without properness.)

Method does not work for $\ell = p$. In fact, if X closed unit disc over K, then $H^1_{\text{ét}}(X_C, \mathbb{F}_p)$ infinite-dimensional.

Related to non-finiteness of $H^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{A}^1_k, \mathbb{F}_p)$, i.e. Artin-Schreier covers.

Need global argument, using finiteness of coherent cohomology to control Artin-Schreier type covers.

Complex manifolds are locally contractible.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Complex manifolds are locally contractible. In contrast, rigid-analytic varieties have large étale fundamental group, even locally.

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

Complex manifolds are locally contractible. In contrast, rigid-analytic varieties have large étale fundamental group, even locally. But at least no higher homotopy groups:

Complex manifolds are locally contractible. In contrast, rigid-analytic varieties have large étale fundamental group, even locally. But at least no higher homotopy groups:

Theorem (S., 2012)

Let X be a connected affinoid rigid-analytic variety over C.

Complex manifolds are locally contractible. In contrast, rigid-analytic varieties have large étale fundamental group, even locally. But at least no higher homotopy groups:

Theorem (S., 2012)

Let X be a connected affinoid rigid-analytic variety over C. Then X is a $K(\pi, 1)$ for p-torsion coefficients,

Complex manifolds are locally contractible. In contrast, rigid-analytic varieties have large étale fundamental group, even locally. But at least no higher homotopy groups:

Theorem (S., 2012)

Let X be a connected affinoid rigid-analytic variety over C. Then X is a $K(\pi, 1)$ for p-torsion coefficients, i.e. for all p-torsion local systems \mathbb{L} on X,

Complex manifolds are locally contractible. In contrast, rigid-analytic varieties have large étale fundamental group, even locally. But at least no higher homotopy groups:

Theorem (S., 2012)

Let X be a connected affinoid rigid-analytic variety over C. Then X is a $K(\pi, 1)$ for p-torsion coefficients, i.e. for all p-torsion local systems \mathbb{L} on X,

$$H^{i}_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(X,\mathbb{L})\cong H^{i}_{\mathrm{cont}}(\pi_{1}(X,\overline{x}),\mathbb{L}_{\overline{x}})\;,$$

Complex manifolds are locally contractible. In contrast, rigid-analytic varieties have large étale fundamental group, even locally. But at least no higher homotopy groups:

Theorem (S., 2012)

Let X be a connected affinoid rigid-analytic variety over C. Then X is a $K(\pi, 1)$ for p-torsion coefficients, i.e. for all p-torsion local systems \mathbb{L} on X,

$$H^i_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(X,\mathbb{L})\cong H^i_{\mathrm{cont}}(\pi_1(X,\overline{x}),\mathbb{L}_{\overline{x}})\;,$$

where $\overline{x} \in X$ is a geometric base point,

Complex manifolds are locally contractible. In contrast, rigid-analytic varieties have large étale fundamental group, even locally. But at least no higher homotopy groups:

Theorem (S., 2012)

Let X be a connected affinoid rigid-analytic variety over C. Then X is a $K(\pi, 1)$ for p-torsion coefficients, i.e. for all p-torsion local systems \mathbb{L} on X,

$$H^{i}_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(X,\mathbb{L})\cong H^{i}_{\mathrm{cont}}(\pi_{1}(X,\overline{x}),\mathbb{L}_{\overline{x}})\;,$$

where $\overline{x} \in X$ is a geometric base point, and $\pi_1(X, \overline{x})$ is the profinite étale fundamental group.

Also true for X over equal characteristic field $\overline{k((t))}$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Also true for X over equal characteristic field $\overline{k((t))}$. Easy:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Also true for X over equal characteristic field $\overline{k((t))}$. Easy:

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Enough to consider $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{F}_p$.

Also true for X over equal characteristic field $\overline{k((t))}$. Easy:

Enough to consider $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{F}_p$. Consider Artin-Schreier sequence

$$0 o \mathbb{F}_{\rho} o \mathcal{O}_X o \mathcal{O}_X o 0$$
,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Also true for X over equal characteristic field $\overline{k(t)}$. Easy:

Enough to consider $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{F}_p$. Consider Artin-Schreier sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{F}_p \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X \to 0 \ ,$$

exact sequence of sheaves on $X_{\text{ét}}$.

Also true for X over equal characteristic field $\overline{k((t))}$. Easy:

Enough to consider $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{F}_p$. Consider Artin-Schreier sequence

$$0 o \mathbb{F}_{p} o \mathcal{O}_{X} o \mathcal{O}_{X} o 0$$
,

exact sequence of sheaves on $X_{\text{ét}}$. As X is affinoid, $H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for $i \ge 1$,

Also true for X over equal characteristic field $\overline{k((t))}$. Easy:

Enough to consider $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{F}_p$. Consider Artin-Schreier sequence

$$0 o \mathbb{F}_{\rho} o \mathcal{O}_X o \mathcal{O}_X o 0$$
,

exact sequence of sheaves on $X_{\text{ét}}$. As X is affinoid, $H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for $i \ge 1$, get

$$H^i_{ ext{
m \acute{e}t}}(X,\mathbb{F}_p)=0$$

for $i \geq 2$.

Moreover, we get a long exact sequence

$$0 o H^0_{ ext{\acute{e}t}}(X,\mathbb{F}_p) o R o R o H^1_{ ext{\acute{e}t}}(X,\mathbb{F}_p) o 0 \;,$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

where $R = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Moreover, we get a long exact sequence

$$0 o H^0_{ ext{\acute{e}t}}(X,\mathbb{F}_p) o R o R o H^1_{ ext{\acute{e}t}}(X,\mathbb{F}_p) o 0 \;,$$

where $R = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. As exactness of Artin-Schreier sequence needs only finite étale covers, get same result for

 $H^i_{\operatorname{cont}}(\pi_1(X,\overline{x}),\mathbb{L}_{\overline{x}})$.

$K(\pi, 1)$'s, mixed characteristic case

Reduce to case of equal characteristic:
Reduce to case of equal characteristic: Use perfectoid spaces.

Reduce to case of equal characteristic: Use perfectoid spaces.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Definition

A perfectoid C-algebra is a Banach C-algebra R

Reduce to case of equal characteristic: Use perfectoid spaces.

Definition

A perfectoid C-algebra is a Banach C-algebra R such that the subring of powerbounded elements $R^{\circ} \subset R$ is bounded,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Reduce to case of equal characteristic: Use perfectoid spaces.

Definition

A perfectoid C-algebra is a Banach C-algebra R such that the subring of powerbounded elements $R^{\circ} \subset R$ is bounded, and the Frobenius $\Phi : R^{\circ}/p \to R^{\circ}/p$ is surjective.

Reduce to case of equal characteristic: Use perfectoid spaces.

Definition

A perfectoid C-algebra is a Banach C-algebra R such that the subring of powerbounded elements $R^{\circ} \subset R$ is bounded, and the Frobenius $\Phi : R^{\circ}/p \to R^{\circ}/p$ is surjective.

Recall Fontaine's field

$$C^{\flat} = \mathcal{R}(C) = \operatorname{Frac}\left(\lim_{\Phi} \mathcal{O}_C / p \right) \cong \widehat{\overline{k((\varpi))}} .$$

Then:

Theorem (S., 2011)

Perfectoid C-algebras are equivalent to perfectoid C^{\flat} -algebras.

Then:

Theorem (S., 2011)

Perfectoid C-algebras are equivalent to perfectoid C^{\flat} -algebras. There exist 'rigid-analytic varieties'

Then:

Theorem (S., 2011)

Perfectoid C-algebras are equivalent to perfectoid C^{\flat} -algebras. There exist 'rigid-analytic varieties' (more precisely: adic spaces)

Then:

Theorem (S., 2011)

Perfectoid C-algebras are equivalent to perfectoid C^{\flat} -algebras. There exist 'rigid-analytic varieties' (more precisely: adic spaces) associated to perfectoid C-, resp. C^{\flat} -, algebras,

Then:

Theorem (S., 2011)

Perfectoid C-algebras are equivalent to perfectoid C^{\flat} -algebras. There exist 'rigid-analytic varieties' (more precisely: adic spaces) associated to perfectoid C-, resp. C^{\flat} -, algebras, called affinoid perfectoid spaces over C, resp. C^{\flat} .

Then:

Theorem (S., 2011)

Perfectoid C-algebras are equivalent to perfectoid C^{\flat} -algebras. There exist 'rigid-analytic varieties' (more precisely: adic spaces) associated to perfectoid C-, resp. C^{\flat} -, algebras, called affinoid perfectoid spaces over C, resp. C^{\flat} . These categories are equivalent,

Then:

Theorem (S., 2011)

Perfectoid C-algebras are equivalent to perfectoid C^{\flat} -algebras. There exist 'rigid-analytic varieties' (more precisely: adic spaces) associated to perfectoid C-, resp. C^{\flat} -, algebras, called affinoid perfectoid spaces over C, resp. C^{\flat} . These categories are equivalent, $X \mapsto X^{\flat}$.

Then:

Theorem (S., 2011)

Perfectoid C-algebras are equivalent to perfectoid C^{\flat} -algebras. There exist 'rigid-analytic varieties' (more precisely: adic spaces) associated to perfectoid C-, resp. C^{\flat} -, algebras, called affinoid perfectoid spaces over C, resp. C^{\flat} . These categories are equivalent, $X \mapsto X^{\flat}$. In this situation, $X_{\text{ét}} \cong X_{\text{ét}}^{\flat}$.

Then:

Theorem (S., 2011)

Perfectoid C-algebras are equivalent to perfectoid C^{\flat} -algebras. There exist 'rigid-analytic varieties' (more precisely: adic spaces) associated to perfectoid C-, resp. C^{\flat} -, algebras, called affinoid perfectoid spaces over C, resp. C^{\flat} . These categories are equivalent, $X \mapsto X^{\flat}$. In this situation, $X_{\text{ét}} \cong X_{\text{ét}}^{\flat}$.

The functor from perfectoid *C*-algebras *R* to perfectoid C^{\flat} -algebras R^{\flat} is given by Fontaine's construction:

Then:

Theorem (S., 2011)

Perfectoid C-algebras are equivalent to perfectoid C^{\flat} -algebras. There exist 'rigid-analytic varieties' (more precisely: adic spaces) associated to perfectoid C-, resp. C^{\flat} -, algebras, called affinoid perfectoid spaces over C, resp. C^{\flat} . These categories are equivalent, $X \mapsto X^{\flat}$. In this situation, $X_{\acute{e}t} \cong X^{\flat}_{\acute{e}t}$.

The functor from perfectoid *C*-algebras *R* to perfectoid C^{\flat} -algebras R^{\flat} is given by Fontaine's construction:

$$R^{\flat} = (arprojlim_{\phi} R^{\circ}/p) \otimes_{arprojlim_{\phi} \mathcal{O}_C/p} C^{\flat} \; .$$

Want to show:

Want to show: Any connected affinoid rigid-analytic variety X over C is a $K(\pi, 1)$.

Want to show: Any connected affinoid rigid-analytic variety X over C is a $K(\pi, 1)$.

Proof.

Want to show: Any connected affinoid rigid-analytic variety X over C is a $K(\pi, 1)$.

Proof.

▶ Find affinoid perfectoid space \tilde{X} over C, $\tilde{X} \to X$ an inverse limit of finite étale covers.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Want to show: Any connected affinoid rigid-analytic variety X over C is a $K(\pi, 1)$.

Proof.

► Find affinoid perfectoid space X̃ over C, X̃ → X an inverse limit of finite étale covers. (Iterate adjoining *p*-power roots of units.)

Want to show: Any connected affinoid rigid-analytic variety X over C is a $K(\pi, 1)$.

Proof.

► Find affinoid perfectoid space X̃ over C, X̃ → X an inverse limit of finite étale covers.
(Iterate adjoining a power roots of units)

(Iterate adjoining *p*-power roots of units.)

 Its tilt X
<sup>
^b</sup> is affinoid and lives in equal characteristic, hence is a K(π, 1) for *p*-torsion coefficients.

Want to show: Any connected affinoid rigid-analytic variety X over C is a $K(\pi, 1)$.

Proof.

► Find affinoid perfectoid space X̃ over C, X̃ → X an inverse limit of finite étale covers.

(Iterate adjoining *p*-power roots of units.)

 Its tilt X
<sup>
^b</sup> is affinoid and lives in equal characteristic, hence is a K(π, 1) for *p*-torsion coefficients.

• As $\tilde{X}_{ ext{\acute{e}t}} \cong \tilde{X}_{ ext{\acute{e}t}}^{\flat}$, also \tilde{X} is a $K(\pi, 1)$ for *p*-torsion coefficients.

Want to show: Any connected affinoid rigid-analytic variety X over C is a $K(\pi, 1)$.

Proof.

Find affinoid perfectoid space X̃ over C, X̃ → X an inverse limit of finite étale covers.

(Iterate adjoining *p*-power roots of units.)

- Its tilt X
 <sup>
 ^b</sup> is affinoid and lives in equal characteristic, hence is a K(π, 1) for *p*-torsion coefficients.
- As $\tilde{X}_{ ext{\acute{e}t}} \cong \tilde{X}_{ ext{\acute{e}t}}^{\flat}$, also \tilde{X} is a $K(\pi, 1)$ for *p*-torsion coefficients.
- As X̃ → X is pro-finite étale, also X is a K(π, 1) for p-torsion coefficients.