

Roots of Unity in Intermediate Characteristic

July 24, 2015

Roots of Unity in Classical Algebra

Roots of Unity in Classical Algebra

Let k be an algebraically closed field.

Roots of Unity in Classical Algebra

Let k be an algebraically closed field.

Characteristic Zero

Characteristic p

Roots of Unity in Classical Algebra

Let k be an algebraically closed field.

Characteristic Zero

Characteristic p

$z^p = 1$ has p solutions

$z^p = 1 \Leftrightarrow (z - 1)^p = 0$
has one solution

Roots of Unity in Classical Algebra

Let k be an algebraically closed field.

Characteristic Zero

Characteristic p

$z^p = 1$ has p solutions

$z^p = 1 \Leftrightarrow (z - 1)^p = 0$
has one solution

Roots of Unity in Classical Algebra

Let k be an algebraically closed field.

Characteristic Zero

Characteristic p

$z^p = 1$ has p solutions

$z^p = 1 \Leftrightarrow (z - 1)^p = 0$
has one solution

Other Fields? (p -local)

Other Fields? (p -local)

Characteristic Zero

Morava K -Theories

Characteristic p

$$H\mathbb{Q} = K(0) \quad K(1) \quad K(2) \quad K(3) \quad \cdots \quad K(\infty) = H\mathbb{F}_p$$

Other Fields? (p -local)

Characteristic Zero

Morava K -Theories

Characteristic p

$$H\mathbb{Q} = K(0) \quad K(1) \quad K(2) \quad K(3) \quad \cdots \quad K(\infty) = H\mathbb{F}_p$$

Question

How do roots of unity behave in the intermediate regime?

Other Fields? (p -local)

Characteristic Zero

Morava K -Theories

Characteristic p

$$H\mathbb{Q} = K(0) \quad K(1) \quad K(2) \quad K(3) \quad \cdots \quad K(\infty) = H\mathbb{F}_p$$

Question

How do roots of unity behave in the intermediate regime?

Warning

For $0 < n < \infty$, the fields $K(n)$ are not commutative.

$K(n)$ -Local Homotopy Theory

$K(n)$ -Local Homotopy Theory

Definition

A spectrum X is $K(n)$ -acyclic if $X \wedge K(n) \simeq 0$.

$K(n)$ -Local Homotopy Theory

Definition

A spectrum X is $K(n)$ -acyclic if $X \wedge K(n) \simeq 0$.

$K(n)$ -Local Homotopy Theory

Definition

A spectrum X is $K(n)$ -acyclic if $X \wedge K(n) \simeq 0$.

Definition

$\{ K(n)\text{-local spectra} \} := \{ \text{spectra} \} / \{ K(n)\text{-acyclic spectra} \}$

$K(n)$ -Local Homotopy Theory

Definition

A spectrum X is $K(n)$ -acyclic if $X \wedge K(n) \simeq 0$.

Definition

$\{ K(n)\text{-local spectra} \} := \{ \text{spectra} \} / \{ K(n)\text{-acyclic spectra} \}$

$$L_{K(n)} : \{ \text{spectra} \} \rightarrow \{ K(n)\text{-local spectra} \}$$

Examples

Examples

$$\{ K(0)\text{-local spectra} \} = \{ \text{chain complexes over } \mathbb{Q} \}$$

Examples

$$\begin{aligned} \{ K(0)\text{-local spectra} \} &= \{ \text{chain complexes over } \mathbb{Q} \} \\ L_{K(0)} &= \text{“tensoring with } \mathbb{Q}\text{”} \end{aligned}$$

Examples

$$\{ K(0)\text{-local spectra} \} = \{ \text{chain complexes over } \mathbb{Q} \}$$
$$L_{K(0)} = \text{“tensoring with } \mathbb{Q}\text{”}$$

$$K(\infty)\text{-local} \approx p\text{-adically complete}$$

Examples

$$\begin{aligned} \{ K(0)\text{-local spectra} \} &= \{ \text{chain complexes over } \mathbb{Q} \} \\ L_{K(0)} &= \text{“tensoring with } \mathbb{Q}\text{”} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K(\infty)\text{-local} &\approx p\text{-adically complete} \\ L_{K(\infty)} &\approx p\text{-adic completion} \end{aligned}$$

Examples

$$\begin{aligned} \{ K(0)\text{-local spectra} \} &= \{ \text{chain complexes over } \mathbb{Q} \} \\ L_{K(0)} &= \text{“tensoring with } \mathbb{Q}\text{”} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K(\infty)\text{-local} &\approx p\text{-adically complete} \\ L_{K(\infty)} &\approx p\text{-adic completion} \end{aligned}$$

For $0 < n < \infty$, $L_{K(n)}$ is a mixture of “localization” and “completion.”

Our Setting

Ring := commutative algebra in $\{ K(n)\text{-local spectra} \}$

Our Setting

Ring := commutative algebra in $\{ K(n)\text{-local spectra} \}$
= $K(n)$ -local commutative ring spectra.

Our Setting

Ring := commutative algebra in $\{ K(n)\text{-local spectra} \}$
= $K(n)$ -local commutative ring spectra.

- There are plenty of these ($L_{K(n)}$ takes ring spectra to ring spectra).

Our Setting

Ring := commutative algebra in $\{ K(n)\text{-local spectra} \}$
= $K(n)$ -local commutative ring spectra.

- There are plenty of these ($L_{K(n)}$ takes ring spectra to ring spectra).
- Warning: $L_{K(n)}$ annihilates all ordinary rings.

Our Setting

Ring := commutative algebra in $\{ K(n)\text{-local spectra} \}$
= $K(n)$ -local commutative ring spectra.

- There are plenty of these ($L_{K(n)}$ takes ring spectra to ring spectra).
- Warning: $L_{K(n)}$ annihilates all ordinary rings.
- Our goal: to do some algebraic geometry with these.

Our Setting

Ring := commutative algebra in $\{ K(n)\text{-local spectra} \}$
= $K(n)$ -local commutative ring spectra.

- There are plenty of these ($L_{K(n)}$ takes ring spectra to ring spectra).
- Warning: $L_{K(n)}$ annihilates all ordinary rings.
- Our goal: to do some algebraic geometry with these.
- We will consider only *affine* schemes.

Our Setting

Ring := commutative algebra in $\{ K(n)\text{-local spectra} \}$
= $K(n)$ -local commutative ring spectra.

- There are plenty of these ($L_{K(n)}$ takes ring spectra to ring spectra).
- Warning: $L_{K(n)}$ annihilates all ordinary rings.
- Our goal: to do some algebraic geometry with these.
- We will consider only *affine* schemes.
- There are more affine schemes than you might think.

Algebraic Geometry over \mathbb{C}

Algebraic Geometry over \mathbb{C}

Let S be a finite set.

Algebraic Geometry over \mathbb{C}

Let S be a finite set.

Then S “is” an affine algebraic variety.

Algebraic Geometry over \mathbb{C}

Let S be a finite set.

Then S “is” an affine algebraic variety.

$$S = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}^S$$

Algebraic Geometry over \mathbb{C}

Let S be a finite set.

Then S “is” an affine algebraic variety.

$$S = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}^S$$

Question

What does “ $S = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}^S$ ” mean?

First Interpretation: Literal

First Interpretation: Literal

There is a canonical bijection from S to the set of points of the affine scheme $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}^S$.

First Interpretation: Literal

There is a canonical bijection from S to the set of points of the affine scheme $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}^S$.

$$(s \in S) \mapsto \mathfrak{p}_s = \{f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f(s) = 0\}$$

Second Interpretation: Sheaf-Theoretic

Second Interpretation: Sheaf-Theoretic

There is an equivalence of categories:

Second Interpretation: Sheaf-Theoretic

There is an equivalence of categories:

$$\{ \text{local systems of vector spaces on } S \} \simeq \{ \mathbb{C}^S\text{-modules} \}$$

In Homotopy Theory

In Homotopy Theory

Let X be a space and let R be a ring spectrum.

In Homotopy Theory

Let X be a space and let R be a ring spectrum.

If \mathcal{L} is a local system of R -modules on X , then $C^*(X; \mathcal{L})$ is an R -module.

In Homotopy Theory

Let X be a space and let R be a ring spectrum.

If \mathcal{L} is a local system of R -modules on X , then $C^*(X; \mathcal{L})$ is an R -module. In fact, it is a module over $R^X := C^*(X; R)$.

In Homotopy Theory

Let X be a space and let R be a ring spectrum.

If \mathcal{L} is a local system of R -modules on X , then $C^*(X; \mathcal{L})$ is an R -module. In fact, it is a module over $R^X := C^*(X; R)$.

$$\{ \text{local systems of } R\text{-modules on } X \} \rightarrow \{ R^X\text{-modules} \}.$$

In $K(n)$ -Local Homotopy Theory

In $K(n)$ -Local Homotopy Theory

Definition

We say a space X is n -truncated if $\pi_* X \simeq 0$ for $* > n$.

In $K(n)$ -Local Homotopy Theory

Definition

We say a space X is n -truncated if $\pi_* X \simeq 0$ for $* > n$.

Theorem (Hopkins, L)

If X is a p -finite n -truncated space, then the global sections functor

$$\{ \text{local systems of } R\text{-modules on } X \} \rightarrow \{ R^X\text{-modules} \}$$

is an equivalence.

In $K(n)$ -Local Homotopy Theory

Definition

We say a space X is n -truncated if $\pi_* X \simeq 0$ for $* > n$.

Theorem (Hopkins, L)

If X is a p -finite n -truncated space, then the global sections functor

$$\{ \text{local systems of } R\text{-modules on } X \} \rightarrow \{ R^X\text{-modules} \}$$

is an equivalence.

This is a reformulation of the unipotence result of the previous lecture.

In $K(n)$ -Local Homotopy Theory

Definition

We say a space X is n -truncated if $\pi_* X \simeq 0$ for $* > n$.

Theorem (Hopkins, L)

If X is a p -finite n -truncated space, then the global sections functor

$$\{ \text{local systems of } R\text{-modules on } X \} \rightarrow \{ R^X\text{-modules} \}$$

is an equivalence.

This is a reformulation of the unipotence result of the previous lecture.

Third Interpretation: The Functor of Points

Third Interpretation: The Functor of Points

If A is a \mathbb{C} -algebra, identify $\text{Spec } A$ with the functor

Third Interpretation: The Functor of Points

If A is a \mathbb{C} -algebra, identify $\text{Spec } A$ with the functor

$$\{ \mathbb{C}\text{-algebras} \} \rightarrow \{ \text{sets} \}$$

Third Interpretation: The Functor of Points

If A is a \mathbb{C} -algebra, identify $\text{Spec } A$ with the functor

$$\{ \mathbb{C}\text{-algebras} \} \rightarrow \{ \text{sets} \}$$

$$B \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(A, B)$$

The Spectrum of \mathbb{C}^S

The Spectrum of \mathbb{C}^S

Let \underline{S} be the constant functor with value S .

The Spectrum of \mathbb{C}^S

Let \underline{S} be the constant functor with value S . There is a natural map

$$\underline{S} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}^S$$

The Spectrum of \mathbb{C}^S

Let \underline{S} be the constant functor with value S . There is a natural map

$$\underline{S} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}^S$$

$$(s \in \underline{S}(B)) \mapsto (\mathbb{C}^S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\{s\}} \rightarrow B)$$

The Spectrum of \mathbb{C}^S

Let \underline{S} be the constant functor with value S . There is a natural map

$$\underline{S} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}^S$$

$$(s \in \underline{S}(B)) \mapsto (\mathbb{C}^S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\{s\}} \rightarrow B)$$

$\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}^S$ is the sheafification of \underline{S} for the Zariski topology.

The Spectrum of \mathbb{C}^S

Let \underline{S} be the constant functor with value S . There is a natural map

$$\underline{S} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}^S$$

$$(s \in \underline{S}(B)) \mapsto (\mathbb{C}^S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\{s\}} \rightarrow B)$$

$\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}^S$ is the sheafification of \underline{S} for the Zariski topology. (Or the étale topology, fppf topology, fpqc topology, ...)

Affine Schemes (in $K(n)$ -local homotopy theory)

Affine Schemes (in $K(n)$ -local homotopy theory)

Fix a commutative ring spectrum R .

Affine Schemes (in $K(n)$ -local homotopy theory)

Fix a commutative ring spectrum R . Every R -algebra A represents a functor

$$\mathrm{Spec} A : \{ R\text{-algebras} \} \rightarrow \{ \text{spaces} \}$$

Affine Schemes (in $K(n)$ -local homotopy theory)

Fix a commutative ring spectrum R . Every R -algebra A represents a functor

$$\mathrm{Spec} A : \{ R\text{-algebras} \} \rightarrow \{ \text{spaces} \}$$

$$B \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_R(A, B).$$

Affine Schemes (in $K(n)$ -local homotopy theory)

Fix a commutative ring spectrum R . Every R -algebra A represents a functor

$$\mathrm{Spec} A : \{ R\text{-algebras} \} \rightarrow \{ \text{spaces} \}$$

$$B \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_R(A, B).$$

Affine Schemes (in $K(n)$ -local homotopy theory)

Fix a commutative ring spectrum R . Every R -algebra A represents a functor

$$\mathrm{Spec} A : \{ R\text{-algebras} \} \rightarrow \{ \text{spaces} \}$$

$$B \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_R(A, B).$$

Example

$$\mu_p := \mathrm{Spec} R[\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}].$$

Affine schemes associated to a space

Affine schemes associated to a space

For any space X , we have

$$\underline{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } R^X$$

Affine schemes associated to a space

For any space X , we have

$$\underline{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } R^X$$

$$(x \in \underline{X}(B)) \mapsto (R^X \rightarrow R^{\{x\}} \rightarrow B)$$

Affine schemes associated to a space

For any space X , we have

$$\underline{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} R^X$$

$$(x \in \underline{X}(B)) \mapsto (R^X \rightarrow R^{\{x\}} \rightarrow B)$$

Question

When is $\operatorname{Spec} R^X$ a sheafification of \underline{X} ?

A Grothendieck Topology on Ring Spectra

A Grothendieck Topology on Ring Spectra

Definition

A map $f : A \rightarrow B$ of $K(n)$ -local commutative ring spectra is a *covering* if:

A Grothendieck Topology on Ring Spectra

Definition

A map $f : A \rightarrow B$ of $K(n)$ -local commutative ring spectra is a *covering* if:

- (a) The construction $M \mapsto B \wedge_A M$ preserves inverse limits.

A Grothendieck Topology on Ring Spectra

Definition

A map $f : A \rightarrow B$ of $K(n)$ -local commutative ring spectra is a *covering* if:

- (a) The construction $M \mapsto B \wedge_A M$ preserves inverse limits.
- (b) $(M \wedge_A B \simeq 0) \Rightarrow (M \simeq 0)$

A Grothendieck Topology on Ring Spectra

Definition

A map $f : A \rightarrow B$ of $K(n)$ -local commutative ring spectra is a *covering* if:

- (a) The construction $M \mapsto B \wedge_A M$ preserves inverse limits.
- (b) $(M \wedge_A B \simeq 0) \Rightarrow (M \simeq 0)$

Condition (a) is very strong!

Some Examples

Some Examples

Theorem

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of n -truncated p -finite spaces.

Some Examples

Theorem

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of n -truncated p -finite spaces. If $\pi_0 X \twoheadrightarrow \pi_0 Y$, then $f^ : R^Y \rightarrow R^X$ is a covering.*

Some Examples

Theorem

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of n -truncated p -finite spaces. If $\pi_0 X \twoheadrightarrow \pi_0 Y$, then $f^ : R^Y \rightarrow R^X$ is a covering.*

$$R^Y\text{-modules} \xrightarrow{\wedge_{R^Y} R^X} R^X\text{-modules}$$

Some Examples

Theorem

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of n -truncated p -finite spaces. If $\pi_0 X \twoheadrightarrow \pi_0 Y$, then $f^* : R^Y \rightarrow R^X$ is a covering.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R^Y\text{-modules} & \xrightarrow{\wedge_{R^Y} R^X} & R^X\text{-modules} \\
 \sim \uparrow & & \sim \uparrow \\
 \text{Local systems on } Y & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \text{Local systems on } X.
 \end{array}$$

A Consequence

A Consequence

Corollary

Let X be a p -finite n -truncated space.

A Consequence

Corollary

Let X be a p -finite n -truncated space. Then $\mathrm{Spec} R^X$ is the sheafification of \underline{X} .

A Consequence

Corollary

Let X be a p -finite n -truncated space. Then $\mathrm{Spec} R^X$ is the sheafification of \underline{X} .

$$R^X \longrightarrow B$$

A Consequence

Corollary

Let X be a p -finite n -truncated space. Then $\mathrm{Spec} R^X$ is the sheafification of \underline{X} .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^X & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \\ R^{\{x\}} & & \end{array}$$

A Consequence

Corollary

Let X be a p -finite n -truncated space. Then $\mathrm{Spec} R^X$ is the sheafification of \underline{X} .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R^X & \longrightarrow & B \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 R^{\{x\}} & \longrightarrow & B \wedge_{R^X} R^{\{x\}}
 \end{array}$$

A Consequence

A Consequence

Slogan

If X is a p -finite space n -truncated space, then X is affine.

A Consequence

Slogan

If X is a p -finite space n -truncated space, then X is affine.

Example

Let G be a finite p -group. Then BG is affine.

What does R^X look like?

What does R^X look like?

Assume that $X = K(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, m)$.

What does R^X look like?

Assume that $X = K(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, m)$.

In other words, $\pi_* X = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * = m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

What does R^X look like?

Assume that $X = K(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, m)$.

In other words, $\pi_* X = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * = m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Theorem (Ravenel-Wilson)

$K(n)^* X =$

What does R^X look like?

Assume that $X = K(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, m)$.

In other words, $\pi_* X = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * = m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Theorem (Ravenel-Wilson)

$$K(n)^* X = \begin{cases} K(n)^{*(*)} & \text{if } m > n \end{cases}$$

What does R^X look like?

Assume that $X = K(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, m)$.

In other words, $\pi_* X = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * = m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Theorem (Ravenel-Wilson)

$$K(n)^* X = \begin{cases} K(n)^*(*) & \text{if } m > n \\ \text{something computable} & \text{if } m < n \end{cases}$$

What does R^X look like?

Assume that $X = K(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, m)$.

In other words, $\pi_* X = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * = m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Theorem (Ravenel-Wilson)

$$K(n)^* X = \begin{cases} K(n)^*(*) & \text{if } m > n \\ \text{something computable} & \text{if } m < n \\ K(n)^*(*)[\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}] & \text{if } m = n. \end{cases}$$

What does R^X look like?

Assume that $X = K(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, m)$.

In other words, $\pi_* X = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * = m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Theorem (Ravenel-Wilson)

$$K(n)^* X = \begin{cases} K(n)^*(*) & \text{if } m > n \\ \text{something computable} & \text{if } m < n \\ K(n)^*(*)[\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}] & \text{if } m = n. \end{cases}$$

Note: this requires $K(n)$ “sufficiently large.”

What does R^X look like?

Assume that $X = K(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, m)$.

In other words, $\pi_* X = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * = m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Theorem (Ravenel-Wilson)

$$K(n)^* X = \begin{cases} K(n)^*(*) & \text{if } m > n \\ \text{something computable} & \text{if } m < n \\ K(n)^*(*)[\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}] & \text{if } m = n. \end{cases}$$

Note: this requires $K(n)$ “sufficiently large.” Otherwise, there may be a Galois twist.

What does R^X look like?

What does R^X look like?

Corollary (R sufficiently large)

If $X = K(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, n)$, then $R^X \simeq R[\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}]$.

What does R^X look like?

Corollary (R sufficiently large)

If $X = K(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, n)$, then $R^X \simeq R[\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}]$.

Corollary (R sufficiently large)

μ_p is the constant sheaf with value $K(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, n)$.

What does $\mu_p(R)$ look like?

What does $\mu_p(R)$ look like?

Corollary (R sufficiently large)

$$\pi_*\mu_p(R) \simeq H^{n-*}(\mathrm{Spec} R; \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}).$$

What does $\mu_p(R)$ look like?

Corollary (R sufficiently large)

$$\pi_*\mu_p(R) \simeq H^{n-*}(\mathrm{Spec} R; \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}).$$

Corollary (Unconditional)

$$\pi_*\mu_p(R) \simeq H^{n-*}(\mathrm{Spec} R; \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}(1)).$$

What does $\mu_p(R)$ look like?

Corollary (R sufficiently large)

$$\pi_*\mu_p(R) \simeq H^{n-*}(\mathrm{Spec} R; \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}).$$

Corollary (Unconditional)

$$\pi_*\mu_p(R) \simeq H^{n-*}(\mathrm{Spec} R; \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}(1)).$$

Example: K -Theory

Example: K -Theory

If $n = 1$, we can take R to be complex K -theory (p -adically completed).

Example: K -Theory

If $n = 1$, we can take R to be complex K -theory (p -adically completed).

Let $G = \mu_p(\mathbb{C})$ be the cyclic group generated by $e^{2\pi i/p}$.

Example: K -Theory

If $n = 1$, we can take R to be complex K -theory (p -adically completed).

Let $G = \mu_p(\mathbb{C})$ be the cyclic group generated by $e^{2\pi i/p}$.

The standard representation V of G determines a local system on BG , which represents a class in $K^0(BG)$.

Example: K -Theory

If $n = 1$, we can take R to be complex K -theory (p -adically completed).

Let $G = \mu_p(\mathbb{C})$ be the cyclic group generated by $e^{2\pi i/p}$.

The standard representation V of G determines a local system on BG , which represents a class in $K^0(BG)$.

Since $V^{\otimes p}$ is the trivial representation, this class is a “ p th root of unity.”

Example: K -Theory

If $n = 1$, we can take R to be complex K -theory (p -adically completed).

Let $G = \mu_p(\mathbb{C})$ be the cyclic group generated by $e^{2\pi i/p}$.

The standard representation V of G determines a local system on BG , which represents a class in $K^0(BG)$.

Since $V^{\otimes p}$ is the trivial representation, this class is a “ p th root of unity.” It is therefore represented by a map $BG \rightarrow \mu_p(R)$.

Lubin-Tate Spectra

Lubin-Tate Spectra

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p .

Lubin-Tate Spectra

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p .

$\mathbb{G}_0 \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ a formal group of height n and dimension 1.

Lubin-Tate Spectra

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p .

$\mathbb{G}_0 \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ a formal group of height n and dimension 1.

$\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ its universal deformation (Lubin-Tate).

Lubin-Tate Spectra

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p .

$\mathbb{G}_0 \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ a formal group of height n and dimension 1.

$\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ its universal deformation (Lubin-Tate).

$A \simeq W(k)[[v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}]]$.

Theorem (Landweber, Morava, Goerss-Hopkins-Miller)

There is an essentially unique even periodic cohomology theory E with $E^0() = A$ and $\mathbb{G} = \text{Spf } E^0(\mathbb{C}P^\infty)$.*

Lubin-Tate Spectra

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p .

$\mathbb{G}_0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k$ a formal group of height n and dimension 1.

$\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A$ its universal deformation (Lubin-Tate).

$A \simeq W(k)[[v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}]]$.

Theorem (Landweber, Morava, Goerss-Hopkins-Miller)

There is an essentially unique even periodic cohomology theory E with $E^0() = A$ and $\mathbb{G} = \operatorname{Spf} E^0(\mathbb{C}P^\infty)$. The cohomology theory E is represented by a $K(n)$ -local commutative ring spectrum and depends functorially on (k, \mathbb{G}_0) .*

Lubin-Tate Spectra

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p .

$\mathbb{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k$ a formal group of height n and dimension 1.

$\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} A$ its universal deformation (Lubin-Tate).

$A \simeq W(k)[[v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}]]$.

Theorem (Landweber, Morava, Goerss-Hopkins-Miller)

There is an essentially unique even periodic cohomology theory E with $E^0() = A$ and $\mathbb{G} = \mathrm{Spf} E^0(\mathbb{C}P^\infty)$. The cohomology theory E is represented by a $K(n)$ -local commutative ring spectrum and depends functorially on (k, \mathbb{G}_0) .*

Slogan

$K(n)$ is the “residue field” of E .

What does $\mu_p(E)$ look like?

What does $\mu_p(E)$ look like?

Theorem (Hopkins, L)

If k is algebraically closed, then

$$\mu_p(E) \simeq K(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, n).$$

The Entire Multiplicative Group

The Entire Multiplicative Group

The multiplicative group \mathbb{C}^\times is big.

The Entire Multiplicative Group

The multiplicative group \mathbb{C}^\times is big.

$$0 \rightarrow \{ \text{roots of unity} \} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \twoheadrightarrow \{ \text{junk} \} \rightarrow 0.$$

The Entire Multiplicative Group

The multiplicative group \mathbb{C}^\times is big.

$$0 \rightarrow \{ \text{roots of unity} \} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \twoheadrightarrow \{ \text{junk} \} \rightarrow 0.$$

Similarly, the ring spectrum E has a multiplicative group E^\times .

The Entire Multiplicative Group

The multiplicative group \mathbb{C}^\times is big.

$$0 \rightarrow \{ \text{roots of unity} \} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \twoheadrightarrow \{ \text{junk} \} \rightarrow 0.$$

Similarly, the ring spectrum E has a multiplicative group E^\times .

$$\pi_* E = \begin{cases} W(k)[[v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}]]^\times & \text{if } * = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } * \text{ is odd} \\ W(k)[[v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}]] & \text{if } * \geq 2 \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

“I saw the angel in the marble and carved until I set him free.”

(Michelangelo)

“I saw the angel in the marble and carved until I set him free.”

(Michelangelo)

Sculpture Characteristic Zero $K(n)$ -Local

“I saw the angel in the marble and carved until I set him free.”

(Michelangelo)

Sculpture	Characteristic Zero	$K(n)$ -Local
Marble	\mathbb{C}^\times	E^\times

“I saw the angel in the marble and carved until I set him free.”

(Michelangelo)

Sculpture	Characteristic Zero	$K(n)$ -Local
Marble	\mathbb{C}^\times	E^\times
Chisel	μ_p	μ_p

“I saw the angel in the marble and carved until I set him free.”

(Michelangelo)

Sculpture	Characteristic Zero	$K(n)$ -Local
Marble	\mathbb{C}^\times	E^\times
Chisel	μ_p	μ_p
Angel	$\mu_{p^\infty}(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$???

Excavating the Interesting Part of E^\times

Excavating the Interesting Part of E^\times

Define $X = \varinjlim X_\alpha$, where the direct limit is taken over all p -finite spaces X_α equipped with a map (of infinite loop spaces) to E^\times .

Excavating the Interesting Part of E^\times

Define $X = \varinjlim X_\alpha$, where the direct limit is taken over all p -finite spaces X_α equipped with a map (of infinite loop spaces) to E^\times .

Control over $\mu_p(E)$ gives us control over X .

Excavating the Interesting Part of E^\times

Define $X = \varinjlim X_\alpha$, where the direct limit is taken over all p -finite spaces X_α equipped with a map (of infinite loop spaces) to E^\times .

Control over $\mu_p(E)$ gives us control over X .

Corollary

$$\pi_* X = \begin{cases} \text{Hom}(\pi_{n-*}^s, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) & \text{if } 0 \leq * \leq n \\ 0 & \text{if } * > n. \end{cases}$$